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Dear Ron,
I write this with the expectation my wife will have finished retyping the first part of the addition to the addition to COUP D'ETAT in time for me to make the outgoing evening mail with it. It is in two perts, the first deeling with our suit over the suppressed court records In the King/Ray case and, elthough I should by noe be somewhet inured to shock, makes me feel right now that. it is the most shocking part of ell. The contrivances and stences of the firximesz federsl government are beyond belief, so bad they reslly should be very helpful to those with imriediate confrontations. I think it not now possible to show a more complete, more flagrant vase of official contempt for and diaregard of the law, of deliberate official misrepresentation of the law, of the most brazen officisi lying (especially Depertment of Justice), and in the context of the Aing essagsination and the Agnow assault on the media.

As I told you, because I took your silence as discoursgement, instead of writing a quiet plece that might, in substantially that form, find medis acceptability, I wrote it as I feel it, to fit the book, to say what I want to say (which the nets and the mags will not say). However, I think it is put together in such form that, if you cen find any paying interest, most of the editing can be done with a shears and most of the rest with a blue pencil. At least this is my hope. Agnew and the Chicops should have improved the msrketebility.

The second pert deals with the Chicago murders, putting them in the context of the rest of the book, in which they are forecast, if ellipticelly. It pins responsibility on Hoover and the administration, shovs that Hoover is now
investigating himself, that nowhere in the country, without certain knowledge thet he and the IJ would not invoke the twp applicable laws, would such e crime have been dered. It is as current as todey. "ith luck, thet will be on tomorrow night's outgoing mail. You will then have the complete book excent for appendix, if you cennot find use for either of these two new parts separately.

I recognize thet the way in which $\bar{I}$ have written this will not encourege esteblishmenterisns to read it, but' I do belisve that if the right one does, he will recosnize it is todsy not impossible to do safely and in large measure can be a protection for the media against what it must be opparent the government intends. If they do not do something soon, it will before long be ton late for even the "libergls". Hovever, the enormcus amount of work in which I om imersed makes it imposaible for me to nave writiten this both waye, safely and with passion, so I did it the way I will use $1 t$, one way or the other. I have not despaired of finding privete means of bringing tais enormity out because + om convinced it is importent in present struggles. I believe also that rather then detracting from the success of a cut, popularized edition it will help it. The representatives of Luce and Moore, if these tiny publishers can be belleved, both agree with this... New correspondence irom State, now included, should make this even hotter in Englend. The DJ hes stolen every copy of the British court record and has denied it not only to me but to the defendant. The violation of the lew here is beyond question. Can "libersls" st1ll scream?...I'd love to be sble to spend some time with some of the wiser militents outlining what 1 think is possible Hope you have a good holiday, all of you. Best regards,

