Dear Ron,

Thanks for your note of the 7th, which reached me today. If you do anything, you know it will please me as it will surprise me. Our experience the past year is what I first predicted to you. While a new small publisher is coming here Monday, I permit myself no omptimism.

Howevery I've held a proposal from a major publisher to do a book on what I've done, which I've not accepted. Sensational in style, too. The fact of my work he cannot touch (but would like to). Only what will do no good and make me a nice, fresh cereal-box hero.

You may recall I told you the only way this kind of stuff can get printed is the way I had done it in the past.

Tp bring you up to date:

After a year of work, beginning with the lawyer's leughing agreement, I have provided Ray with his newest lawyer-mine. Then, with less then 24-hours notice, I supplied the basis and I suspect all the evidence for the new effort to get a trial. This is the first one to get decent and spacious attention in so enti- on organ as the Weshington Post. Without it passing through censorship, Fay read COUP II and asked for permission to use it in his defense. I've not seen the new brief, but I believe all of it is from COUP II. After a year, I finally got the surpressed Pay evidence from W. Finished examining 1t today. There is no change required in COUPTI, no additions, save discussion of fore official evil, and the only thing from these papers will go in the too-large appendix. With no false modesty I tell you'l ned it ell-end all right. It is exculpatory. To do this I had to file and win a precedent "Freedom of Information" law suit against Justice, In Rusk's purase, "We were eyeball to eyeball, and they blinked". They delayed to the last minute then capitulated rather than go to trial. Were it not for the combination of compatent crooks and incomptatence non-crooks, between whom most legal remedies were exhausted, there'd be no doubt of a trial. I expect to accomplish this additional impossible, get a trial... So, I have learned what makes a book unpublishable, what it takes to prevent any private interests in this wharm Cunggonde world: Do the impossible routinely.

I take comfort from the fact that et 57 I can still learn-and do.

There is no doubt in my mind that with any interest at all, while doing all I've been doing, I could have blown the Teatherstone case spart publicly and legally before the Brown hearing, and I let it be known iix in the right places. What better reasons for the brotherly brothers to be without interest?

having won the precedent case without trial, I've loid writing aside and am about to file two more. You know about the ways of skinning cass. I'm weary, so worn, so very broke, and a little lonely. But mark my word: I'm going to do it. And when I do, everyone will want me to do a bookon goese, for an I not an expert on waterfowl? 'Deed I am! Ewen the "Today" show would have used so on that when WhITEWASH was hot.

Who knows! Maybe you'll see me on CBS soon-as an expert on Mitchell.

With the title twice stolen, I'm retitling the book CCUP: BY ASSASSINATION. I've designed a cover of four superimposed faces: JFK, King, RFK and the fourth in hollow outline with a ? in it.

Best to dear Billie, Sincerely,

Ronald Hobbs Literary Agency 211 East 43rd Street New York, N.Y. 10017

May 7, 1970

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 8  $\psi$  Fredrick, Md.

Dear Harold,

I have received your letters and while I have been unable to develop interest in your work and notions, I do want you to understand that I support your initial precepts. At this time, I can only conceive of one or two additional areas we might exploit with respect to your work and I will endeavor to do my best.

Yours Sincerely,

Ronald Hobbs

RH:sq