Guilty, By The Rules Of Evidence By William F. Buckley Jr.

I SUPPOSE IF Jack Ruby had enough free lawyers bobbing about him, plus a phony civil liberties' committee, plus half the native egghead population desperate to believe him innocent, they would have us all believing. In due course, that he didn't in fact kill Lee Harvey Oswald: that it was his double; or else a plot by the networks; or by Curtis Lemay.

When you think of it, it is hard to get unanimity of opinion on the guilt of any-body. It is an old story nowadays that Oswald didn't kill the first Kennedy, a not-so-pld but robust thesis that Sirhan didn't kill the second Kennedy, there is growing suspicion that James Earl Ray didn't kill Martin Luther King, that what's-his-name wasn't the real culprit in the attempted killing of George Wallace, that Bruno Hauptmann didn't really kidnap the Lindbergh baby, that the Rosenbergs weren't really guilty that the people who were planged for it weren't really accomplices of John Wilkes Booth — on back through Dreyfus, to Socrates himself (who was in 'fact guilty under Athenlan law).

All the more useful, under the circumstances, an article of overwhelming sobrilety published in the current issue of Commentary magazine. It is called very simply was Alger Hiss Guilty?" and it could have been written by a computer, so dry and afactual is it, so obsessively concerned to duratin every last bit of bias out of the reaccounting of the story.

law at Cornell, Irving Younger, who had

served as an attorney in private practice and as an assistant United States attorney and as judge in both the civil and criminal courts in New York City. Mr. Younger has taught Evidence at both Columbia and New York law schools and he says that the question: was Alger Hiss guilty? requires, finally, that you the juror take a position concerning 10 contradictions on which Hiss and Chambers gave conflicting evidence that emerged from the sea of testimony.

Mr. Younger concludes that in respect of the first five the evidence is moot, you cannot find against Mr. Hiss without reasonable doubt. The sixth weighs in favor of Hiss. The seventh, eighth, ninth, and tenth convict Hiss.

Number seven was the famous rug, given by Chambers to Hiss as an expression of gratitude from the Communist Party. Independent testimony confirms that the rug was bought, and accepted by Hiss. Hiss' explanation of his possession of it was unreasonable beyond a reasonable doubt.

THE EIGHTH WAS the matter of Hiss's giving Chambers \$400 to buy a second-hand car. The records reveal that Hiss withdrew \$400 in cash four days before Chambers paid \$400 in cash for a car. Coincidence is unreasonably strained given the surrounding narrative, by insisting that the two transactions were discrete.

The ninth was the earlier transfer of Hiss' old Ford, which he desired philanthropically to donate for the general use of a Communist operative. Hiss said he cave the car to Chambers. The records show that

actice
torney
torney
thiss himself signed a transfer assignification
initial title to the Cherner Motor Co, which there is upon reassigned the car to one Willing the Rosen, but left the transaction out of the question goods. William Rosen, summoned thally, the stand, refused to say whether he was accern-

We approach Gotterdammerung, namly the typewriter. A huge amount of public by has been given to the typewriter, this inuated assumption among pro-Hipeople being that either Whittaker Charbers himself forged the typewriter, or othat the Federal Bureau of Investigated did. Every time there is a press conference on the matter, it is suggested that any of now it will be established that the typewrer presented at the trial was a forgery. Eany day has a way of never coming.

WHAT IS ESPECIALLY tantalize about it all is that preposterous though it it wouldn't actually after the matter if happened to be so. Because what nailed Hiss was the indisputable identity between the typewriter used in the mid-thirties. Priscilla Hiss to write letters to Bryn Ma on alumnae business, and the typewritused during the same period to write paper used during the same period to write paper phrases and copies of secret State Department documents turned over to Chamber.

Perhaps the next step is for one of Al-Hiss' lawyers to claim that Whith Chambers posed as the secretary of the Bryn Mawr Alumnae Association in 1938 the purpose of ambushing Hiss in 1948 have no doubt the training his proaround who would believe it.

CINCINNATI ENQUIRER AUC-10, 1975