1/6/68

Dear Dick,

Your 12/30, postmarked 2/1, arrived today, with the enclosed undeted Sprague letter. Both are important.

First I address the handwritten note you returned. Perhaps it is Murr, but I think you are interested in the Paris Match pictures. That note says "I think the identity of the French photographer with Lady Jean Campbell is in OD 1281 (Ex 1354 or 5), Paul Mathian 22H548".

A general comment: none of us is without error. The work we do is in a field designed to induce and facilitate it, against people who are skilled in arranging it and entrapping. So, you will understand that first of all, I can be wrong, and second, when I criticize the conclusions of others, it is in no sense negative or derrogatory.

Penn, who is a great, incorruptible and valiant guy, is inclined to shbot from the hip, not check things out, and confabulate. Dick is quite imaginative. He see what I cannot on things that have yet to be established and, unless I am wrong, cannot be (as for example the Tramp pictures and the various positive identifications made of those in it, without any of the most besic work being done). If we kept book on some of these things, the record would not be conforting. A tramenduous amount of time and effort has gone this way, and to the enormous degree Gerrison and his office have been involved it it, it has been ruinous. I cannot give more detail of this by this too-public means.

Frankly, I cennot believe any mature, experience man would have gone for what evolved as "Farewell America" even before he saw it. I do not see how anyone knowing anything at all about thematerial could credit it efter Beading it. I was in open disbelief (and not popular ffor it) as far back as February, when the reports had it an NKVD thing. I read part 3 in the summer and knew it was a fake as soon as I did, scholarly and polished or not. Stop and think for a moment: here are the guys who had access to one of the assassins in Mexico City. So, with this mervelous source, they have a single page of typescript on the assassination - and that will not stand alone or at all! Yet you should have heard such people as "arrison hold forth on it! He pissed away a fortune on it and it may yet cause a mistrial for him. That I will not have time to go into save to indicate it is with what the movie says and of the involvement of those connected with him with the movie.

Nor can I understand how men who have lived a few years in this world could beging to believe so elaborate a plot with so many unnetessary conspirators was necessary, or that the people allegedly involved would be so vulnerable. Their number alone guaranteed that. It just could not be. With individuals, it is so illogical as to be insane. It was all bait for us, and so many took it so avidly. Hunt. What an ideal selection, and Cohn, with their histories! Much to obvious admanufacture, much too perfectly tailored. Naturally, Penn would go for it no matter what else it said if it went after Hunt. He believed that before the book.

These guys take whatever Garrison says as the given word. They are uncritical, do not do their own thinking and too often he does none at all). Whyn the very means by which the ms was sent him should have made a very young and entirely inexperience youth have questions. When I had them in February, in California, where it first surfaced (in real nut country, and I do not meen the radical right alone) and asked a few of our boys to do a few counterintelligence things, like getting a few pictures of people, instead of doing it they told the subject -and never told me they did this or would not do what I asked. I cannot begin to tell what that alone cost, how ruinous it may by now have been. I am shocked that Sprague could have read the entire book and been exstatic. I the n read one part and elmost puked because - knew its excited acceptance. The "imformation" he says "they have been providing ever wince" is the kind of stuff that overflows toilets- not a damned bit of it eny good at all. The two "investigators" who were sent to "geneve and Peris" were Los Angeles kids, one stapid, sycophantic but dank dedicated - the one who told the guy whose picture I asked him to take. He came back after a wonderful vacation with nothing but excrement he alchemized into diamonds. The other was on a vacation peid for by his fether. Both carried Garrison credentials, enother stupidity I have since been able to bring to an end. The second is a very fine young men, now 19 and excellent and mature for those years. He was conned. As recently as "ovember he would not listen to me, He has since changed 100%, and 100% too late.

But when Sprague says they are Xformer French intelligence he says something I did not know. Can you get any details on this? I fear he will not find my opinions welcome, whether he has now changed his mind or not. Garrison has and so have the boys, both.

Aside: if nothing else, the concept of the book make me believe it is CIA. It uses a hackneyed device, if you stop and think. his, incidently, was my opinion before I saw any of it, so I could be reading things in that may not be justified.

No reread Sprague's conjectures on why the books were not mailed out! And the paragraph that follows. How could be possibly know this, save by taking the judgement of others whose judgement he should already have held in question, and taking that blindly? Aside from his own self-decit in his reading. Why he is in possession of photographic proof that establishes the invalidity of the book. The swallowed the crap about their having a photographer on the scene, decided that must have been the abushke lady (no more unlik by or conspiruous person is possible).

This is not to run Dick down at all. He has done fine work, and i have turned over to him what I could, helped as I could. But if an experienced man can go this hog wild, where are we?

May I also suggest to you that enything Joesten is for you should question on that beeis alone? He is irresponsible, a literary thief, a fictioner without conscience and dubious principle. He makes it up as he goes, stealing what he can, without even benefit of the 26, unless he has gotten them very recently.

My inmediate interest, let me explain, is counterintelligence and prevention, in the event some of this surfaces in the trial. Therefore, I ask that when you send me enything, please include envelopes, if for only the stamps, because some day - might have toxwrite this.

December 11 Lamarre could have been in wither New York or Montreal, with Jaffe. I picked them both up at their New Orleans hotel the morning of the 9th. They left for "ew York midday, planned to go to Montreal Wednedbey. By then he had already had a great shock when Vince Salandria blew his cool and his stack and may have ruined excellent chances for getting to the bottom of the

whole thing. That is another tale.

My kindness to them that morning yielded high devidents in information. I was able, for example, to establish the worst, the very wrong connections Lamarre Mas. Sorry, I cannot detail by this means. Vince made any further effort by me a futility. Lamarre will never again talk to me because he connects me with Vince, who was really terrible and paranoid. Also stupid. He calded them both CIA agents, to their faces! Not that he knew anything, for he didn't, not a blessed things!

The name Sutto you mention is new to man. Can you please get me all the details, if only from legal directories? Have you any way of getting any data on the firm? (Remarkable, a "rench law firm with the great coincidence of a 1066 phone number! Have they defended any of the extremists, the bombers?)

In short, Dick, not a single detail or fact you can get is too inconsequential. This is not ^French intelligence. ^It is intelligence. ^It is wellfinanced and cannot return the investment.

When you next tell me that Thompson actually does have Z, and ^I know they have Z in the movie of "Farewell", can you imagine how much you excite me? My initial reaction, when I read the SEPost contraction of his book, was that if he were not CLA they got their best job free. How many sources do you think there are for the Z film? Where could it have been "stolen" or obtained ? Not from LIFE, the Archives or the FBL. That left only the CLA or, ss you now say, Thompson had it. I was trying to learn from Lemarre whether they had 210 when vince crabbed it. That would have pinpointed more. However, "Tink may have had a first copy, made in Dellas, and a black and white, made from the undamaged original, in Chicago. Your analysis indicates he is no closer than second generation, copy of the copy of whe more you know what to seek. Do not disclose any of this, for that will turn him (them) off.

[±]ou are right about Willis, but I am certain he is out of range by w 206. The rest of your paragraph interests me much. You realize I have been saying this from the first. But you reach your conclusions by other means entirely. lease do outline it. "emember, I've had another book that also goes into this done for a year and a half, POST MORTEM. I have some of the secret stuff indicating a hit much earlier than your lowest figure.

I cannot imagine Popkin's silence if he has such pictures. I'd love them for COUP D(ETAT, which deals with all the assassinations, written in ⁵une and saying much of what ^Huie has sime said. Trent also saw ^Huie. I have a friend whose judgement ¹ have learned to trust pretty much who evaluates ^Huie as a very competent and equally bad man personally....There is no end to the identities Sprague has for "Frenchy"...Another guess is that ^Huie got scared and will not deal with any of us. ... Now on those tramp pictures; Inhave information I cannot vouch for but from a source who has the means of learning that the arrests, if hhey were really arrested, were made other than at the TSBD. It was at the back of the Post Office. My Dalles phonebood tells me there is the "ferminal" station at 207 S. Houston, certainly the closest. My map of Dallas tells me there is no street between Houston and the RP tracks at this point. Therefore, had the arrest been made there, the likely path would have been up the tracks to the Triple, thence to the police cers at the TSBD. I am more willing to balleve this than anything I have heard from enyone else, none of which has any meaningful substantiation. Only endless wishful thinking end confabulation....

Is it not likely that Hoover got his pitch from Frazier, that they all assumed putting the sight back in exatcly the same place (and how could they do otherwise?) led them to assume it would sight exactly the same way? You have to look to who took the sight off. If I were making nominations, one, certainly, would be Day. Another the agent, I think Drain, who took it to DC. Is it not odd there is no record of any question being asked about this? Looking forward to your tracing that down.

Perhaps "Ferewell" will be hurtful. I think if this is the case, we'll know at the trial, hence my acute interest in all I can get. I have some of the Europeen names involved. Now I wish I'd followed the student protests against Springer better. Did they claim he was CIA by any chance? However, there is also the possibility it afforded us for a little intellectual judo. It has been epplied. We'll have the to wait and see with what result, if any. Further explenation will have to ewait possible future more direct contact.

Which reminds me: when you are in Trenton, if you are driving, you are only a little over three hours from here in light-traffic times. There is duel highway, mostly expressivey, to three miles from cur home. It's an invitation.

It is efter my late bedtime, but let me bring you up to date on a few things. Todet thew's lewyers made three motions, one for a change of venue, to be argued Friday, one to quash, and one for the continuance of bail during the trial, which was unopposed. The judge has announced court will sit from 9 s.m. do 5:30 p.m. daily, with an hour and a half off for lunch - six days a week. Resting on the 7th means court from 1:30-5:30 p.m. That will be rough. Today Leemans voluntarily gave Gerrison's office an affidavit saying he lied on the NBC show because he and his family had be an repeatedly, enonymously threatened. He said those threatening him were followed by Internal Revenue agents who leaned and fortified, that, following the telephoned "advice", he went to Irwin Dymond, Shaw's layer, who told him to call day or night if he needed help, not to worry about bail, etc. He saw Dymond, who funcelled him to S heridan, who taped him in Asron Kohn's office, with Dymond present. He said he regretted the herm he had done an innocent assistant DA named Lee, who he had said, had bribed him. He said his conscience hed been bethering him.

I expect to go to New Orleans about a weak before the beginning of the trial and to be there through it. The pictures and X-rays have again been subpensed, and there may be a court hearing on that in Washington very soon. I do not know what Garrison has on Shaw. I know only what I know and believe. I am satisfied he is Bertrand.

Dick, e word not intended as derrogation: leanr to evaluate these of us with whom youhare in touch. Some are not really solid workers. do not really stay on the ground. This doesn't meen they are not fine people, dedicated, willing, often doing important things. It does mean that some sure as hell tear off in all directions carrying too many too often with them. The influence of several such on Garrison was enormous-and very hurtful. They kept him from his hoghwork, holding out great rewards in solving everything and becoming a great hero, and he trusted and believed them. So, remarkably little work was done in the one area he has jurisdiction. I believe that Bredley-Crisman stuff is crap, and so do I regard all they phoney preachers, Negell, all that stuff he went for and spoke of as though he had personally verified it. "e is one of the Most trusting men in the world, with an unfailing instinct for the wrong people. The wonder is he didn't ruin everything before this and from this. I do not believe Boxley was still CIA, as 'im charged, but to me it makes no difference. He could not have done more harm had he been. Turner was in the same bost. Between them not a single verified thing thet can go to court, and andless diabrhea of attractive fictions, and a monster diversion that weakens the case now coming up. Did they have to be sgents. Is it not enough if they are cick, or wrong or enything else. Their record if clawless. Not a single worthwhile thing, and they account for the expenditure of most of his time, money and effort.

All of this is strictly between us, unless you are face to face with Gary Murr. No others.

by the way, if and when you get the French version of "Farewell", see if you can interpret the dedications and credits, which are first-names for the U.S.

The movie was originally scheduled for 11/22/68. It did not fome off. It did not arrive. Lamarre said he would fly with it personally and then silence. Now scheduling else was scheduled for 11/22 - end it didn t come off. It had been carefully erranged by some supposedly on our side who I think are of 100% evil influence, regardless of motive. What a coincidence! But Lemerre was in the US end in New Orleans 17 days later, with the story he had almost died but the complete change of his blood had saved him. I tell you, it was a remarkable recovery - and how very fast:

He did a stupic thing, but probably one he though he would get away with, having decided we were all fools and self-deceivers, based on his estimate of those he had met and Gerrison. He had a showing for the critics, some of whom, like Maggie and Ray, knew the meterial. And by that time they had enough of an idea what had hapmened in New Orleans. So, they tore him up something terrible. I understand at that point he gave up and flew back to Europe. However, if he is in touch with you - which I doubt will not happen - please be friendly, reveal none of this, and learn what you can. He is vain, convinced he is suppior to others, makes little effort to hide his lack of regard for others, is or was intelligence.

Now there are not too many "former" French - or any mind - of agents kicking around. "enerally, it is mutually beneficial for the relationship to continue, if I may be subtle. A whole bunch of them getting together on an unprofitable, offbeat project requiring a very large investment and yielding no income is most improbable, to me at least. Therefore, I conclude they are not former, and their connection is not French.

If some of this is not pleasent, it is sincere and I am convinced it is accurate. Make you own judgement. Do not let it throw you. Probably worse news is not too far in the future. We will survive it. I now have and so such that is so awful and so entirely beyond question the only (and what a big) problem is getting attention for it.

Have a real good year.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisborg

1/7/69

Dear Paul and Gary (and no others),

The attached are the best copies I can make of two letters I received yesterday from Dick Bernabei, one of my correspondents and a young man whose work has been solid and whose approach as been very good. He had done fine things on trajectories, new things of real meaning. He has been sitting on Dawnay, trying to keep him on the ground (which is no easy task with Joesten pulling upward). I send these two you, hoping they will not lead you to hasty and perhaps unfair judgements of the men, because I think you should know the content on "Hepburn". Perhaps some of the information will also have meaning to you, especially if you followed the German student disturbances and recall if anything of special interest came out then about Springer, who seems willing to publish libelous material.

If you have any means of learning more about the Canadian angles and names, that could be helpful. As time goes on, I become more concerned we have in not had the end of this operation.

A small thing that fascinates me, with the FrenchOminority activities and attitudes in Canada: a law firm with a possibly French name that has a phone number 1066! That was quite a year for the French vs the English, wean't it? So, I wonder of the other connections of this firm.

Paul, no reason not to share this with Kim and Hal, asking them that it go no further, for these letters should not be held against those who wrote them, especially not Dick.

I think the Thompson content can be of enormous importance. ¹ knew he had once started to copy the film, in the form of stills, and been caught or stopped. If you recall my initial reaction when his stuff first appeared in the SEP, you perhaps understand how this excites me. His attempt was in the LIFE office, when he worked there. Recall sloo the limited number or sources of Z.

I'd be interested in shy thoughts you have. I am gradually accumulating names of those connected with Lamarre, in England and on the continent, and some first-names only in the U.S., where the French edition expresses indebtedness to Pat, Ann, Bernard and Mary-Ann. Two of these names link in my kind end not with any comfort!/They are the first names of two people I know, man and wife! Pat and Bérnard. Of course, this is without meaning without proof, for these are not uncommon names.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

FROM DICK SPRACE 3 - 1 Harmand Drune Freedolates Prove 10530 TO DICK BERNABEI RE FAREWELL AMERICA The University Elub One West Sifty Fanith Atreet New Pork 19, N. P. Llean Dick (BERNAGE) This is a grade note to ask a favor of you, All answer all of your other letters and points later. The book Perm Jones spins to is the old book The P.P. I by James pour, I don't know whether you know its history ar not just it is very signifiant. You read, in sure, Garrison's public remarks about French intilligence penetrating the JFK assassination team and sending him information on the plot and plotters. Last May be showed me what they had sent. It was a braft of The Part, subsequently they contacted him from Geneva and int him more details and have been providing information ever since. I wo investigators have been to Gineva and Paris and checked out the story The group calling themselves James Hepburn and and French Intelligence people now private retegins, who did penetrate The Committee", the mane they have given to The higher level people who arranged for JEK's assassination French and German and several other languages. The French version watch L'Amerique Boule and copies and another from steve Burton in me donet importunately d Los Angiles, I bought star The enclosed ad OVER don't read French, do

appeared in Ramparts Magazine this month, I jumped for joy and sent a check to Tara for 10 copies in English of Farewell America you see it in the enclosed envelope, In trying to check through Ramports to see what happened. There are several possibilities I suppose. The CIA could have chosen this method of Postal interception to keep the books out of the. U.S. Or the whole address, name and ad could be a fake. On the French group might have set up shop at that address, and been closed down quickly by CIA through RCMP or Consdian intelligence. There is no telephone listed at the allow I can tell you this much, the book is not a fake, nor is the group who wrote it. They have most of the facts about the shots and the actions in Dealey Plaza correct, They there out with everything else I know. The only names named in the book as being part of the Committee, that we don't know from other sources, are Will Fritz and Jesse Curry. The methods the French people used to penetrate The Committee were typically Franch; women agents. can check out the firm and the address, or one you close enough to do it? Dick (SPRAGUE)

Dear Harola:

A thousand thanks for your warning about Farewell America. Enclosed is a copy of Sprague's letter to me about the book; it will explain for you the origin of my almost unbridled enthusiasm. Besides this, Penn Jones appended a note to a brief letter that he sent to me about another matter. In the note he said to watch out for the book because it gave the plot from begining to end. Jones did not discuss the authorship. Joesten had read a serialized version of the book (then called <u>The Plot</u>) in a German tabloid by the name of <u>Bild am Sonntag</u> (I think that this is published by Springer Verlag in Berlin). Several weeks ago Joesten asked me if I had heard of Hepburn, and whether the book was authentic. I could give him no information for I did not connect Jones's <u>Farewell America</u> with The Plot. I then got Spragae's letter and I began to thump with enthusiasm.

In accordance with Spragae's request, I sent \$7 to Tars Editions in Montreal and asked that they send me at least one copy(paid in advance with the \$7) and 10 copies (for Sprague) which I would pay when I received them. At the time I did not know whether XX Tars was legitimate, and did not want to send as much as \$50 to a company that perhaps aid not even exist. In my letter I expressed extreme interest in the book and offered my help in getting copies into the States if they had trouble moving them across the boraer.

It was on the basis of the enthusiasm that I expressed in that letter that Lamarre phoned me on (or about) December 11. He explained that they had overcome their aifficulties in getting the books into Canada, and that the copies that I requested would soon be sent to me. Chiefly, however, he was interested in securing my help in setting up a showing of the movie here at the University. Naturally, I said that I would do what I could, and I have indeed made preliminary arrangements. I am awaiting further word from Lamarre before I go farther. Lamarre asked what caused me to volunteer my help, and I explained that I knew about the authorship of the book; that Hepburn was a psedonym representing a group of individuals. I do not think that I mentioned French intelligence. I said that I had received information about the authorship from a person who was in touch with Garrison.

After I spoke with Lamarre and was thinking about our conversation, I realized that he was calling from New York, and not from Montreal, as I had supposed. A few days later I wrote to Tara Editions (Lamarre had given me the name and phone number of Mr. Sutto) and told them to advise Lamarre that if he was in N.Y. he should contact Dick Sprague or Trent Gough for help.

When my books failed to arrive after a few weeks, I called Sutto and asked about the delay. He said they would be sent within a week or two. The distribution of the book is being handled by Sutto's law firm in Montreal. I gather that the address on Bresoles Street is little more than a mail arop. The phone number of Sutto's law firm is 844-1066; T do not know the name of the firm.

Before I heard from Lamarre, and when I had no reply fo my request for books from Tara, I wrote to Dawnay and asked him to look out for it in Europe and on the chance that I could not secure the books here. I gave him the name of the French version, too, (I'Amerique Brûle). Dawnay got hold of the French version and gave me a very brief account of it. He said that the book described the events on Dealey Plaza pretty much as Joesten had outlined them, but thet it dealt mainly with advance plotting before the shooting. He said the L'Amerique Brûle failed to give details that were printed in the German serialized account that Joesten told him about. Specific ally he said that L'Am. B. did not mention the amount that was paid to Curry and Fritz, whereas the German account did.

I have requested a copy of the French version from Steve Burton #Spr-1

(Sprague said burton had copies), but I have not received a reply from him. I gather that people are buying up the French version very fast, even though few can read French. Lamarre said that both the French and English versions are available from Tara.

Also I have written to Springer Verlag in Berlin for back copies of the issues of Bild am Sonntag that give the German version (sorry, I do not yet know the dates of the issues). That was only a few days ago, so I have not heard from them.

That is about the whole of my experience with Farewell America. I appreciate being put on guard about it, for I would indeed hate to be responsible for promoting an untruth in this case. I try like hell to beware of red herrings, but I must often rely on the judgment of others who I think know more than I do. If Sprage had not read the material himself, and were relying solely on Garrison's word, I believe I would have been more wary. But I trust Sprague, and I was reassured by his personal knowledge of the matters involved. Besides, Penn Jones had put me on the lookaout for the book, and I believed that I had more than enough reason to regard it authentic and important. There is no real harm done, though, for I had only mentioned it to

a few people, and can now urge a measure of caution.

I spent the past weekend in Toronto at a convention of taxexexexxx teachers of Classics, and there I spoke for a time with Dan Gillis, who was a fellow student with me at Cornell. Gillis, you may recall, works with "hompson at Haverford College. Gillis is not entirely familiar with investigations surrounding the assassination, but he had a few init pieces of information that will interest you.

Most interesting is this, for ir **xx** relates to your remarks about who has copies of the Zapruder film. He said that Thompson has a copy of Z. Then he said that, I merely commented that that must be how he managed to make such accurate sketches for his book, and Gillis confirmed that that was the case. I did not ask whether Thompson's copy of Z has the missing frames, for I suppose that it does; he prints them in his book. If Thompson printed all that he had, then his copy does not contain the material between the sprocket holes, for they are not shown in his book. I may get down to the area of Trenton, N.J., in a month or so, and Gillis will then try to errange for me to see Thompson. I may then get a chance to see what he has.

If I get to see Z210 I will take special note of it for you-- especially the area between the sprocket holes. I suppose that one of the things that interest you there is the movement of Phil Willis, although if it is in order to establish a shot before Z210, that hardly seems necessary. I think that photo evidence already exists definitely to prove a blast before Z195 and a JFK hit before Z200. If you want me to send you an outline of points that bear on the question when the first shot was fired, please tell me. It all is based on the movements of SS agents Hickey and Bennett, and on those of JFK and Mrs K as visible in Zapruder, Betzner, and Willis 5. Probably our views are the same re-

garding the timing of the first shot, but if you want me to outline mine, please tell me so, and I will. Ithink that the evidence is quite conclusive: a blast (but not necessarily a hit) before Z195, and a JFK hit before Z200.

Gillis mentioned some other things that you may wish to know. He said that Richard Popkin has some photos (four, I think) taken at some

sort of political rally a few days before Bob Kennedy was shot, and that they show Sirhan with the girl in the polka dot dress. I don't know when whether Gillis saw the pictures or merely heard about them.

8

Here is another issue that is hanging fire-- that is, I don't know whether matters have gone beyond the point that I know about.

Canadian Broadcasting Company several weeks ago ran a TV interview with Ex William Bradford Huie when two of Huie's Look articles had alread been published. It was not of very great interest, for he gave no data that added to the material in his articles. But in the course of the interview he made a cryptic remark which I correctly interpreted to mean that he was going to talk with Sprague and hear what Sprague had to say about a possible connection between the JFK and MIK killings. Huie did not say much, but I knew what Sprague was up to, and I put two and two together.

I mulled over it for a few days and finally resolved to write Sprague and tell him that I thought Huie was either a fool or a scoundrel, and to be on guard. **Spragnetsxreplyxindicatedxthat** By the time he got my letter, Sprague had already talked with Huie. Sprague's reply to me indicated that he had indeed interested Huie in the possiblility that "Frenchy" is the Raoul who Hured Ray into the plot. Huie said he would follow up the lead as Sprague suggested, and would show Frenchy photos to Ray. I was delighted to learn that Huie was going to co-operate with the "scavengers", for the possibility of opening up a line directly to Ray was very exciting. Sprague seemed very encouraged, for Huie gave him some information which indicated that he thought Sprague serious enough to trust (I'll mention that info below). However, Sprague wrote subsequently and indicated that for some unexplained reason Huie had cooled to Sprague's "wooing", and that the line to Ray might now be closed.

It drives me wild that Huie has not yet published his promised third article about Ray, for it is supposed to deal with Ray's activities after the shooting-- material that I am especially interested in, his travels in Camada and Europe. Not that I will necessarily believe what he says, but I would like to know what Ray (or those who control him) would like us to think. I cannot help thinking that Sprague might have touched a raw nerve in his conversation with Huie, and that in his subsequent inquiries Huie learned something that he dared not even imagine. That is purely a guess, and things may have changed since my last letter from Sprague, but that third article about Ray is a hell of a long time in coming.

This is what Huie told Sprague. He said that he has a witness who got a good look at "Willard", the man who is supposed to have done the shooting. This is a witness that the FBI does not know about; a woman, I think. The witness said that Willard was not Ray. That ties in neatly with the failure of known witnesses to identify photos of Ray as the person they knew as Willard.

Ray's story, according to Huie, is that he was outside the hotel at the time of the shooting, waiting in the Mustang. Ray says that Raoul did the shooting, and that he (Ray) had no knowledge that King was going to be shot.

The reason why Sprague is interested in Raoul is because Ray's description of Raoul corresponds closely with Frenchy. Also some other things indicate that Frenchy may have been involved.

Sprague did not mention Dawnay's inquiries in London. Just as well, for if Dawnay is right, it is best not to give "them" time to think up a plausible excuse for the hanky-panky that might have gone on in London. I especially want to see what develops in that regard. After I sent you the material from CIL-- the tests re trajectory--I re-read Frazier's testimony and noted parts that may negate the assertions that I made previously. I have not yet had time to check the testimony of those who handled the rifle before Frazier.

Even if the trajectory tests prove worthless because the scope was removed before Frazier got it, there is still grounds for accusing fakery.

The WR distinctly gives the impression that the rifle was sighted high and to the right at the time of the assassination, for it asserts that such an arrangement would be advantageous to a shooter in "Oswald's" window. That assertion is based not only on something that Frazier says in his testimony, but (perhaps even more directly) on a letter from Hoover to Rankin in which Hoover makes that claim. I do not yet have the reference for that letter, for I saw it at a time when this issue did not especially interested me, and merely made a mental note that it existed. The letter clearly indicates that Hoover believed the rifle was sighted in high and to the right at the time of the shooting. Moreover, I am almost certain that the wording of that passage of the WR was taken from Hoover's letter to Rankin.

I had to go the that conference before I could trace any of the relevant material. I'll especially note the testimony of Lt. Day and of FBI agents Latona and Stombough, for one of them may have removed the scope before Frazier got it.

I'll collect the material, and when it's ready I'll send it to you. It may take time though, for I am preparing lectures for a new school term.

I hope that this business with Farewell America does not upset things, thought it might, if indeed it is phony. I lapped up Sprague's account of the authorship, and it's clear that Sprage is fully convinced that it is authentic-- he relies very heavily on Garrison. Also Penn Jones.

This thing could easily get out of hand.

Still,

Dick

Bernabei