## Dear Bud and Bob,

## 2/15/71

Renfro Hays phened me last night, which was a very nice thing for him to do, and I enjoyed it. It is one of the two reasons I got up earlier than my usual early this morning (the other to get more time in on assembling my papers in 2569-70). I write ypu and Bob about it for several reasons, one being the potential value of some of what he told me, the other potential booby-traps.

We are all somewhat subject to the Perry Mason syndrome, perhaps the man on the spot more so. and in too many ways, what Hays said is his third version of the same things, which is not encouraging to trust in his work, since these are the most basic things.

Another troubling aspect is the undercurrent that lingers, of pinning something on black militants, the contrivance that crippled Hanes. (Not that Ray didn(t).

Still another that is less serious and more human, but makes me a bit wary, if <u>his</u> formulation of <u>why</u> he called. Bob had loaned him the proofs of FRAME-UP and he'd stayed up all night reading it. He w midd to tell me of some ministives in it. Of the "mistives" he single out, only one, even in his terms, <u>can</u> be, and it is not essential to the defense. He now says the second white car was a Fairlane (and when he also tells me that the so-called Ray wasting is his property, registered in his name, I cannot but wonder if conmercial considerations itrzide u on the factual with him.

However, in his enumeration of my erros, where he says exactly what I said, he adds what could be quite helpful to the defense if it can be proved, and for me this means by something more than his word. Even Hanes' at this point.

One is my mistakes on the sequence os the wounds, these again his words. "e says now what I wrote, but the mistake" is in the nature of the fatial wound, which I didn't go into except for its size. He says that from a source he cannot use because it would cost him his job, one Benny Thornton, he learned that the fragmentation of the bullet at this point was such that it was like shotgun pollets. I thing this unlikely from the bullet allagedly used, but I have dependable sources and I'll check this out for you and bob to see first, it it is possible, and second, if it is probable. The significance, to me, has nothing to do with my writing but with what the prosecution was up to, and on this he said more that, without his giving it such meaning, is consistent, if true. All hunting bullets have a certain frangibility built into them. However, I thing that that kind of fragmentation is not the intent of the design of the Lokt-Core. Now I use this phrasing because if I am correct in saying it is not the intent of the design, it is not to say it couldn't happen. However, he now says he is certain this was a hollowpoint bullet, which t he okt-Core is not. "onsistent with what he now says is some of the spinsa opinion attributed to the police at the time, but not necessarily so. What I as saying is that the initial police opinion. which could have come from police no more qualified than you or I, was that It was a dumdum type, and that can also be said of the Lokt-Core.

I would hesitate to recommend any immediate Memphis inquiry into any of these matters now and I would recommend strongly against any local inquiry that is not essenthtial to your most immediate legal needs, which, I accume, means none. I think otherwise you'll sponsor more clandestime interest in the form of opposition that will turn people off, and if you win in court, it has a bett r chance of turning them on. You can be sure that as of the past six weeks, the Indespensible Man has had greater interest down there than he has for a while, and I assume he nover lost the self-preservation interest (at least) that he always had.

If this allegation is true, then inevitably it will be in the facial A-rays of the autopsy at the to y least. I'm assuming, without checking, that they were made. It is as close to impossible as anything can be that there was the kind of fragmantation he describes without some single fragment, no matter how minute, reaming at some point in the adjacent tissue. And it is impossible for the timest, dustOlike fragment not to show in an a-ray. It would be fluorescent.

But what Hays is really talking about id a lot more sinister than this would indicate, for to this point it addresses on the cornoner. However, he adds two things to it, and I have no independent knowledge of one, but there is a kind of confirmation of the other. He says there was a casing found or said by the police to have been found in the bathroom, which can't be the case with a single shot if one remained in the pixme. weapon. And he says that the slug shown Hanes is not the one they took from king, but larger, which, assuming Hanes was a) truthful and b) knew what he was talking about, would makes sense of his claim that the markings were sufficient for identification. While I first this kind of dishonesty is a bit excessive, given even the known dishonesty of those we are dealing with, and their needs and motives, in nonetheless regard it as something that ought not be cast aside out of hand. I think it is something that should be kept in mind but entirely out of mouth until there is preparation for a trial.

Fis story that the bushes were cut down on the 29th is interasting int that it can be an indication of local conspiracy. What he now says of the black firemen and his sympathetic comments on the black police is irrelevant except as commentary on his own attitudes, and they can be crippling.

What interested me most of all, for I regard none of these things as of real value to the defense and all of potential harm to it, at least as of this moment, is that he saud the DeSote Motel in on the road to New Orleans, not near or on the road on which the New Mebol is located. That can have considerable significance, if developed properly, and can be a meaningful lead. You will recall my deep doubts about the time reconstruct ion, for they are explicit. And whave bith shared the New Orleans interest. Here I digress for a moment. I have reestablished a kind of New Orleans contact, one of the few immediately approachable that can provide some of the answers we need. Or, certain kinds of help. But he'll be off the scene for several months. It is "ouis. You know, I've always had a good relationship with his, he trusted me whon he trusted so others, and I've also likeda him. The overall situation there, however, is entirely unchanged. Now he meeded a big investigative favor, in a hurry, involving his own reputation and future, and I accomplished it for him in half a day and at a cost of just under \$25.60, so he is happy, and even he made it clear that the favor was for him, personally, rather than for the office, its intediate beneficiary. The check for repayment of my nominal costs was his personal check. If and when it is necessary to do any New Orleans work (and I am aware of the hazard any presents), I now think that jouis will help me. And if anyone there can and is dependable, he is that one. "e is not an ordinary "cop"in any sense of the word, personal or professional ... . And the bit about the laundry is consistent with the ReSoto thing. Now the checking of the DeSoto Motel registration, if it hasn't been some, is in a different category than other local investigation. - I it hasn't been done, I recommed that Bob, personally, rather than Hays (who told us that Bob is now his lawyer)do it, and very openly. The FBI may have been there arleady, and this could be more impirtant that getting it. Because this is in the book and because bob i the lawyer, it would not attract under interest were he to make the inquiry. It is so obvious a thing to do it might, in fact, attract interest if it ien't done.

There is great hazard in some of his story, for even if true no jury will believe it. As you know, I believe the package was planted, not dropped by the in-flight assassin. But that it lay there for 15 minutes, the story he attributes to Canipe, and that at least three people, not including passers-by are silent on this is beyond belief. He now indists that the shot was fired from that bethroom, and I certainly can't refute it. But that is too inconsistent in too many ways with setting the frame in that ouilding. His test, with the blocks of wood, is sensible and reasonable, but it is far from ebough to get he around the baley-Plaza syndrome involving the reporting of earwitnesses. It seems to be-but may not be-inconsistent with the story about the advance cutting down of the bushes (his timing here contradicting his earlier story, as I remember that). that. This sounds much too much to we like clover feeding of the unsophisticated, of a would be Sam Spade who has the wrong accent. I am not personally critical of "ays nor do I intend to be. However, where his judgement is involved, he and Warren "mith did an enormous amount of work. They did almost all of it in the worng places, with the wrong people, getting the wrong os essentially useless answers, and missed the most obvious, which didn't even require being in hemphis. What I am saying is that their investigation, save for the masty business of Gracie, which is minor compared to what the police did, amounts to nothing. I therefore lack confidence on two scores: basic flatfoot investigation, which, especially with his local contacts, should have been "mays' strong point; and concept or overall understanding and approach. "Mays has so many contacts he can trust that it is childs-pely to feed him what anybody might want to, and about now many people ought be developing such interests, if they haven't already.

He tells me, and I have no independent knowledge, that hanse' defense was to use to defense witnesses only, one in cross-examination' Gracie and Canipe. I can't believe this, but for the moment I take his word. He says that Canipe gave Hanes a statement the details of which four one including what I reported above. If true, this is important, if only because it is a)withheld and b) entirely contrary to the one used by authority. But, if he was trithful, Hays' local investigation seems to have missed all that is essential for court use. For example, while daying that Lt. Gormley was at Canipess in time for his reporting of the find to be on the police radie at 6:04, which is very valuable information and i hope true, he also says he has no anowledge of that photographers took what pictures there and when!

I have no personal anti-Hays feelings, and 1 have no resentant at his calling mistakes that are not. This is not my point in taking this such pre-dawn time when I have such prensing things to dead under a deadline. It is because of my apprehensions that I take the time, firt on approach and next on timing. And I am satisfied that however good he may be in him own wind of investigating, this is not the kind of a case for that kind of touch or investigation. - fear the influence on your and Bob's thinking.

This is one on which "eover will be really up tight, and I think it would be a serious mistake to underestimate two things above al.: what this can mean and what he might do. Mays is ripe fruit for him, an easy mark. You'll run ragged on the trivial. Haybe I am reading too much into this, but if I am now, I f ar the hazard may be great in too many ways. With no prospect that your client's stayin jail can be interediately influenced by maything, my strong encouragement is that you be real cool and infinitely patient, taking first things first and to the degree humanly possible avaiding the rest. I think the essence in these kinds of things in timing, and where I've been able to get what others couldn't and where I've been able to turn on those others couldn't, a large part of it was proper timing, meaning not doing it at what seemed to be the wrong time and doing it at what seemed like the right. This ranges from Hall, Howard and the like (and everybedy talked to them and they'd even gone to court and won) to Kleindienst. Eccides a few in New Orleans. So, don't let the taste of solution that is merely unticipation of the taste jeopardize th feast.

I realize Mays may have given me the wrong impression, but " also feel 4 have to express these fears, sight hos you ought be no more than lasyers doing no more than straight law work, so far as anyone anywhere can tell not interested in <u>any</u> investigation. Besides DeBote. Besides, for your client, you now need nothing else. It is only for the solution of the crime that investigation is now needed, and the tizing is way off on that. There is no prospect there until you have a court victory, and effort in that direction nos can ruin the prospects for the future. And, skid row produces the least dependable witnesses. After you have a court victory, there are many conflicts that will make the kind of intellectual jude that is essential possible. The best time to flush rats from a ship is when they think it is sinking. The most obvious conflict will be between the federals and the locals, and you will, with patience and luck, find the locals will have to come to you. Who down there is going to slit his own throat for Washington of Hoover? Or, as some may see it, for Clark?

When it comes to the future, one of the best spurces will be black. There Hays will be counterproductive, for his hatred can't hide itself. They'll never talk to him and his presence may be enough to keep them from talking. When you get to the point where local investigation can be useful and move t ings forward, he'll have to be what I'd estimate to be difficult for him, obscure in all of this, or you'll not open the right mouths. You'll keep them closed, for fear along has kept them closed to now and that will not be relieved. The other level is one requiring a certain a o at of sophistis cation and will involve the kind of people who would not seek out a flatfort and would not talk to any but a seer. Where Hays can be of great value is in stuff the lowerlevel police can supply. The and they can comminate.

That he would and did phone we I do appreciate. But that after reading so much that very obviously, he hadn't write already known and out together for himself and then could feed me back so little does not build my confidence in him. He yielded no inkling of essential new information on any level and even less of the ultimate source of any conspiracy, not a single thing that could point that way or to anyone else. The closest thing to it was his ill-hiden hatred of black militants. And who he might consider militant is another matter.

I have taken two steps toward turning blacks on. Both have, so far, worked. Both have written phonen in response to my litters. Both hold some prospect. I'm writing, not bryin, further. One in particular has agreat idea that he doesn't connect with anything that can be of value to you, but if he does what he is going to try and do, you'll have a black open sesame. There is no rush. Wait for it.

And, perhaps more important than anything else at this juncture, especially with all the legal work there is to do, I think you should await the impact of the book. With constribution, it might do so g od in Memphis an that area.

I've written McEuchran twice, with no response. The second time I want him a copy of the Publisher's Weckly review. Silence in response to that indicates no present interest. Silence on the subject is not accouraging.

Right now I think such can depend on the attention the book gets and on any appearances I can make locally. In the sat, I've found my appearances in talk shows, for example, very productive. Some, in other areas, continue to produce things after many, many years. This includes areas in which you have no interest, but 'im has an idea about one and shat it has giv n me. I think it wise to wait and see. We have to do what Jia made impossible, and this is not an accidental phrasing. If and when I can get to discussing the case in and around Komphis, laying it on mover and Washington and making that defense which is possible of the locals, we may find something. Until then, I think it wrong to look, wrong to do any more than pick up that over which we might stumble.

Apologies to gob for my typing, for I'll not have time to read this.

Since ly,