## 2/15/71

Dear Bud and Bob,
Renfro Hays phoned ne last night, wisch was a very nice thing for hin to do, and I enjoyed it. It is ony of the two reasons I got up carlier than ray isual early this morning (the other to get wore tine in on assemblimg ky papars in 2569-70). I write ypu and Bob about it for auveral reasons, bin bing the jotential vilue of some of what he told se, tha other potential booby-traps.
ve pre all sowwhat subject to the Perry Mason syndrone, perheps the man on the spot wors so. and in too bany ways, what liays said is his thim version of tho satal things, which is not encouraging to trust in his work, since these are tias fost basic things. Anotive troublius aspect is to undercurrent thet lingers, of pinilins something on
black ailitants, the contrivance that crippled Hanes. (Not that Ray dian $(t)$.

Still who thur fout La leas strious and more human, but nakes :ae a bit wary, if hig formulation of why he called. Bob had lowed hia the proofs of FRASS-UP and he'd stayed up all might ruailg it. fie wated to tell me of som wiatolea in it. Of timp "mistakes" he singl: out, only one, even in his terns, can be, and it is not ossuntial to the det'onse. He now says the gecond white car was a Pairlane (and when he wiso tells wat that the so-called Ray aust ifg is his property, registered in his nies, I camot but woader if comercial considerations itry de $u$ on the factual with hime

Howsvar, in his emueration of my erros, where he says exactly what i said, he
 masns by something plore thm his word. sven Hanes' at tris point.

One i.s may miatakes ou tike aequence os tho wounds, these again his words. the soys now what I wrote, vut the 酙strake" is in the nuture oi the faciad. wound, which I didn't Gu into elcept for its size. IL says tian from a source ne cennot use bicause it would cost hin lii. job, ont Beany horaton, he learned that the frematatation of the bullet Et this point was such that i.t was like shotgun polletio. I thing this wilicely fros the buliet sifugody usdf, but I have dependable sources and I'll eneck this out for you and $H_{0 j}$ to seo first, it it is possible, ani secont, if it is probable. The signfficance, to iso, has nothice to do bith uy writing but with what the prosecution was $u_{p}$ to, and on this he said sore that, without his giving it such meaning, is consistent, if true. Ali hunting bulluts have a certain frangibility built into them. hiowevar, I thing that that kind of fruguentation ic not the intent of the design of tha Lokt-Core. How I use this phrusing becousu if I wir correct in saying it is noc th. intent of tha design, it is not to suy it couldn't heppen. How ver, he now says he is certain this was a holiowpoint bullet, which the okt-Core is not. "onsistent with what he now soys is somy of the बमदmana opinion astributed to the police at tha tire, but not necessauily so. What I all saying is that the injilial police opinion. which could have come from police no sure qualified than you or $I$, wa. that It was a dwadun type, and that can also be said of the Lokt-Core.

I would hositate to recon ond any insediate beaphis inquiry into any of these matters no: ant I would rocosecond stronishy andinst any local inupury that is not ossentntial to your most inwediate legal neods, which, I awowe, wenns none. I think otherwise you'li sponsor more clendestine interest in the form of op osition that will turn peosile off, and if you win in court, it has a bett $r$ chance of timin: them on. You can be sur that as of the past six weoks, the Indespessible Man ha had sr sater interest dow there than he has for as whil, and I as whe he nover lost the self-preservat on interest (at least) tinat he always had.

If this milegation i true, then inevitably it will be in te fuciul Amays of the autopsy at the te y least. I'm assming, without ch cking, th t they were uade. It is
as close to impossible as anything $c_{\mathrm{E}} A$ bes that there was the kind of fraga niation he describes without some sihile fragnent, no matter how minute, reaming at some point in the adjacont tissue. And it is fmpossible for the tiaiest, justelike fragmont not to shom in an a-ray. It would be fluprescent.

Bat what Hays is rul y talkint about ia a lut nora sinister than this would indicate, for to this point it adiresises on the cornoner. However, he adis two things to it, und I havs no indupindent knowledse of one, bit there is aik kiak of conlirmation of the other. Ho saya there was a caside found or said by the police to have been found in the bawroon, which can't be tin case with a single shot if one remaiod in the poxege. weapon. And he says that the slug shown Hanes is not tho one they took frow king, but larcor, wisch, assunin llanes was a) truthful andi b) biew what ho was talking sbout, would arakex genge of his clain that tha warkings were sufficiont for identificetion. While I ferl tim: this ifind of dishocesty is a bit excessive, sivan even tha known dishonesty of those $W$ are dealing with, and their neuds and motives, ${ }^{2}$ nonetheless regard it as something tiant oufint not on casit aside out of hamu. i think it is some thing theit should be kept in Lisud but entively out of mouth until chere is preparation for biximi.

Fis stcry that the bu hes were cut dova on the 29tiz iss interabting int that it can be an indicstion of local conspiracy. What he now says of the black firewen amid his sympsthetse comonts on tho black police is irrelevant except as comentary on his own attituden, nad thay cian do eripplins.

What inter sted me most of all, for I regard none of these thinge as of real value to the dercase and all of potintial hara to $1 t$, at least na of this aomant, $2: 3$ that h. saud the DeSot: Motel in on the road so New Orleats, not near or can the road ow which the hew of bnl is locsted. That can hav conaiderabli siedificence, it developed proparly, and can be a reanincful lead. You will recell ry deep doubts about the tine reconstruct inn, for they are explicit. And whave bith shared th New Ordeani huterest. Here I diegess for a mownt. I have reestabllahed a hind of Now crioans contrict, ono ot she fow intediately aje roachabls that can provide some of ther ansuers wo need. Or, cortain icinds of help. But he'll be off the soone for sevaral months. It is touis. Xou lnow, I'v. Always has a cood r lationship with his, he tru:sted m: whon hat trusted oothorm, and I've alse likida. him. The overall situntion there, however, is entirely unchanged. Ho: he neseded a big investigative fatoor, in huriy, involving his own roputation and future, and I. accorplitrhod it for him in hale a day and at a cost or juat wator 225.00 , go ho is hopry. And even ha nade it closer that tha favor was for him, pormonally, rather than Ior the office, its imediate bunuficiary. The check for repayment of say nominal costs
 avare of the hazard tany pressints), I now think thest qouis will holp ne. and if anyone there ann and is dependable, he is that one. De is not ra ordinary "cop"in any sense of the worl, persenal or professinnal....And the bit about the laumiry is con istont with the Resioto tiling. Liow the checking ot the Desoto Motel registration, if it hasn't bean sone, ia in a differnt category than other local investigation. $t_{1}$ it hasn' $\tau$ veen cone, I recomened that Bob, personally, rather han Hays (who toli that Bab is now his lawyer)do it, and very openly. The FBI may heve bow ther arieady, und this could ve more inpirtant that getting it. Beokuas this is in the book and because bob it the lawyer, it mould not attract under interest were he to wake the inquiry. It is so obvious a thing to do it might, in ract, atract interest if it ins't cione.

Where is grant hazard in sone of his story, for even if true no jury will beliove it. As you know, I bolieve the package wa planted, not dropied by tha in-1ingint assassin. But that it lay there for 15 minutes, the story he attributes to canipe, and that at least three poople, not including passers-by are silont on this is wyond buliur. He now inaists that the shot was fired from that bathroon, ani I cortainly can't rurute i.t. But that is too inconaistent in too many ways with settin, the frame in that ouideing. liis tost, with the blocks of wood, is sensibl and reasonable, but it is far frota cough to sat iti around the $L_{\text {ale }}$ Plaga syndrome involvine the ruporting of earwitnesses.

It sems to be-but may not be-inoonaisunt ilf the story aoout the advance cutting down of the buches "(his tiwing here contradicting his earlier story, as I remenber that). that. This sounds auch too much to we like clever feedin ${ }_{t S}$ of the unsophisticated, of a would be Sath Spacte who has the wrong aecent. I am not personaliy critical of ays nor do I intend to be. Howver, whoris his judgoment is involv d, het and Warren "mith did an emoraous amount oi work. Thay did wnosit all of it in the worng places, with the wrong poople, getting the wrong os eesentialiy useless ansury and wisued the wist obvious, which didn't even require being in hemphis. What I wa saying is that their investigation, save for the nasty business of Gracie, which 15 winor coupured to what the police uid, arounts to nothing. I thertiore lack confidence on two scores: basic flatfoot investigation, which, uspecially with his local contacts, shoula have been bays ${ }^{\prime}$ strons point; and concept or overall understanding and approzch. Heys has so many contacts he can trust that it is chulds-pely to feed hin what anybody might want to, and about now many people ousht be developing such intarestis, it they haven't wiruady.

He tolls se, and I have no independent kowledze, that hanes defonse was to use to detense witnesses only, one in cross-examination Grecie and Canipe. I can't bolieve this, but for the mowent I take inis word. He says that Canipe geve ianus a statement the details of which omit but includine what I reported above. If true, this is iaportant, if only because ixis a)wthheld and b) entierly contrary to the one used by muthority. But, if he was trithful, Hays' local investigation se ma to bav. misved all that ia esgential for court use for example, whil daying that Lt. Gormley was at Canipess in tiae for his reporting of ths find to be on the police radio at 6:04, which is very valuable information and - hopa true, iss also says ha hat no anowledge of hat photocraphera took whet pictures where and whon!

1 have no porsomal wati-ikys feelings, dal i have no resentiont et his calling nietakes hat ar not. tilus is bot ay puint in taking this pusch pre-dawn tine whon I hava such prevsing thinge to dead under at deadine. It is because of my apprehensions that I teke the tine, fixt on approach and next on timinge and I an setisfied that however gud be way ve in hiz own aind of investigating, this is not the kind of a case for that hina of touch or 2overtigation. - fear tho influace on your and Dob's thiruting.
 guriouic mistake to undereatiatertoo thing above al : what this carn atoun and what he
 laybe I ain ruadine too much into this, but if I an now, if ar the hazasa may be eroat in too many ways. With no promzect that your cilant's stayia jazl can be insediately influanced by anything, my stand encouragoment is that you be roal cool and inflat toly pationt, takias first things incot and to the decree huadriy pobsible avoiding tha rest.
 what owhas couldin't and where I've bean able to turi on thos othurg cullin't, a Lerge gart of it was propor tamite, waing not doing is at what se ned to ba the wrone time and dia, it at wha: seched like tha ritht. 'hils ranges fron Hull, Ioward and the like (and uverybody twlied to then and they" diven sone to court and won) to Klejndienst. Bucideg a few in wew Orleuns. So, den't let the taato of solution that is aerely unticipation of the taste jeopurijze th feast.

I rectiz: Havs hay have civen the wrone impresaion, but * also ferel + have to exprase thuso feans. Létion you ougtit ba no doit than lawyors doing no toor than strainthe law wurk, so fur as anyone taghiore can tell not interesten in aiy investigation. Besides DeSote. B sides, for your client, you zow noed notiang else. It is only for the solution of the crime that investigation is now needed, ne the timiny in way ofl on tant. Thore is no prospect there witil you bave a court victory, an effort in that direction no. con ruin $t$ oprospects for the future. knu, ekid row produses the least depencable witnesses. After you luve a court victory, there are many conflicts that will
make tion kind of Lhtellectual julo tiuit is essent al poratulo. The best time wo inush rats from a shiy is when they think it i: sinkiag. 'the nost obvious conflict will be betweon the federels awt the locels, ance you will, with pationce and luck, find the locils will bave to come to $y . u_{0}$ Who down ther is going to slit his own throat for Washineton of Loover? Or, as some may ses it, for Clark?
 Will bo counter productive, for his hatred can's hide itself. Way'll never talk to him
 where local investigation can be vererul und move tings formed, he'li hiv to be wat I'i eationu: to be difilicult for him, obscure in all of this, or you'll aot open the

 cation and will involve tim kimi of people who would not se k out a flatfont buh would not talk to and but a oeor. Where Hays can b; ol ar wat value is in atulit the lowor-


That he would and did phons fue I do aporeciatio. But that aft reaine: so meh that $V$ ry obviowily he hain't satea alreaky how and out tose ther fur himgelf and thon covid feed wo back so litille does not butld my contidence in hin. He yielded no
 of any usubpracy, not a aiugla tring that could point that way or to anyone alse. The closeat tilng to it we: his ill-hiiden hatred of black militants. And who ine might consilus militunt is ewother motor.

I leave ueken two stopus towead tumints blacks on. Both hava, so iar, worked. Both

 thus can be of value to you, bat it ins coess what he is goinc to try and do, you'li have 6. black opon susme. There is no rush. watit los it.

Aul, pechaps more irportant than aytilng else at tais juncture, especialy aith all the Legill woik there is th do, I cinik you should an ith the inpect of the book.

 of the Publiaher's vackiy review, Silence in raponse to that inileates no present iaterest. Silunce on tho mijuct is not sheouragine.

Picat no: I tiaine wach can supend on the at bution bis bow gets ank on any apperances I can make locally. In the ait, I'vet found ny appearances in balk shows, for exewid, vory productive. So-e, in other areas, continus to produce timing aiter
 akout on and inat it has give me. I thinic it wise to wat and see. We have to do what

 tiot dufense which is poesible of the locals, we may fink sotochine. Unt il then, I think it wrong to louk, wronj; to do any mor. thes jick up thut over which we wight stumble.

Apologies to Bob for my typize, for I'll not hove timo to read this.

