Mr. M. Richards Rolupp, Spec. Ase't. to the Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Nucleington, D.C.

Deer Mr. Relappe.

Your letter of the 10th does not respond to mine of the 4th, which is fitting and proper, this being the prectice of Mr. Kleindienst. Not does it ac urately quote my request, again consistent with his letters.

I therefore begin with the request that you respond to my letter which, swong other things, cites the requirement that the Department of Justice communicate with whatever agency it sent these papers to. Your letter does not say you did not have these papers, which is fortunate, because I have proof you did, If you do not have them, and I suggest you consider the possibility you have here been misinformed, you do know what you did with them. I sited the Atterney General's memorandum to you on this point and you evaled it, which involves an additional violation of that language I cited,"...Every effort should be made to avoid encumbering the applicant's path with procedural obstacles..." This is one. Foreign me, needlessly, to invoke the Freedom of Information Act is another.

As a motter of fact, you assume what you had to know was inadequate, and "assume" is your owns word. The most essuel comparison between your letters of the 10th and find on this point establishes this. I did not ask for what you "assume" I asked for, as you well know, but I saked for what I did not ask for and you understood me to be asking for.

That the government, especially the Department of Justices would densught but frustrate the clear intent of the law, if not violete it, is always inappropriate, but when it does so with matters involving the assassination of a President and more, the government's investigation of that assassination, it is simply beyond belief, especially when the spokesmen for that government are today making the public statements so well reported, so critical of Members of the Gengress and of the press.

If my purpose were to embarrans the government, to cause a scandal in the press, or to have a recept of efficial conduct that might be helpful to me in court, I would welcome such letters. But my purpose is precisely what I state, to have access to existing seconds that the government is required to give me without delay, without trickery and deception, without playing cheep games.

December 30, 1965.

Sincerely.