

March 6, 1967

Mr. Louis Harris
c/o Daily Item
Port Chester, N. Y.

I have just read your Harris survey. I believe you should be aware of the following facts. First, the commission did not act as a court THEREFORE COULD NOT JUDGE ANYONE GUILTY OF THIS CRIME. The investigation of a homicide is to be done by the State where it occurs. When a man is murdered in a police station while handcuffed, he has already paid for an alleged crime. to make further statements indicting him would be to place his property in jeopardy twice for the same crime.

The law as accepted states that no man is guilty until proven so in a legitimate court with a jury, abiding by court rules which call for cross examination of witnesses, does not allow hearsay evidence, and FORENSIC MEDICINE OPINIONS ALSO BY THE DEFENCE.

Much of the evidence against this man would not be allowed in court.
If you want a true assessment of the commission you should ask the following questions:

I DARE YOUR TO PUBLISH THE RESULTS:

Do you believe the accused was given a fair trial by a legal court in the area where the crime was committed ?

Have you read the report ?

Do you believe that the protective agents acted fast enough ?

Do you believe that witnesses should be cross examined ?

Do you believe hearsay evidence should be allowed ?

Do you believe that a man is not entitled to a defense lawyer ?

Do you believe that a man is guilty until proven not to be ?

Do you believe that a rifle described as a Mauser 7.65 mm of German Make which has had a round discharged from it which at the same time has been declared a 6.5 mm cartridge and although the rifle alleged to be the rifle twenty four hours later is said to be an ITALIAN RIFLE WITH THE CLAIBER CLEARLY MARKED UPON IT ?

Do you believe then that some one must have told a very important lie about the only evidence against the defendant ?

Do you believe that if a cartridge which shows that it has been in two rifles points to the fact that there must be TWO RIFLES INVOLVED... THAT THIS IS EVIDENCE OF TWO RIFLES... AND THAT STATEMENTS TO THE CONTRARY ARE FALSE ?

March 6, 1967

Do you believe in the Constitution ?

Do you believe your home should be searched with out a search warrant ?

If authorities had information that there was a plot 3 days before the assassination, and that the agents knew that there was a group operating in Texas, and that the commission knew these facts, that they then did not tell the truth when they said there was no evidence of a plot ?

Do you believe that evidence should be altered, tampered with handled, mismarked, and otherwise abused ?

Do you believe that the Constitution is a scrap of paper ?

Do you believe that removing the body and the evidence from the area of jurisdiction is legal ?

Do you believe that a doctor is correct when he says that he did not know about the hole in the back, when the coat and shirt were removed in the same room at the same time and THAT BOTH SHOWED HOLES IN THE BACK ?

Do you believe that an investigating committee is alert when it overlooks such obvious misstatements ?

Do you believe that both sides of forensic evidence should be made ?

Do you believe that agents were alert when a man is in full view of witnesses for seven seconds firing a rifle... when some are assigned specifically for this purpose ?

Do you think it is odd that no agent saw a man in the window at any time.. even though bystanders and some in the motorcade did see such a man ?

Would you think it odd that an agent in the following car would order another agent ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ NOT to go to the aid of the man he is assigned to protect ?

Do you believe that a copper jacketed bullet would fragment in one case and not in another ?
That one such bullet would stop virtually intact and undamaged while all others disintegrated ?

Do you believe that the first bullet hit both men when it had to make a right turn, go up making first big holes then a mal holes, then turn to the left and down making more big and small holes ?

NOTE: The answers that you give are important to you...
You are judging yourself, your laws and your future.
If you believe that something is wrong, you should make it known to your congressman.

EXTR
COPY

January 14, 1967

THE WARREN REPORT

1. Oswald was not convicted of any crime.
2. Marina lied to committee.
3. Marina testified with heresay testimony.
4. Witnesses did not see rifle in bag.
5. Marina did not see rifle in garage.
6. Marina states rifle was in garage after shooting.
7. Mrs. Paine was an FBI informer.
8. Hosty did contact Text Book company.
9. Clipboard was not in "thorough search".
10. Finger print on 6th floor indicates unknown person there.
11. No finger prints on rifle.
12. No finger prints on shells.
13. Rifle wrongly identified.
14. Rifle removed from place of discovery removing dust if it was there for a long period of time.
15. Rifle handled by police. Where are their finger prints.
16. Rifle shell ejected, tampering with evidence.
17. Picture of Walker house; license plate number removed.
18. Zapruder film cut at critical moment.
19. Photo of front of Text Book cribbed.
20. Body removed from State and jurisdiction area.
21. Car removed from State.
22. Governor Connolly shirt pressed. (~~Or coat~~) *PLINSE*
23. Rifle fired removing evidence of weap on recent firing.
24. Wife testimony against husband.
25. Marina signed Hidell signatures, name on PO box.
26. Fragments in car and bodies not matched with rifle.
27. Line of sight from window does not line up with Connolly.
28. CIA man on commission panel.
29. Statements by Wade and Fritz in front of Ruby about Oswald.
30. Fritz moved O. before car in position allowing Ruby entrance.
31. Ruby allowed in station although twice convicted of carrying concealed weapons.
32. Sight on rifle off direction and loose.
33. Experts missed shots in tests.
34. Shells not made in this country as stated.
35. MARKS FROM TWO RIFLES ON SHELLS.
36. Witness wrong about rifleman standing at window.
37. Autopsy report notes destroyed.
38. Oswald testimony records destroyed.
39. Other records not made.
40. BULLET FOUND IN HOSPITAL NOT FOUND ON STRETCHER.
41. Rifle reported found on two different floors.
42. Rifle reported found by two different men, times.
43. Rifle not shown to man who made written report.
44. Oswald was asked by Hosty to inform on associates.
45. Oswald taken out of theater without being asked if he had a ticket to be there. Hit with gun butt.
46. Witnesses not cross examined.
47. He had no defense lawyer. At Warren Commission.
48. No lawyer at interrogation.
49. Rifle fit in PO box ?
50. Letter about Walker affair had no date.
51. Original paper bag not shown to witnesses.
52. Testimony of doctor stating shot came from front.
53. Ignored Connolly statement of two shots.
54. Description of sniper older heavier and balder.

55. Kellerman said Kennedy spoke after first shot.
Commission states first shot went through throat.
56. Failed to mention back brace.
57. Were not shown Xrays.
58. Were not shown Photos.
59. FBI left Hosty name off addresses found on Oswald.
60. Two sponges left in Oswald.
61. Autopsy report states Kennedy on table at 12:30
This is the time he was supposed to be shot other
discrepancies in time.
62. Omission of witnesses and important testimony.
63. Warnings of attempt before shooting.
64. Ruby told Warren there WAS an organization.
65. Reports of Oswald in two places at once.
66. Did not determine who was in photo taken in Mexico
reported to be Oswald.
67. Oswald said he did not kill President.
68. Oswald says he did not own rifle. Did he have papers to
prove he sold it ?
69. Statement that O was FBI agent not checked out w Sweatt.
70. Patrolman and Truly let Oswald go.
71. Truly had rifle in building.
72. All other owners of similar rifles not checked out
for match of other marks on shells.
73. Ammunition 50% defective. 20 years old.
74. Indications copper jacket bullet would not disintegrate.
75. No comparison of bullet found at Parkland with fragments
found at scene of crime.
76. Boswell drawing shows no holes on front of Presidents head.
one in back of head at center w arrow pointing to the left.
77. Parts autopsy changed.
78. parts of autopsy omitted.
79. Two persons seen at 6th floor window.
80. There was no legal investigation or trial in State.
81. No search warrant at Paine garage ? Bledsoes ?
82. Missile fragments removed from Texas.
83. Composition of missiles not matched for different type.
84. Mrs. O. claimed pressure from FBI. (Changed testimony)
85. Mrs O said Hosty made advances to her ?
86. Mrs O. said rifle bought in February... Sales ticket March.
87. Robert O. had camera which took Walker pictures...
ROBERT STATES HE DID NOT SEE OSWALD IN A YEAR.
88. No mention of other types of weapons and missiles
although evidence indicates otherwise.
89. Panel did not even check gally proofs of report for errors.
90. On paper Fritz had tippett, a dead man in court.
91. Report quotes man running up street in wrong direction.
92. Commission took the word of agencies involved in case
as only word of their truth.
93. Commission entered facts not related to case. Against defend.
94. Commission withheld evidence concerning case.
95. Autopsy report entries left blank.
96. Were finger prints of Kennedy matchde with body ?
97. Commission let other suspect go even though shot may have
come from this location.
98. Reconstruction of crime done with different type of car..
99. Finger print removed from rifle. *ERROR PALM PRINT*
100. Oswald wearing differnt shirt than assassin.

The best shot from the sixth floor was a direct shot before turn.