Dr. Vincent P. Guirn
$3 / 17 / 80$
Depaximate of Chataistiry
Unaversity of Cazef.
Irvines, Ca. SET17
Dear D2. Guinn,
Thanls for your $3 / 12$ and the enalosures. As I was glanw e flrough the evprinit perteinting to tha Hisca woric my eye caught whit prompta ne to write ingediately beaanse I oannot now do what I wont to do, ruad both reprints with care. The FoId 15 tigation takes erowit anoumts of tine and 21 m in eourt in the nominge
 numbor, because it contained what you do not exaceorate in calling unkint. Fhore are others. Whan in sping over all the vast 1 alea $\ddagger$ got by 1 iftigation I coma to that one I made a copy for you, but it ent lost in a stack of othar records. I sent it when I Iatar cams to it.

It is ry recollection thet the PII was well avaxe of the poesibility, is not tra fact, that you hat not said what was attributed to you.

In apte of what you regerd as Iriondship, as I tivied to tell you long ago those jeople did not 3 ine you sud pretenderi not to truat you. dy beliel is that they were afraid and this was therir covar. ©rilaghor, who you wagand as a friend, nalf good you. It was close to 21 bol . "to asid you axe is publicity seekser who could not be truated and that you and Oule Atomic would have a ougit to comarosal the project. "his was whon I deposed hyn in Coho '75-226.

Jevons was Gallagher's boss. I believe ho had to leave in othar boandsla that surfaced about Watergate time, under Keliey. I nlan believe that I cheoked to seo if Gallagher dreftod the Jevens memo, which would have beon nomal proctiss. I thinic that Jevons write it, though. Iou can tell by the infitiels in tha lower laft-hand corner.

It is not trie that "oin Miokols eot those 70 RAA pagos by legai ection. Fhey are not all, as you bey hive roason to recall. It also tis not true that tho racord establishang that thore had boan HAAs was socret matil the tire you Give. John probably deemad of It through a thon unpublishod monuscript of mine.

I got those prizes, inter nore, in C.A. $75-226$, which is atill in court. I gave thea
 asked for that had beon disclosed to nes. "o wont no farthur. I did, and eot what is supoosed to be all the raw matezial, incluting pirintouts. You got those portaining to the parafisin teats from a friend of mine at the Natsonal Inquiror, Rod Gibson. (I believe that teatsing wes inadenuato and based on a linitins weoconcpetion.)

John kne: of thile lithlation, which wogn bucis to 1570 . he doclinect to join mo in it, as did Wocht, each preferring self-pronotion. In the earlier form ting is the case
rhet led to tha 1974 amonding oi she investigatory files exsurption. it is the firet
 Were siven to twe in an effort to hast the case with them by muanay juigemont, before a promili judge, then i proval those peges were not all, stilil aiming for that sumary judgamant, at nicht on a wockand just bofore a calendar call, the govermont lawyer
 the maleaf peraffin oasta. lie thon got the bumany jumoment. I cemand the case to the ap oals cort and got a reasmin, under whach ve deposed foun shes and than were sut off. It has now boon befora the upp wia court for a fuat year.

चohn and Cyriil borh decilnud to bo witmesses, as exjerts, ir the cirst osse.
 the twwe. Doth thon cribbsd all they could. Jain oven duplisatod gorse of my wori and copyziented it, inciudite tiw pucture of the base of tha bullet, 399, which I'd losned him in couitiolence because that watk was incouplete and I didn't want any of It out pentianc compietion.

Nefther is a neal buoject expert and of tien enough both werv in fictual erwor, despito thafr soiantific trainine and oxpembence.

I dide try to help $J_{\text {oln }}$ batione I leazned that he could not controi his ago and wae boing metincal. hie aekeal wa to 60 out to ins coluoge in hay of 1303 to reirforvo
 his firgt case, both sidos, but he disid not profit sess fros this also not inconasderable and unpaid work. The covernaent filled a falso afridavit in it by Jovonce.

I am not weli aud has not eisla to bu jaeseat whon you tastified. I rouk the broadcast in. I fear you ware had and I suagact you suspact at lamst some oit thas. You orn't validate your soaples. I think I can show you an altometive source of them.

The spociman you sudd did not exist did oxtet when Gallaghor aubjected it to radiation, wisoli he testrified on degosition does not coname it. \%his is Uis, the


 is the one.
 was. Where was bosting, the remults of wheh remain withold. I did not Joam of these matters until the case was b fore the appenla court. I do have proofs, solld onos.

There is no doubt thet you could not get any matesial froa the curbstone for two reasorigt the Fisi removod it all and that is not tio pristine matorial. There is absolytely
 Fisil kne. this.
 that Minitec. to an attempt to itstinguini botwaen riillo and fistol bullet dopositas. (As I recall it the variation bet wewn the oxiginal and tha test ronults was a Dout a thind in one of the two elepouts.) Paul sobersold eave than a wapa roel problem
 The Fist then woricat on Smaboris to bypass and elirduste Aebortsold, who the Fill insistad be kept entrively in the dark. Sonborg aurved. Aebormold had meomena using
 and no laure.
haborackd had :aresc use of the unfired bullet. Gellagher did not do that. When we questionad Gaziagher a-out this on depositifon he clrived he was prohibited bevaumo of tho allegoi historical iwnortenoe of that one spechimon only.

 then and have found a considarahle amount nozo.

This Le a pretty byzantine matiox.

 Were not askod. I'm eajechaliy intezesterl in thas spocirons that did not equal their desoriptions. This is now histomical interest oaly because i'12 not repoat eny of my waitting geain ond I've writton an enomous sook dealsme with this material. -t came out in Latu 1975.

I know you ropeater oniy what ycu wero told and had no meason not to beliovs but I to zwgret the exrove into which Join led you boonuse these who rust have an Amborost in theae thitugr nari read what you urote will not be led to where the rocurls they raquxe valil bee


```
Sinceraky,
```

