

Mr. Emery Brown
82 Squankum Rd.
Howell, N.J. 07731

1/20/76

Dear Emery,

Many thanks for your mailing of 1/17. It may help.

As perhaps I can with your Kelley 1/5, yours to him of 12/6.

I filed the suit. My filing was all-inclusive. Therefore, anyone getting anything I didn't involves the FBI in a violation. Not impossible, but with the case under appeal and this a central legal issue,

- a) they'd be insane and ~~xxx~~
- b) it is urgent that I have it.

One question is compliance. We alleged there had been less than compliance in their (unauthorized) re-writing of my complaint following request.

What I have heard is that Nichols had Quinn go over what Nichols got following my request.

What you may have gotten that I didn't is another matter which Jim Lesar will handle. I came down with a lingering phlebitis condition and can't keep up today.

If you have not spelled this out in the past (in which event Jim has it) please do it now. I can't begin to remember all these details and complexities as I used to and I stay tired because treatments consists of my pushing myself walking until I am pooped.

While the time for oral arguments before the appeals court has not come yet, Jim is also enormously overworked and has no staff or assistance. We got a brief respite on the best of possible ways, an icy one. Another suit, the calendar call of which was set for tomorrow has been postponed to 2/5. But he has to prepare for the Ray appeal oral arguments on 2/5 in Cincinnati. Plus federal district court appearances in two of my suits already filed.

But if we can show the appeals court that the FBI gave anyone anything it did not give me it could make a vast difference and might even prevent the re-writing of the law, which was started with this suit and a flak judge. As you saw in Post Mortem.

Jim has copies of all the scientific literature I've obtained.

However, what we still lack and could be of great value is a text-book statement describing how each test is properly conducted, how recorded, how translated in what is meaningful to a non-expert. It need not be in criminalistics, if that would be best. The college students I have asked have not come up with it. If you can't, can you ask Quinn, without using my name, which would trigger Nichols, with whom I have broken all contact?

Again thanks,