WIER VERSUN 188, 25. '94 89124 ON THE REAL OF FROM ND.3 PAGE 1 PRINT 85794 10:26am-Page 1 PM-NY--Kennedy Assassination, Bjt, 430 Judge: No Need Stifling Debate In The Name of Truth In BY LARRY NEUMEISTER Associated Press Writer NEW YORK (AP) -- Robert Groden, the author of three books on the assassination of President Kennedy, said he was the victim of character assassination by a rival But a federal judge disagreed and upheld the right to publish an advertisement that pictured Groden and five other men under the headline, "GUILTY OF MISLEADING THE AMERICAN PUBLIC. " Judge John S. Martin said in a ruling made public Wednesday in Manhattan that the ad was protected by the First Amendment. 141 Martin said uninhibited debate on public issues "is best served by allowing free competition between proponents of conflicting accounts of the Kennedy assassination, not by stifling it in the name of truth in advertising. " Groden filed the lawsuit in February, alleging the use of his name and photograph violated state civil rights laws, federal false advertising laws and unfairly implied he supported the views of the other men depicted in the advertisement. The advertisement ran in The New York Times on two days in August 1993 to promote the book "Case Closed, " in which author Gerald Posner dismissed various conspiracy theories and argued that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone. The advertisements came as Groden was coming out with a book on the assassination titled The Killing of a President'' along with a video, "JFK: The Case for Conspiracy,'' He previously had written: "JFK: The Case for Conspiracy,'' published in 1975, and "High Treason, ' published in 1989. Groden had named as defendants in the lawsuit Random House Inc., the Times and Posner. Roger Feinman, a lawyer for Groden, said Wednesday he had not seen the decision and did not know whether he would appeal. He said there was a "danger that the traditional civility and gentility of the publishing industry may be weakening somewhat in the race to sell bocks. . He said the advertisement was so damaging to his client that Groden could not get on any television or radio programs to prompte his book when it came out. Judge Martin noted in his ruling that the assassination "has engendered a lively marketplace of competing He recalled an earlier Supreme Court decision that said: "Under the First Amendment, there is no such thing as a false idea. However pernicious an opinion may seem, we depend for its correction not on the conscience of judges and juries but on the competition the set of particular sectors of No. COM

PRINT K:\AMM\DESKS\BOB\_R\N0669GOM. 8/24/94 5:04pm

Page 1

WISH HOU HND A PHAK HND A PHAK HNCHWE CWHICH WE ME CWHICH MAKES ME UNDERSTAND WITH -100 00N.2)

AM-NY--Kennedy Assassination, Bjt,350 Judge: No Need Stifling Debate `In The Name of Truth In Advertising'

## By LARRY NEUMEISTER Associated Press Writer

NEW YORK (AP) -- Encouraging competition between writers with conflicting views of the assassination of President Kennedy, a judge Wednesday threw out a lawsuit by a writer upset that an advertisement attacked his position.

Author Robert Groden filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court in Manhattan after pictures of him and five other men were in an advertisement under the headline, ~~GUILTY OF MISLEADING THE AMERICAN PUBLIC.''

Groden alleged that the use of his name and photograph violated state civil rights laws, federal false advertising laws and unfairly implied he supported the views of the other men depicted in the advertisement. The advertisement was put in The New York Times on two days in August 1993 to promote the book, `Case Closed,'' in which author Gerald Posner found conspiracy theories flawed and argued Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone.

The advertisements came as Groden was coming out with a book on the assassination titled `The Killing of a President'' along with a video, `JFK: The Case for Conspiracy.'' He previously had written: `JFK: The Case for Conspiracy,'' published in 1975, and `High Treason,'' published in 1989.

Groden had named as defendants in the lawsuit Random House Inc., the Times and Posner. Lawyers for both sides did not immediately return telephone messages for comment.

U.S. District Judge John S. Martin noted in his ruling that the Nov. 22, 1963 assassination ``has engendered a lively marketplace of competing theories.'' He recalled an earlier Supreme Court decision that said: ``Under the First Amendment, there is no such thing as a false idea. However pernicious an opinion may seem, we depend for its correction not on the conscience of judges and juries but on the competition of other ideas.''

Applying the reasoning to Groden's case, Martin wrote that uninhibited debate on public issues ``is best served by allowing free competition between proponents of conflicting accounts of the Kennedy assassination, not by stifling it in the name of truth in advertising.''

PS. IF YOU FUER NEED ANYTHING IN MY. (AND I CAN GET 17) PHONE IS 212 887 8570. (IF I'M OUT, JUST SAY THE OLD RECEIVER CALLED)

> PS- HOW WOULD ONE GET A COPY OF THE DEATH CERTIFICITE DE WARREN G. HARDING? IT'S FILED IN CALIFORNIA, FRISCO I THINK.