THE NEW YORK TIMES,

V: The Time Griffin Dropped a Heavy

And F.C.C. Chief's Reply Was Edited

By JOHN O'CONNOR Television has a habit of being so clumsy that it can get to be embarrassing. For today's amusing-depressing capers on "The Mery Griffth Show," the Columbia Broadcasting System's contribution to the wonderland of lateevening talk shows.

Earlier this week, Merv, as he seems to be known to one and all, came up with a program featuring prom-inent women, headed by no less a prominent woman than Martha Mitchell (many

oohs and aahs from the studio audience).

Mrs. Mitchell [wife of At-torney General John N. Mitchell] he explained, was very outspoken and "some of you may not agree with what; she says, but that's what's great about this country, everybody can speak out and say what he believes." (Ap-plause.) True, everybody can speak out but that doesn't mean they're going to be heard on commercial television.

However, I anticipate. Mrs. Mitchell came out and she was outspoken, if only on quite predictable topics.

There was the usual talkshow nonsense. Would you, asked Merv, run for Vice President? No, she replied, "I'd rather just help straighten out the country." Then Mrs. Mitchell offered her own Mrs. Mitchell offered her own insights into various public personalities. On Senator J. W. Fulbright, Democrate of Arkansas: more like half-bright" and "now he's down to quarter-bright." On John B. Connally, Treasury Secretary, "He's done such a job; he thinks he's running for the Pope." On Mayor Lindsay; "It's so good he's out of the Republican party; now we don't have to make now we don't have to make excuses for him."

It was, more or less, the

familiar Martha Mitchell repettory. Mery smiled at the "outrageousness" of it all, the audience applauded and Mrs. Mitchell made a graceful exit. Then Mery brought on Pamela Mason, the actress on Pameia Mason, the actress (who did offer the iopinion that if Mr. Mitchell didn't agree with Mrs. Mitchell, "she'd be out on her ear") and Dr. Joyce Brothers, the psychologist and columnist. The show simmered along

normally until, near the end, Tom Smothers, the comedian, wandered into the proceedings unannounced. He has a new show being syndi-

cated by the Westinghouse Broadcasting Company, and a generous plug was proba-bly on tap. Somehow, though, the subject meandered onto television censorship and restrictions, and Merv made a vague reference to Nicholas Johnson, Federal Communi-cations Commissioner, being on an earlier show and being 'a little misinformed.'

Mr. Smothers quickly allowed as how "that's the most absurd thing I have heard," that Mr. Johnson probably has more accurate information on the communiinformation on the communi-cations industry than any other person in the United States. Merv backed up a bit, stanchly noting that "any-body who wants to do some straight talking can come on here" here

here."

The exchange was brief, and very likely puzzling to most viewers. Its explanation, though, would have to be traced back to the Aug. 12 program with Mr. Johnson and to something these them. and to something more than met the viewer's eye or ear that evening.

That program also began on a cautionary note from Merv, this time to the effect that while most people in this country say "aye" to American life, there are some "articulate, outspoken" reb-els and mavericks who say "no," albeit constructively.

Then the host brought on Peter Fonda, the actor, Mort Sahl, the comedian, Michael Crichton, the author (proving perhaps that one man's rebel is another's best-selling Ivy League author) and Mr.

Johnson.

For what happened next in the Los Angeles studio, I am forced to rely on the testimony of several "informed sources" who happened to be on the scene at the time. First, of course, there was a commercial there was a commercial break, and the studio monitor carried a spiel on a nation-ally known brand of aspirin. Then Merv presented his guests with the evening's Heavy Question: "What concerns you the most right now in the nation, maybe in the world?"

Mr. Fonda and Mr. Sahl tossed that one around clumsily for a while, and then about seven or eight minutes later came the second com-mercial break, with Merv himself "live on film" frying bacon and demonstrating the ability of a certain brand of paper towels to absorb the drippings.

e ti

Following that demonstra-tion, however, Commissioner Johnson said he had been Jonnson said he had been considering the question of the evening and, rebelliously enough, he was concerned about those first two commercials. Then came a thoroughly predictable Johnson

issertation on the pervasive afluence of commercials.

for one thing, he main-ained, pitches for drugs such as aspirin have contrib-uted significantly to the cre-ation of the much-deplored "drug culture" among youth. For another, aside from the ror another, aside from the second commercial touting high-cholesterol bacon and ecologically harmful paper towels, it also illustrated the theory that every commer-cial winds up being a com-mercial for another product and life style—in this case the deluxe battery operated pan used to fry the bacon. This was indeed interesting and provocative talk for commercial television to be recording. It seems, however, that it proved to be too interesting and provocative. That short segment of the show was never put on the

Instead, starting quite no-ticeably in the middle of Commissioner Johnson's remarks, the segment was cut to a short three-and-one-half minutes and, at least in the Los Angeles area, the first two commercials were yanked and replaced. This did make for some awkward moments later in the promoments later in the program. At one point, for instance, when Br. Sahl was getting verbally restless, Merv wryly asked, "Would you like to get back to paper towels?" Pity, once again, the puzzled viewer.

In any case, the usually genial host spent the rest of the program rather hysterically defending the good name of commercial television, milking the studio audi-

sion, milking the studio audi-

'What Bothers You?' Got 'Ads' Answer

ence for obvious applause ("Wait a minute. What's wrong with entertainment?") and insisting that censorship, was no problem ("That's not my problem. C.B.S. censors, I don't. I deliver a package.)

One of the more interest-

ing exchanges: Mr. Griffin: "It's the last

time you're going to be on this show, Nick."
Mr. Johnson (smiling):
"Maybe it's the last time you'll be on this show."

Mr. Griffin (jumping out of chair in mock terror): "That just came from the Government, folks. See what they're doing!" (Applause and com-mercial break.)

Mr. Griffin did, of course,

have an unenviable problem for a talk-show host to handle. But, given his guests and his Heavy Question, that problem was entirely predict able. If he wanted to be provocative and controversial, he could have been much better prepared for it. Instead, in the classic illustration of protesting too much, he indulged in meaningless bromides about "speaking out." At the end of the Aug. 12 show, he declared: "I do think we ought to give one applaud (sic) to commercial television for allowing all the things to be said here that have been said tonight."

Ladies and gentlemen of the viewing audience, I give you the old Zen sound of one hand clapping.