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wr. Dicholas Johnson, Comiscioner federal Cotuunications Cow ission , ashington, D.C.

Doar in. Johnson,
It has now been more than a month since you wrote that you had referred my request for holp in seoling fairness-doctrine time of the derv Griffin how to our fre dry. To this day I have heard nothin, from anybouy.

Does not such delay alone on topical subjects of national interest as the political assassinations and especially the cases of Dr. Kinc and James Barl hay and the porformance of the institution of society make the doctrin meaningless? Lo at all the time it took before I wrote you? When the effort is to correct talse information iviv the peophe, can one even hop to catch up with the lie?

Since tion Jin bishop has boun setting a bic play with his soose-grease-in-type on the suik subject. His first appearance of which I knov was on the David Frost Show. After I wrote and asked for equal tine, adding a few coments on th. depths of Wr. Dishop's wellpid innorance of the sumpl st fact of that of which he writes, instead of replyine to me the drost show had him back ajain to delame those he could, ranjing from the iecret Service, to which he attributed the inpossible, the "sanitizing" of Dellas (honce by infe ence a degree of $r$-spounsmbility for the JFK assassination), to Dr. King, who he called a coward. I wrote Prost a second letter and to date have had no response.

Writing these people is meaningless. The couldn't care less about your rebulations and doetrines when they want to get political ideas accross or espouse a point of view they know will be well received in hi gh quarters. I've had a few rosponses, usually irrelevancies, but son tines such things as the succestion that I write the individual stations!

I am disappointed that your own people pay no lictlo attention to their oun Comisuioner. Heve we cone to this point?

I'd apurociate it if you could ind time to follow this un for me, pleace.
Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

