Prof. Diana R. Gordon Political Science/Ofininology City College of New York New York, N.Y.

7627 01d Receiver Road Frederick, Ad. 21701 11/8/89

Dear Ms. Gordon,

optimistic in your excellent Who Mation article in saying that the DBT can not so easily used presidents, the Congress and prominent journalists because of Watergate, Cointelpro revelations and FoTa. They may not try with some as often but they do pretty much the same things in pretty much the same ways. You also mentioned attorneys general and the

There is a simply enormous amount of information about the FBT that is available that most writers do not even know about. Of those whose books you mention only Theoharis sought any records from me and his interests were limited.

Most FOI. users have been content to make requests and settle for whatever the FBI decided to let them have. I had to sue for everything. They even told me to make a FOIA request for a copy of a press release already published in full in the New York Times. Once FOIA was amended - and the investigatory files exemption was amended over one of my earliest suits against the FBI - I did litigate extensively and in direct confrontation with it on its claims, testimony, unsworn allegations. I chose to state most of what I stated under oath rather than through lawyer's arguments, making myself subject to perjury charges if I erred. There are lengthy and thoroughly documented affidavits none of which was ever refuted. For the most part they lacked even pro forma denial. While there may not have been any obligation on the part of the FBI or its servile Department counsel to confront what I alleged, they did have the oppostunity if not the need (the need usually avoided by the judges they knew they could depend upon), the fact is that they failed to refute what I alleged. Involved were hundreds of thousands of pages involved in two of the most significant cases, the assassinations of President Kennedy and Dr. Aing. I can't begin to estimate the numbers of sourn pages I filed but it is well into the thousands, with innumerable exhibits largely FBI documents. I mention these things in the event you in your own researches, other researchers or students, event have any interest in these matters. Of course what have includes all the FBI's filings and quite a few but far from all transcripts of status calls and hedrings.

I regard those who obtain records under FOIA as surrogate for the people and I make them all available to anyone. I am notw76 and in impaired health. Eventually, all my materials will be a public archive at local mood College.

Most media interests seem to be by conspiracy theorists or those reporting on them. By own work is not of that nature. It is a rather large study of how our basic institutions worked in those times of great stress and since then. This is not to say that I do not believe those crimes to have been the end product of conspiracies. I just do not conjecture who did them. I believe bother were conspiracies but that conclusion comes from my study of the evidence, not any theory.

Librarian, Charles Mannachen. Hood students have already done some fine papers based on these records and the content permits countless additional studies, particularly in political science and criminology. I'm surprised that defense counsel have not found uses for some of them.

Sincerely, Hallus 2

harold Weisberg