## 3/27/72

Dear Peal,
I an not very sharep this Loming fron a combination of factors, so be careful to consider whether i have expressed aself poorly ad not thought clearly warox and, germ haps, overmisact. on first reauin; of your 3/24 I thought $i$ saw an inconsistency and an indication of some kind of espionage.

First you say furner and filnkle are not doine thi book and then that the publizhers now say that the book won't be out until the fall. laybe not then. Unless the pubilsher has cancelled, there is an inconsistency between delay and non-appearance. ioreover, I believe they got a sizabie advance, and i can't bulieve oither is in a position to roturn it. How I would conjecture that one of the possibilities is that the publisher is writines off the advance. Sums like the reported $\$ 20,000$ they do not write off easily. also, can there be any Irving/Hughes wipeofi on this?

I think i have heard of one Asanofi, hich is close to your haanof, but in not familiar with his cind of writing. This description, however, di of an entirely difforent book. I agree with you in reading whitewash into this but I'd fo fartinur and say it almost guarantees a dud. This is exceptional which one considers the potential of just that part of the raplan-Vidal atory that is krown. How aduing all these things toge ther, and assuming all are true, you tet a combination unusual in cowaercial enterprize, esp. publishing, in which a) a large acivance is writion off, b) formula is changed to the guaranteod dull and essentialiy pointless, c) a new cost is introduced with a new writer, who lacks sone of the promotional potential of the originals, and at least d) that a literary failure is close to assured with a book of this cost and this potential, for the new formula, whe the or not it seels enoug copies, to vay itti way, has ainost no subsiciary possibiliti s, such ss movies. One of the suas one can extract from this is an intorest in kiliin; the subject. It is but one. I have learned that except for arockedness and sowe kinds of sensationalism, publishers are lousy businessame they do kooky things and fail to do obvious and obvicusilymecescury things, ani they of ten jeopardize books needlessly. So, if all tho reasonine is correct, the conclusion need not be.

Vel.'s method on this, as best I can ap raise it, strikes we as both correct and moper and essential to the interest of his client. But you realize that even so simple a thing as this runs up sonebody's costis.

Your ip friend's article has not cone to ay attention. Did Ar zove it? If not, where dia it apear? have you a copy? What fascinates ne is that it is he who told you of the plan of some unnamed woman to sue. You say this after mention of his unpleasantnesses from authorities after his piece ap ear, which can hint that these authorities told hin. fow, unless you have been blabiniz (and this is not sexdst-the worst problems of this sort I cope with involve men only), how could anyone know this? I have discusied it with noboily, ifith the possibl excoption of Jerry. We discussed it here, when you were here. Serhaps it is in our correspondence. You have never tola ne that you followed my subebtions, to se e if you have a basis for suit. If you did not, and if you dic not discuss this with others, it woulid seem that the possibilities of anyone, authorities or other, knowing of this ould have to be limited to officiel origin, by bugeing or mail interception. Perhaps you mentioned this to Levine, as I sucrested? (He has not yet replied to zy inquiry, by the way, which makes me wonder about hin.) Iu you did discuss this with lial and not ith others, I'd be interest in koonin; more gbout his practise and which publishers, if any, are arone his clients. The leak from hin and non-response to mo have a kind of consistency.

If you have a deal with $R \mathrm{D}$, it may well be tiat you can first publish elsuhere, but I would atronely recomend consuitation with them. unless they have chenger, they profer to do thire own planting. Don't give them an escuse to 1111 the deal....I wil check with a friend who will know if $4 a^{\prime}$ movad any K-V story, wil. buw if it ap earaned in any Mrimes except a Sunday ecijtion, and will probsbly now if the Lalines used it. If it hail apeared in bicago, I thenis id diow.



 bo han taming at all?

There is tuch about this that does not rowly were senge to we ma you have move told





sest wards,
Dear Js,
If you are friends with Bili Turner, this nay confront you with a conflict. This woman is the origin of the Kaplan-Vidal story, did most oi the work on it, much as a stringer for Ranparis, not the individuals there. When Hinkle left he too her stuft with him and appears to have made a deal for a book jointly with lurner, wit out acking or consulting her. She was woring on it when sh learned they have the book contracted. when she was here she had heard of what is not typical of Iurner but is entirely inconsistent (except when he is currying favor or exploiting, as with Garrison), great lindness to her in the ms., and that for sore rea on of reason, he anc ifinio hav becowe quite disillusioned with or diselusted with the book and/or the subject. although thoy began with hopes it nould make a movie, as I think it should. I hive a short fuse on the really extensive plagiarism thit has becone so conmon, even when it does not involve ny work. this wown is havin; a rough tine of it, having had to alnost give up her professional work to care for two teen-age lids when abandoned by an alcoholic hisband, so I resent it evon more for her. I kno. Uarrison wholesaled her stufi around, and when sho came here I gave her copies of her private letters that he had and was distributing 由o everyone who would take tivem. She was shall I say surprised? As I remenber it, I had some of her stuff that had been withheld from her and gave her the first copies she had of that. Unless she did talk, it is incredible that the Lexican cov't would leno: of the possibility of sibit, which I subested to her when she was here. I think that is anyone to whon she did not see her stuff uses it, she has not lost her riehts to it. Of course, one of the interests 1 have is did AP nove this $k-V$ story? She doesn't say, but the witers is with $A P$ down there, I guess. Could be in US, poss. Sante Fe . I can't inagine a Sunday news pisce paying the cost of going to and from Sante 1 Pe on prospects. And, anything else you nay. know that does not involve a breach of confidence that can possibly help her. Wow that the wuestion is raised, I think you should know that I can give you almost all the uncredited sources of Power on the ifight, and that his hanparts piece was $75 \%$ repetition of my sturif, and that when asked not only about this but about failure evon to mention my work when he stole it so heavily, Bill's response as reported to me was a shrug and the statement, "So far as I an concerned, once Hal prints anything it becomes public domain." He kore than anyone else was responsible for the Bradloy fiasco, and from Power on the light, he hat to have known in advance that at the very best his sources were both undepindable and Bradley's blood enemies. They wer: then in court, at each other's throats. Bad as the Vradey thing was, it is nothing to what it almost grew into right before the Shaw trial, when what JG plamed would have beon our greatest disaster. I was present at one of the things, and :hen I'm out there asin, it will stand you hair straight up to hear some if it. H

Alpes 966<br>Mexico 10, DF warch 24, 1972

```
Dear Harold -
Dropping this uf, kastily jumt lo 2ai, you know I
appreciate all your sugsestions and that I have
Tecejved yolij bok which I oan't wait to read.
Interesting develocment re Kaplont. Valesmea
told me Hinckle and Turmer are not doing the book
afterall. That another man is, name like Asnof
or sometining similar. Joel has given him permission
to loos irto Vel'e files, but Vel will show him only
what is convenlont, like he does with everyone else.
Thie new writer is reported to be going into detail
about Joel's relationship with his uncles, etc. -
so what I read into it is that it's a whitewash ag
Hinckle told me his versior of Kaplan dicn't come
out verg favorable.tor He thinke the whole Kaplan
```



```
Wout this now tulst? slso, had a rriend check
with the publishers in NY who say the book won't be
out until the Foll now, and only then, perheps...
Which means my RD artlcle has to go within the next
few weeks, and your instructions on how to nendle zt
sound sound.
Also interesting note that Kaplan is suddenly talking out of sante Fe where his sister lives. s friend of mine from AP had his arti cle finally published in the states last sunday. It llan't appear nore, but he's been called by the governuent and has hed to justi. Ey some umpleasantriese taso told me he heard that a book is coming out and that a woman (me) in Mexico is boing to whe for using her nateriel! I can't imagine how he even got close to the truth which is inave I a right to sue? Anyway, at this point, I cail sell whatever article I write for RD to another meg as it appears to be timely and the way \(\operatorname{HD}\) operates, \(1 \%\) miy makes it better for then to have something that has already been
puilished.
```

Best to Lil and yourself.

