

3/4/69

Dear Ross,

I am preparing a little form letter giving a few of the beliefs I have on what did and didn't happen in N.W. When I have it I'll enclose and mail. Until then, keep the faith, for while Shaw was found not guilty (and I am anything but satisfied with the character of the prosecution or the evidence presented in court), there is now an official court record that the Warren report is false and that the investigation was a whitewash, a fraemup, exactly the words I use in titles.

The papers in no way fairly represented the evidence. This is not to say I disagree with the verdict. I do not.

To the questions you ask, the answers are affirmative, but I think it boils down to Garrison didn't really do his homework because he never expected the government would let the case come to trial.

I have published a limited edition of the book containing the Penabaz material. I cannot afford to print it and have no spare copies. I'll let you know if and when I can.

hope

We are both well and you the two of you are.

What work did you do in the Archives? I do not recall your telling me earlier that you had.

Sincerely,

March 1, 1969

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

The coverage of the Shaw trial in Miami was slightly better than void. What we did get always favored the defense and showed a bias against the prosecution.

I, as many people, had great hopes concerning the outcome of the trial. What happened? What went wrong? Was the prosecution's case simply too flimsy to begin with? I feel very much confused. Did we get whitewashed again. I write you because you're the only one I can ask and expect a reasonable answer from. I spent many hrs reading live been in the Archives doing research, and in effect have devoted much energy toward trying to understand, evaluate and express opinions about Kennedy's ordeal.

If you could find some time to write me I would greatly appreciate it.

Ross Goldberg

P.S. I hope you and your wife are both well.