Dear Ross,

Too had they gave you such a rough time at the Archives. But, you did learn for yourself just how open with its information the government is, did you not?

The Miami papers were about like the others. You could not learn much from them.

Your questions about the trial are largely self-enswering. Tovel and Arcacha were not called as witnesses because that were protected against subpens. Unfortunately, those multitudinous leads in 0 in NO, to which I added more than you will ever known, in some cases, complete, packaged cases, were never followed. Right before the favorable Supreme Court decision, it looked like finally they'd get to work. They didn't. And in my opinion they did less well than was possible with the minimum to which they restricted themselves. They had a bad indictment. To one took the initiative to change it when they learned it was defective. This they have again duplicated with the Shaw perjury indictment. I guess they have a tendency toward self-destruction. But insistence on Oswald as a maximum conscious conspirator and refusal to use the "end others unknown" part of the indictment to cover "False Oswalds", as I told them, cost them the case. What I was told, that it would be too complicated for the jury, I did not believe.

Those clip ings that are duplicates are still useful. The Hall story is but a small part of what he cinfessed to me when I turned him on in february 1968. The NYFree Press-Merk Lane story is rew plegiarism-distortion. That heppened to and with me when I was in NO April 1968, there were no emissaries to Garrison, and Bobby had not promised to reinvestigate. Even the WFAN-TV show was mine that I'd shared for wark to introduce his new book. This story is no reflection of what happened on that show, when he stole thism material, very irresponsibly. I was keeping silent in order to develop a profitable relationship with Bobby people. Wark ended that forever. You will find the full story in COUP D'EYAT. So, now you have a faint glimpse of another, and a real whore. Even those dubious pictures, which do look so much like the sketch, have no meaning because the entire story is a misrepresentation. I am satisfied Bradley is innocent of the charges against him, that Carrison's own friends booby-trapped him into this because Bradley is of the right. Broshears was another phoney. You will note that no such stories have appeared over the names of responsible people working in the field (Mark did take Brosheers and Jaffe to N.O., where Brosheers promotly made scendal after scendal until they eased him out of town again.)

The Crispin Curiel Gonzalez story seems to be as in the clipping you sent. I have it who, in some detail, in COUP D'ETAT.

The truth is not always pleasant, Ross, but I give it to you as it is. Best to you both and thanks,

Sincorely,

Dear Harold, Thanks for your reply Regarding the impormation & received about the trial from the Means papers, the Miami News gove no coverage and the Meanie Herald gave incomplete infrequent, and biased coverage, sometimes buried in the sports sect, Lam surprised to hear that (Robert) Finek lestified. As for Transier all I heard was that, In his ofinion, all shots came from behind Hore ptina Herald article, it was noted that 34 witnesse had testified for the prosecution so for side be suprised If I know the name, of more than 15 of them the Herald did find room for the a articles I'm enclosing in an envelope. This garbage They always make room there seems to be so many people I would have expected to hear of appearing at the trial What deppened to Novel, andrews (or at least his destimony given before the Waren Com Orchaba Smith do What Kappened to all the leads and evidence presented in "Oswald in New Orleans why wasn't that followed up or

retalized. Why did alcock say a swald fined from the book Degository after listening Gorison's constant degried of that posselly, It come as quite a suprise. Whent happened to the "false Oswald" etc. I am losing a few articles which expected to hear more about during with some of them. They may be of interest. I was working as an accompanied for a singer in Mashington at the Carter Ba advantage of my week stay by going to the archives . Basi I accomplished very little regarding againing any new imformation all & researched. been clearly and previously layed down in your books the fall I ded Noweverywant to see the gapude files Comera, so as to satisfy myself as to als speed; eventually accomplished who powerer, is the way in to sevent me from sunces entry do research at all & about qualifications for researchin that get in so could & by 2 that people at the given desk on the floor open to the public. They told me kverything was closelfied and When I argued they Hayed dunt.

after some time, they referred me to someone else, who also slavned that I should come back in 75 yesete. I got disgusted and took an elevator abitrarily to some floor, I believe it was the Igol out and saw a sign that said no admittance personelonly thered infunt the sign of the rope and walked into and office. To make a story short I I was shuffled around undignately from office to office. in some office toldme where to go and what to do. I ended up obteining a researches sand jand speaking to Marsion Johnson Room 643 STACK HREA. Har came down and greeted me Is Comera, was brought to me to examine When Las Ked Torsee the napruder film and stides I was told that I had just sured a showing to I said run another one please They did? I had a private showing after that I asked for a certain file I was told it didn't exist. I know it did in New Orlean I cantremember which one it is now. I dod however get to see enother file troos intrested in Commission Folders 1,2,3,4,5. It amay eq me that it took them about an hi to about + days an arow. Once I had oblines access these was no future buch off.