To Hirsh Goldberg and Earl-Slater from Harold Weisberg 6/7/97

I have just complete the rough draft of another book that with a little cleaning up and some attention can create a scadnal of some consequence in Harylabd and fontribute such to popular understanding of the assassination of President sennedy, its investigation and the current Assassination Decords Review Moard. It is being retyped.

As the attached first age of the first Maryland Law Noview for this year p states, Kermit Hall is, among other things, a dean at the Ohion State University. He is also, if not literally and legally a plagiarist, he is a defacto plagiarist in this lengthy article that was also the prestigious Simon E. Sobeloff lecture at the University of Maryland law school. He cribbed the idea and much of the content with a few minor foothotes from the fictions that was an article in the November, 1995 Forbes magazine.by Mary Hulland.

That article has nothing to do with the fact of the assessination or the fact of its investigation or the fact of the Report. But Hall loved it and adopted it and as the result his prestigious pseech and this article (and he had the same stuff in the Pebruary, 1997 issue of the Newsletter of the American Organization of Histoirans) imposed up Maryland trust while abusing it and misusing it as a means of being critical of all writing not in agreement with the official assassination mythology. To muclied his audience.

be singled several of by books out, misrepresenting them in his brief montions of them, and I used that for a different approach in this writing: instead of footnotes, of which I said he gave them a bad name, I used exhibits consisting of what he misrepresented. These consist of pages of the books that hold or cite official documents and all in all refute him strongly, pointedly, while informing people who may see it about the actual official facts. There are five dazen enhibits, on affective.

I had to rush, given the atete of my health, and I was late get ing what Hall did. I learned of it when the man from whoshe cribbed the idea and much of the content wrote an article for a newsletter we were sent.

The idea is that the warven Commission was right because it was wrong in withholding alleged secrets to protect sources and methods and national security, none of which has any connection with the actualities, with the fact.

I will have copies for you if you'd like them. I intend to send copies, long as this is, to the law school and its law review. Perhaps to the announced publisher of the book along this line Hax Holland has announced for next year. Hall is an authentic subject-matter ignoranus. He remains that after two years on this board that is to see to the rejease of all assassination records when he at least harn't the slightest idea what an assassination record is. As can be seen he has started a campaign, using Maryland as a means, of arguing against those who can be expected to be disappointed at the owork of this board. Now they the board has just asked for an extensions of time and gotten it, this may seem a bit oremature, but it is a fact.

as you can see from his title, "The Virulence of the National Apetite for $\not\!\!\!/$ Bogus "evelation," all other that it the official assassination sythology is bogus revelation. I have taken that as my title, Bogus Revelation, with the subtitle More official Lies about the JFK Assassination.

Lall has even taken from this right-Winger who tisks his crap, that has not a fact about the assassination in it, a vifulent lie about Robert Kennedy, with some addressed to John, that Robert started the alleged 1962 mafia plot against Castro, to get him lilled. That in fact was an Disenhower administration project dating to the August before John Kennedy was elected. One of the exhibits I use is what the CIA disclosed to me about this, that it dates to 8/60, well before the Hennedy administration, and that during its life knowledge of it was limited to but six all of whom where high up in the CIA.

Even Hall's credit for his title is dishonest. He attributes it to Menckdn, who said it. But he cribbed it from Holland, who used it in his Forbes piece on page 50.

He is professor of history and law. I have one chapter titled The Professor of Eistory and the Law needs lessons in both, he is that ignorant of history he lived through and without has adsrepresenting of it, as does "olland, he could not have had this piece.

The alleged but not existing Kennedy attempt to kill Castro is widely bedieved to have cause Castro to get him killed. That is not possible.

There might be a controversy in Ohio, probably Columbus, with the opportunuty, for the dean/professory of history and hav used sources he did not read or understand and misropresented, which gets students flunked. In addressing two of his misropresentations, which raised the question of perjuty at the and about the sutoysy, now Senator Arlen Specter's telling the Commission they could not get the TV film of what the doctors said so they could not show him his words when there had been no TV coverage but radio and the print press dig cover and it was a White House press conference so I use the official White House transcript. What the doctors said made the Warren Geport as issued impossible.

The text is not long, the cont at is important and the exhibits are interesting, I Thrult. Hardy Willburg

2