Dear Hadeline,
Your letter of the 24 exemplifies the true evil done by all the nuts, selfseckers and self-styled "pdt-boilers."

You have, like countless others, been subjoct to a very profitable campaicn of lies and misrepresentations, manufactures of doliberateness and stupidity, for so long they have molded with your earnest yearmings and you just cannot leave go.

There aimply is no basis, in fact or even reason, for what you want to belleve about those irrelevant pictures.

You are so frustratad thet in order to justify the belief you now make up your own impossibilities. I know both of Ray*s brothers very well. In fact, I've hoardt from both this week. It simply is not possible that any of those figures is either.

The truth in all of this is agony enough. Why torture yourself even more with by alloving yourself to be deceived and permitting yourself to enlarge on these deceptions?

You wonder if Bud "has been taken in." I wish I knew the answer because he manages to get himself involved in all those wierd deals. However, on the Horrow/Downing thing,
I belleve not.

But he and the others always feed b.s. to the media, succeeding in misdirecting still more. I had several calls this week from major media on awful stuff. So I stay away from them all. They have their right to do their thing and I restrict myself to my own work. I do, however, regret the consequences, most of all when I receive letters like yours.

Straws are for chewing pensively, not for graping at. -
I have not seen the Playboy Watorgate plece. I cand afford the magarine and I have had no occasion to get near the town magazine dealer. From the awful guff they got on IV to promote it I suppose I should. There is to be a aequel. I don $t$ know Dubois but I've had dealings with Gonzales. 'Ho is a literary whore, a professional plagiaristma a thoroughly untrustworthy man. From my experience he serves only the government's interests. They debase truth and fact as they debase women.

214 Burke hour－ E．Parchope，Nr 1 ハフワ2

Cubist 24，76
Dear Harold．
It was food to talk the other day mot catch up on the weollers I hairnet heard of．D ot the things that go loving in connection with members of Congress are especially dishearten－ ing．Maybe that Marrow book will prove＂catching＂along other Congressmen now that Rep．Doling has publicized it in a press conference：I wonder if Bu：Fensterwall has been taken in by it $\gamma$ influenced Dressing．

Will Sen．Schweicker come back to the issue nous that his giddy caper with the Vice Presidency is over？Northing will happen on it till after the election，that＇s sone－Senator Lowe（Sp？） aud his committees will probably wrap up some slight inadecocte investigation in c．hurry，well，maybe I＇un too pessimistic．

Just for the record，I＇a not convinced that the 3 ＂tramps＂are winos．Then look like men made up to look like tramps．I have no proof，of course，just clues bot at present time I thmtc＂Frenchy＂＇way he erie of James vial Ray＇s brothers， －Possibly＂Raul＂，and that the tall one may be Es．Bridlai＇s younger brattier curs the thor man．Trod le Grismanc．（Ins aware of the nimes five theurer identities－by Gary Patrick Hemming s．

Dis your read the article on Howard Hughes，Nixon a CiA
in September Playboy? a Borg the materic $D$ is familiar by now but it's good because the writers, DuBois o Gonzales, emphasize that no one has ever got to the bottom of WAtergate or answered the guastion of why it happened. Wind be case they show hor Nixon and his henchmen were the appointed scapegoats while the Hughes empire and the Cis for off Scot fence.
ling best,
Madeline

