Dear Jim,

Got your letter of the 21st today because I was away yesterday. I am taking it as you say it, which is not to say I do not believe you or to say that you are other than you represent. However, it should require little thought on your part to understand that with what you have been telling in an opposite suspicion is possible and not unreascrable.

On Moore, this stacks up and adds only minor detail to what I know. I did not know that he had filled a written report. In other respects they did more than you say or than he told you. There is a key word here that is correct, they showed the "staff" only. And I use "they" where you use "I" because it was not if alone.

It does not add any knowledge to report that LHO is reported to have met with an alleged subversive before the killing. Hosty reported that. If aid not require independent knowledge if he or his people had it. He worked with a person who could be so described by any police.

Homes also talked to Perry at least twice before H saw P. New you know the SS presented fake visuals. I have the reports on their change. I think Perry committed perjury and that Here substract At, but I do not know who nade him do this. And I have interviewed Perry who, by and large, was honest with me.

Thu say you are frightened. There is no need to be, except of being frightened. That is the great danger, the great cripilor. It prompts nore mistakes and errors of judgment than anything clae. From what you have told me, don't be frightened. Of course, I do not know what you have not told me. If you are in any danger, it can be because you hold secrets you have not given me. Then some purpose could be served by lurting you. Only vengence could lond to harm if you have not been holding back, and you have indicated no one who would be imagred by vengeful factings, neither M nor C. I think you have made a bad mistake not to do what you said you would, level with me about C., but I'm not going to coax you. Assuming you told me the truth about his telling you to see Sprague, this casts him in a farcut role, for you and he knew exactly what Sprague would —and did—do, and that is as suspect as it can be. If there can be any danger to you, it can't be in the M area but might be in CSs, if there is something wrong with him, as the Sprague episode ce tainly indicates.

Unofficially, I understand Hosty's associates were for to the right, racist, which in itself makes one wonder about this relationship. I am not questioning the fact of at, for what you have reported, except for one detail, in what I edther know or believed. Ho, two details, one potentially of great significance. As with M, what you reported is not generally known among critics, either. When it originates with me, as all of this that is in my opinion important does, I take the advice I give you, and I have planted at least two duplicates of everything with those I trust, and this does not mean a total of two people.

If you will bear a cross, it will be that of the crime of silence. The Sprague flap is not irreparable, if you have told me all about it. I think that in time you will be bothered by not levelling with me about C as you said you would when last we spoke.

There is also one thing you told me about the LLK part, perhaps two, that make good sense and about which I'd like to know more, but again I'm not pushing. It is that I know what you do not. You said you would put it all down and send it. I hope you decide to, on paper or on tape, hot by phone.

What do you mean, you "had no right to know"? In this country? On these subjects?

And you a concerned man? You have more than the right. It is an obligation. Only you should not have been less than honest.

I will not do a book on "credibility" and I will not use your name anywhere. It is Sprague who did, and if you need protection from more than the secret knowledge, it is from this stupidity. There is nothing I can tell myself that makes sense out of this, not even your willingness to do it if C asked it.

You talk in riddles when you say "There is more I could say, but the price might be too high to pay." Riddles or perhaps so ething else but no more sensible. There is no price as hard to pay as a troubled conscience. There is no burden like a nagging sense of guilt, of betrayal of principle and belief.

From what you say you are about to learn this.

I'm sorry.

But don't ald to it by cursing yourself. That will accouplish nothing and pretty soon you'll know it makes no difference to you, either.

If you do not do what you think is right, next best is to do what you think you should.

Good Luck, and thunks for your good wishes.

Sincerely,