

Dear Gerry,

10/7/00

Apologies for the long silence, many thanks for the beautiful flowers, one of the few things, including flowers, to which 'il has paid any attention, and thanks, too, for the list of the book manuscripts you have. If you have not sent that to Clay, would you please, and let him know what kind of shape the disks are in, if ^{he} you would use them for the CDrom record of all he is making.

Jerry has started putting all of them here in order and making a list of them so at some point we can have a complete list.

Things here are as I suppose ~~of~~ Hanh Trang has told you to expect. Not easy to live with or to do anything about, not for lack of trying.

Anything written to Time hits a stone wall, but on answer to the Danziger, did you ask him what they looked at- and what they avoided?

Dave
Dave is upset by the whoring with history of the JAH and its fixer Luker. He should be. In writing the JAH, being retyped now by Clay, I came to feel that in some way that arrogant and ignorant Kurtz was behind all of this. Luker repeats Kurtz's nonsense and worse and shows no sign of any personal knowledge.

In a short letter to the JAH I've asked them to get Luker to provide the sources for all he said that has no sources. No response yet.

If ever.

Thanks and best to you all,

Harold

Dear Harold,

Sept. 21, 2000

Sorry to hear about the thefts you've experienced. This must be especially troubling given your poor state of health. Below is a list of the manuscripts I have. I have these both on disk and hard paper copies. The list is not in any particular order and it may not be complete.

Enclosed you will also find a letter I recently wrote to Time (along with two copies of the paper I finished this past summer), which you have a copy of. It will be interesting to see what reaction it gets, if any. I also need to begin exploring the possibilities of getting it published.

I know I have been remiss in writing, but please know that you and Lillian are in our thoughts often during these trying days.

Best,

List of Unpublished Manuscripts

1. Ignorance and Arrogance Make Another Non-Assassination Book
(on the LaFontaine's Oswald Talked)
2. Mosty's Pudding: An FBI Re-Write of the JFK Assassination
3. Hoax (original manuscript of Case Open)
4. Waketh the Watchman? Our Strangelovian Military and the JFK Assassination
5. Bogus (on Kermit Hall and the ARRB)
6. Travesty and Tragedy: John Newman and "Oswald and the CIA"
7. Picturing the Corruption of the JFK Assassination (on Robert Groden)
8. Waketh the Watchman? Epilogue 1
9. Faking Kennedy: Herh-It Journalism
10. The Louisiest Book on the JFK Assassination (exposé of Mortal Error)
11. The JFK Assassination: Phony Scholars, Phony Scholarship
(on work of Walt Brown)
12. Inside the JFK Assassination Industry
13. Whoring with History (on Posner's book on the King assassination)

WOFFORD COLLEGE

FOUNDED 1854

SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29303-3663

(864) 597-4000

Time Magazine
Editorial Offices
Time & Life Building
Rockefeller Center
New York, N.Y. 10020

September 20, 2000

To whom it may concern:

Enclosed are two copies of a paper I recently completed on Time's coverage of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy over the past 35+ years. Given its subject matter and conclusions, not to mention its length, I fully understand why you would not want to publish it. That is not my purpose in writing you and sending these two copies of my paper. All I ask and hope you would do is read it and respond in whatever fashion you deem appropriate. I will be seeking to publish it, or at the least present it at an appropriate academic conference in the near future. I would like to be able to include any comments and/or criticisms you may have.

As I state in the conclusion of the paper, I did not single out Time because I believed its coverage of this tragedy was the worst, rather I selected it as a case study of the way the mainstream press has reported this significant event in our history. I do believe that, in general, the press failed in its duty to report and comment on the assassination factually, accurately, and critically. Even more troubling, I believe the press was willingly used by our government to deceive and mislead the American people about what happened to President Kennedy and who was responsible. It is long past due that the press, among other institutions in our society, acknowledge these shortcomings and pledge to do better should our nation face a similar crisis in the future.

Finally, I enclose a letter from one of the editors at Time which was written in response to a criticism I made of the issue Time devoted to the twenty-fifth anniversary of President Kennedy's death. You may also consider the enclosed paper a long overdue response to that letter, particularly to the paragraph I highlighted.

With best wishes and gratitude for your time and consideration of this letter and my work.

Sincerely,

Dr. Gerald Ginocchio
Wofford College
ginocchioga@wofford.edu

TIME
THE WEEKLY NEWSMAGAZINE

TIME & LIFE BUILDING
ROCKEFELLER CENTER
NEW YORK 10020

JONATHAN DANZIGER
EDITORIAL OFFICES

February 16, 1989

Dear Dr. Ginocchio:

Thank you for writing to TIME with your comments on our recent articles on the assassination of President Kennedy. We are glad to address the points you raise.


In presenting James Reston Jr.'s theory that Lee Harvey Oswald was shooting at Governor Connally, we knew it was just that -- a theory. It's an argument as old as the assassination itself, and on the occasion of the twenty-fifth anniversary of the President's death, it seemed an avenue worth exploring.

However, as we noted in the same issue, the Warren Commission did not hold much stock in this theory, and dismissed it out of hand. Similarly, as you know, currently popular theories hypothesize the involvement of organized crime in Kennedy's murder.

Finally, though, we find all these guesses unsatisfactory, and we have yet to see any compelling or conclusive evidence to shake our belief in the conclusions of the Warren Commission: that Oswald, acting alone, was responsible for the assassination of the President.

We are grateful to you for offering us your thoughts, and your comments have been circulated among several editors for their interest. We appreciate your responsive interest in TIME, and we send you our best wishes.

Sincerely,



Jonathan Danziger

Dr. Gerald Ginocchio
Wofford College
Spartanburg, SC 29301
JD:sf