

6/4/92

Dear Gerry,

I'm embarrassed again and I hope you are not over your letter to the Times. I thought I'd sent you my correcting letter to them and the one I wrote the Washington (Moonie) Times. Here is what happened.

A usually precise friend, an Army colonel, sent me a New York Times story with an editorial folded inside of it. There was no identification and no date on the editorial. I assumed, as it turned out, quite incorrectly, that the editorial also was in the NY Times. Only when the copy got to that colonel he phoned me immediately and told me it was from the Moonie Times. I then apologized to the NY and sent the same letter to the Moonie, meaning the one I sent you. I've heard from neither.

So I suppose that more than ever the NYTimes thinks we are all crazy!

Sorry if I did not send you the corrections! Made 5/29.

I'm writing on the JAMA. I think of the title ~~XXX~~ "JAMBORIE" for now. Only too many interruptions. That was I think the most completely dishonest job yet.

The Dallas doctors also lied but this is complicated by what they said and what JAMA said they said.

Unless I hurt myself more today when the heavy door of a borrowed two-door closed on my more damaged leg, and I rush on this to keep the doctor's appointment and to be able to mail it then, my health is about what it was. My car is in the garage-hit by a grocery cart while I was reading waiting for Bill to do her shopping!

We were at Johns Hopkins yesterday. I got the results of that sleep test. They rule out both medication and surgery. The one remaining treatment is slightly compressed air, or air under a little pressure, through a mask. The one mask tried at a nearby hospital was almost designed not to stay on the face of one who turns in his sleep. So I'm to return to Hopkins on the 13 or 20th to sleep in a mask or masks they'll try. If one works I'll be sleeping it with the room air fed through a tube connected to a small air compressor. The tube is flexible, about an inch in diameter.

One of the results of the Hopkins test is that, they believe, I am tired. Even when I do not feel tired. They are impressed by and in part at least make this deduction from how rapidly and easily I fall asleep. After the night test I was instructed to make a nap every two hours, last at 3 p.m. On the average, took less than five minutes, too. I was told to try going to sleep earlier. I'd tried this before and it made no difference. Last night I had a long and interesting phone conversation with a law student in Georgia who had gone over the Russell archive. It made no difference. To bed later, up at about the same time, only more tired. But I'll continue trying. But maybe not tonight because I remain tired.

Best to you all, and again my apologies,

Harold

Dear Harold,

6/1/92

For what its worth, I sent the following letter to the New York Times this morning. I have looked at the AMA interview. It is simply unbelievable that Dr. Lundberg describes himself as a "stickler for detail" and ~~how~~ all he lets Humes and Boswell do is lie, misrepresent, and ignore crucial evidence. The companion piece with some of the Dallas doctors seems to be aimed mainly at Genshaw's book.

Hope you are well, and you have had some success correcting your sleep problem. My regards to Lillian.

Yours,
Gerry.

WOFFORD COLLEGE

FOUNDED 1854

SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29303-3840

(803) 585-4821

Editorial page editor
The New York Times
229 W. 43st.
New York, N.Y. 10036

May 31, 1992

To the editor:


You ought to be ashamed of yourself for publishing an unwarranted and unfactual defamation of Harold Weisberg in your 5/21/92 editorial, "Best Evidence". Harold Weisberg has allowed me free access to his extensive files and has given me considerable unpaid help in developing courses on the Kennedy assassination over the past several years. He is not just another conspiracy buff pandering to public interest in the assassination. If you know anything, Mr. Weisberg has been the principal critic attacking Oliver Stone's commercialization and exploitation of this tragedy.

Harold Weisberg, personally, has done more to preserve an accurate historical record of the assassination and its investigations than your own mighty New York Times, with all its staff and resources.

And if you knew anything about that record, you would know that Drs. Humes and Boswell, in their AMA interview, were just repeating lies they originally fed the Warren Commission. Their own evidence, such as the official death certificate of the President, disproves their statement that President Kennedy was shot in the back of the neck. He was not! He was shot in the back. And no effort was made to trace the track of that bullet during the autopsy!

The New York Times' ignorance of established fact and continuing efforts to bolster official deceit and cover-up I find appalling.

In disgust,



Dr. Gerald Ginocchio