

Dear Gerry,

3/2/92

When a fraternity puts out a handbill referring to you as "Woodford's Own," man, you are in!

And you'll likely be in more demand. So, if you get the same question about Warren, I suggest that you include in your response the Eisenberg memo on the first staff conference, WW N IV, page 24.

I have about a file drawer full on Oliver Stone for when you are here. If you do offer a special course on it, I suggest you have a list of what you do have and copy what you want that you do not have when you are here.

There is quite a bit I did not send you, including interviews with him, etc.

Your hope for us to have an early spring was marked by Lil's bringing the first blooms into the house, the few crocuses the chipmunchs happened to miss, or did not eat. They were separate from other bulbs they like.

Our jonquils have been growing for several weeks and a few are about to open and bloom.

And "our" Mallards returned a week or so ago. So the breeding season is about to start or has.

Not only that, but after parking the car in the sun while Lil shopped I turned its air conditioner on, it is that warm today following quite cold days and nights and zero windchills of a just a week ago,

Good title for the lecture. I suggested the headline, "Alice in Blunderland" to the Post but they did not use it.

Best,

Harold

Dear Harold,

Feb. 27, 1992

I am glad you found those articles on Mark Lane interesting and useful. In my most recent radio interview (2/13/92) I went out of my way to use Lane as an example of one of the most irresponsible critics. That, of course, didn't stop CBS from giving him some air time on their special of specials. I felt compelled to write Erwin Knoll not only because of the Progressive's lack of attention to responsible criticism of the government's handling of the investigation of the assassination, but also because I did have an opportunity to attend a lecture Knoll gave in 1979 at Southern Illinois Univ. on the general topic of militarism. I was impressed with him. I will certainly let you know if I get any response.

The main reason I am writing again so soon is to let you know how my public lecture at the college went. I have enclosed the nicely-designed flyer that the students made and put up around campus. I was very gratified by this effort, and I put a fair amount of time into the 25-page lecture I delivered. It attracted about 100 people, mostly students, but there were a few faculty members there and some of the night security people sat in. It went very well and, as usual, I got some very good questions at the end. One particularly thoughtful question focused on Earl Warren and how a man of such stature and integrity could have headed up such a disreputable investigation. Not to absolve Warren completely of course, but this gave me an excellent opportunity to focus on the crucial role of the staff. Also, as part of my response I mentioned Sen. Russell's dissent, how it was suppressed, and how he came to support your work.

Given the continuing level of interest I am considering doing a special course next January on the making of "JFK". From what you have sent me over the past year, I believe I have more than enough for such a project. But if we can get up for a visit (most likely sometime in April) I sure would like to take a look at the file you have accumulated.

Hope you have an early spring, as we seem to be having here. Take care.

Best Wishes,



P.S. The title for the lecture was my invention.

HAVE YOU SEEN

JFK ?

Even if you haven't, come learn
what the hype is all about when
Wofford's own Gerald Ginocchio
presents:

"Oliver Stone, Dan Rather, and the
Warren Commission in Wonderland"

Tuesday, February 25 at 7:30
in the Lecture-Cinema Room

SPONSORED BY PI KAPPA ALPHA