## Dear Jina, Gessely/inwoption 7/ iny Recour and the Governant'r 5/12/74

Before I forget, LiL has a sumpius of poppar plants she yotted yestenday, so find out how fany you and lieg want.

I did too myoh ohysionl woxir yesterday, ai I fell size asleap oituing up at 9 and slept until Lal awakaned ne at 12:15, when I iswediately Iell asieep in bad and blopt until about 4. Intomding to be a good "boy" andi got some reat to wake up for it, I 2.ay abod. Jut I didn't sioap nore. Instead I ussd the tirae to think. It is about one of the things of which I thought that I urote, indicated above.

It soms to mas thet once bafore I addresced this, perhaps in wopmon to the Danahar ilne.

I begin with what anothor mieht take as an argurant but I think you will underatand as intenced to Justify a line of raasoninise Rahen to note that events more than justified this reasoning end the zocond showe it.
 hangup (just uftur thae Isw was pessed and bifore it was effective) I next turned to Bud, and this vas richt aftor the lam was offeotive sumor 1968. He then and for a 20 ng tires would not and I would not be pro ae, Ingring the matting of adverbe precedent. Sinelly he agreed to sund ha thom woriced my ass off with one change of mand after another before he did enythine du you nay recail, $I$ diad en anormous azeant fî masted worit.

The thing that sold hin wai ry ghowing hise what he himsolf agreod was a perjurious afizdavit in support of an Exampition 7 cladm. So, whan comironteri with the vase things, as I was certadn ould happen and told hin in acivence, as you know he cilso cojpod ont on e direot attaok and as a readit you have tan apectro dissagtor our of whit should have been the most solld suit of its kind. I repentedly refused to fille others becouse without corruption it is that solid and I osci want it to serve as a cood procedent. The hanguy on the FiII was predictable. In fact, I prodieted it.

We are today in a oomparable astuation whth the judges, and I a propose doing sosething, with tha prodiction that if we don't that provision will be used oxalusively as a legititathiaing of what it was enacted to prevent. The law wili be nutted.

I hawe rade nary requests, I have done noro wititing in wy filed than dal who oth be considered serious writerrs cosibinod. And not only hrve I not onoe used mesterial. that can be properiy doscribed in Exempition 7 teans, I haves not asked for it. Hareover, where the sovernment has releused, as it has, what showld have been withheic - and the exeaples are beyond colating - I have not once usad it. Whare I did une a document of this nature, in publishing it $I$ dastroyed ali identificutions. It dealt with mioggtions of howo sownality and it is in Osuald in Hiow Owionns.

Unijhe othorm, I agree with sosw of it exemptions, if not all, and ny argusents with others on spocitic inatances are numerous. In oorrespondence sione I cua rowember
 hurt and I agree with the hegitinate interprotation of Ryemptipn 7 in pearticular.

If tham is a aingle oase in all ry countless recquasts where $I$ ever asived for suything properily lixemption, on the mere nilebation of it I droppud the request. I can't thbik I evor made a single such recuast. Bracketinc this I thirik a study of ry requests wdil ahow an atausife and smprosaivo connistoncy. I askeci for only that which had been used (armoricon Hail) and nevor anythind that could efsolose the iciontity of an inforvant, for exargia. Yet there is a case whems 1 J did identify an inforzant and nejther Pusi nor I have usodit. When I asiced Tow Kelley $100^{\circ}$ the Jodden stuff and hy sutd Holdon weo sick in a way that made me bolieve it, I dropped that on the spot. Whan 健tchall duclassified the Valle filem I had kopt aftor this protty hote una a apocinl out of cophes was sent ne. I resuand to use it beonuge of its nuting, hiss illness and his sez problems and his psyoho record. Nor have I distributed oppies. Or, I haves a good record of whith this is not a2.

What is the recosi on the other side? Druloas lies, false astorring siat I an corterin croastas suto perjury; wrongful clasafications that rangos uphard to the ciasadifyine of public, court reconds and the denkel to we in two cases of what was ueed in court and was publiehed intomationnily, includinet in facsiolles a prerfle offort to destroy tho proof of this, in qy possuasion in the anvelope in wich it was radied to we by Kleinitenst; countleas deceptions of courtsp deliberate withholising fros me what could not be wihhelia until it could be leaked to one whose mistase could be depended upon (in one case Rhouds peraonaily soliaited this waporter to aak for it wier FoI after refuring it to for a long tirse and then violating his negulations by not giving ag equal acogas, not oven mailisng soo a cope until long after publication); aiteming regulations efter the fect to uithiold what the rogulations raguired be given to me; and I gueas I could aide to this by consuitation uith wy filies.

In tio cases that I can think of I was solicited to uas whas could serve niterior and wrongtul governogent purposes. Ono had to do with the effort to defase the JJK fandily, the niterciation I had with everyone else you should resember. Fhonds and GSA both actunily
 pened and anked if biay would surve as my expart if I thereaf'tor wanted to zalke request to fry to undo ham that would be done. And Hempon ohnson called my attention to the deciassi-


What of the judees on this? In cuse after oxae I alleged anci/or offerod pmoof of perjury on Exomption 7. Tida inchudes Gessell, as cioes the rewriting of the regulation. I think we can gather an ingnesadvo reocrd of false swearine that in several instances has to be reel pergury. isket Ehouls swouring that I hases not oven ap, lijed, the nost basic
 in tha jaresenoe of a witness, with further proof that coveringe 2 etter with which it mas
 thay they were the exployoss of the jerjurarn and riejnalenat the original liart I can even procuce a tape of a comversation with a deputy Deputy ba in which ho told se riecht out that they igrozed the Ab's controiling mum on the lay and another of another phone convergation with Vawtex in whioh he ing bogeed ma to go to court inatosac of making hin h ndio appeais.

Sones of what I did tet deapite aII this hantypanky would be ono of the nowe effective ways of doing sonorthing about thone Euppopmietios if I ean oven eget the boik in which they are inclucted printed but I wili not eive them away. I make whatevor orforts I con as
 In a doal. for perintinis the book, with whioh ha is ifagressed, piun the rifeht to oprdenge cormarofally, otc. Hame the hankypanky in in the ooritext of their ciestruction of tho eviduace when ortered to stive it to we phas the inorodible maning of all of it, the zost unassailiehle jwoor's you will ovar see. Hid habode can give you en evaluation of the text alone. He doas not have tha tocussonta, the ap,ondina.arioe anountof wori in this one
 9966 and you know how I work!

What I an also maying in that in ench and very case share I have boon refused I ash provide the notive because I have not in gay oaso asked for anything the eontent or widch I did not have or know. I whe not about to Iet zyscif be riseused for the poliftical purposes clear to me. In 2002 TY , for axample, ats you krow, autic from what Forid wote, which includes the false leads, I hays then correct info in a secrat meno and have interviebed vorv than
 Teran official sources the whole diened story and I luave avcently developed confiriation Irom stsili notiser originel sourco. But tisis also I wan not fobout to blos because the book is partly written and entireis researcheci.

Hucir to Geaselis when I ranted to appeal and was browo and filled a statomont in fornsa pauperiss and had no succobo and ry oniy asset a heavily-sortrgaged home he densed it outwight. Had I ben ables to appoal. I'd have proven how ho vas deliberatoly decoived and that the regulations were tarperta with, etc. I believe he know it and that he joner all along thia was hapeninge I bejieve he has hifs own hangup(s) and that deapite his bottur than average rocond thin is the most ingmoper thine for a judge. bik must be Nithout hancugas or should diaqualify hinsolf.

As I have noted bufore, in this case I deliberately comfronted hifw with a conflict in tha worsm-to material. I Interpret thio as proof tiat Ranitin imonindy swoye falsuly to the most matexial, that which cossell had asisod for ass the basis of decidings axid that his porjury was subomad by those who knem it. Ono moins, if thera is the leged neans, iss to dewand prosecution of either Rankin or of awe. For peryury. Tha dersand oould Inelude this: long list of judicialiy-ignozed fialisa anoearing by a variety of offietals, ranging
 finet frapressed Bud.
of courne tho other ig a suat for money ciamegess. I have wanted to file this from
 legel basis for it.

The paper should be hore by now, it is tilex to sabo inl's toe ent sarve it to ber in bed, and thereaftor I want to piok up the writing I'm dojngs so I' 2 I end here, with one sukestions why not tedo this up with your Hider contencta? They have mugh intorest In thin concuption, woelly rewniting of the Lev. II the verious groups in a position to help had not rafuasd frow the outaet, tie dogel insotory of the law would have been entirely different, such was tho nuture of the oases I hed selected. liaybe they will now see or itind sura way to haly or nako butainstions. If you deoide to, they may have thats for I have no coubt they will mot we what is in it that can cefene otherse

Lat wal Ierve tha rucond cleas on ry aproach to the LCiJJ. Pinst it was with Deavid Isbell, of Covingtons, Buriing, then in the Union 2rust indge I aieo took hin to the Arcinves sevorai times and showed him Zapauder, okooking hin (inciuding with the use of the color slides). Fife asked ne to write a nemo to formoe Froeveen. I did. And never got any answer. Isbell, "pparontily on the asmugytion that at sorde point tha fods would oone after me begause of tha hurt my work could do theng also sont ve to a lawyor named fiodereller, I thinte on 19th St. nt, so I could call hin if they arzested ot sonse truspedi-up charge. 20 tixis you edght want to add for the Nider peopio the proofs of whish you know of fadaral intrumitons into uy life and zishts.

