

raci-tr.

Liebeler had to have spoken to at least mother in advance. He had their desire, omitted Philip's name from those given press. Philip told about doctor's appointment.

"They" called "yesterday" (4/6) re Philip's testimony, being with First met Carlos summer 1963, with Bill Dwyer. But he says of "was a little reluctant", that "he didn't (wnt to?) get mixed up in anything." Now, is the only reason for going to Carlos, of all the places, was, "we wanted to go in radio shops and everything", why should ever have been "a little reluctant"?

But it was the third or the fourth time he saw Carlos that he asked about raising money. Note that this means he had seen Carlos three or four times before June, when they signed a "contract".

Reference to Vance is as "last guy" who went to Carlos with me. This seems to indicate that there was at least one other bou who went with him. Note that on this page Liebeler eliminates one of the references to Philip having there more than once. Philip also says it was Vance's "first time and every time" does this mean Vance was there later, after the "Oswald" time?

Philip tells what Liebeler had to know was a lie from Hellock's testimony that "when I first heard about it in the papers and on TV, I didn't recognize where becomes inconsistent, saying, without omission, "See, I forgot that this way over there, you know, I forgot about it, and I thought I didn't meet him, if he hadn't met him, why should he say, "I thought I didn't meet him" as an interruption or omission, "It wasn't unt l the FBI men came to my house to take a picture of him when he was first under arrest, and he got out August, the 4th, I think." Here Liebeler makes no reference to an FBI report but if Philip then recognized Oswald. Philip hedged in his answer. He said it was the name of an ex-Marine that he remembered.

Philip confirms that while Oswald was there a man came up in the hallway to what Hellock said.

11 Like Blalock, he says Oswald asked about something like Cosa Nostra.
12 Oswald did not try to join. "That must have been later". His testimony
here indicates that this must have been Oswald's first visit and that he did not
then say what Bringuer said he had. Now with all the testimony agreeing that
period left before the others, there is other indication that Oswald had seen
Bringuer's on another occasion. For example, what Evvie told me:

12-3 Philip's account of what Oswald said of guerilla fighting and the quantity
of weapons and explosives is consistent with Blalock's.

13 In its original form, Philip's testimony is consistent with Vance's,
that Oswald would give them his Marine manual. Here words have been added to the
transcript. With the addition, the import is that Oswald could leave the manual
with Carlos for Philip. The words added are "You can give it to Carlos". With the
addition, it reads, "...and he said he would give it to me, and I said, 'That's
all right. You don't have to. You can give it to Carlos.' He said, 'Well, O.K.,
he will give it to Carlos next time he comes'." However, what follows is not con-
sistent with this interpretation.

14 Asked if there was any discussion of Oswald having "the training of
Castro troops or guerrillas", Philip said, "No, that must have been later", im-
plying, perhaps, knowledge of such discussion or activity.

17 Went back to Carlos after assassination "to get things straightened out
and talk with Carlos a little about him, you know." Here he reflects more than one
visit from the FBI, "the last time the FBI man came". But Philip says it was late
July and that he will "stick with late July". He says Vance told the FBI the same
thing. Philip says, "it was between late July-middle of August to late July".

20 Mrs. Geraci says she called FBI about Carlos.

22 Mrs. Geraci said "he has the receipt at home with the date on it. When
he gave Carlos money, Carlos gave him a receipt".