Lopils under Curbstone, FOLA/226

Dour Jim.

2/5/78

For spectro/SaA appeal or resend. Source FSIAQ #105-82555-4584, the report of Robert F. Gemberling dated 8/5/64 covering the investigative period 6/10-7/31/64.

Having tried to present that there was no "rissed" shot when President Kennedy was assessinated — and failed to get the Sarren Commission to ge along with that particular misrepresentation — the FBI them succeeded in biding its certain knowledge that proof relating to the missed shot and its cause were forever lost.

Of the possible explanations of what happened to the curbstone on which there was a ballistice impact the more likely is that the hole (also called a nick and a scar at the time of the assassination) was except patched.

The other alternative is that the section of currentens was replaced. This is unlikely. It also would have attracted too much attention.

There is no PBI explanation of the shooting in any of its reports or reconstructions that mentions the /issed shot # of the wounding of James T. Tague. All these formals statement by the FBI are identical.

Nost shocking is the version in its supposedly definitive five-volume report ordered by LBJ before he appointed the Warren Consission. The beginning of those five volumes is a text of 88 numbered pages. (Sees have letters added.) It has been than five dozen words on the orige itself.

The first chapters a is of but two end a half pages. It is titled "1.298 ASSANDINATION."

It has two subbeadings, one/assandings and "B. Patrolsan Tiputt Killed." (As related to the Riputx

Assansin in Soulding" and "B. Patrolsan Tiputt Killed." (As related to the Riputx

Existing assassination connecting the Tiput Killing also is conclusory.)

"assessin in Building" is of but two short paragrams. The second does not relate to facts of the crime. It is an unfaithful account of what unnamed and unidentified witnesses are represented as having seen.

All there is in five famey bound volumes on the crime itself is:

"As the motorcade was travelling through downtown Dallas on Elm Street about 50 yards from west of the intersection with Houston (Exhibit 1) three shots rang out. Two bullets struck President Mesnedy, and one wounded Governor Connally." (The rest of the paragraph is not on the crims: "The President, who slumped forward in the car, was rushed to Parkland Semorial Hospital, where he was pronounced dead at 1:p.m.")

Before going into the proof of my searlier work I found in the records "essell ordered the FBI to give se I want to put this together in simpler language.

The FBI states that in all three shots were fired. of these thre shots two struck the President and a third one struck Governor Connally.

There really is no quibble about the language because if it did not mean this the FBI would be making no other mention at all of the third short. Desides, it does not say

"one of these (two)bullets also hit Governor Connally."

On the remote chances some extreme partisen night pretend it meant what it did not may a used other of these records in <u>rost Mortes</u>. They even calculated where the motorcade was when each of the three shots hit. There are a number of such statements. I've used them but they remain unknown because nobody else has.

(The Secret Service tried to put) the same one only its calculations different from those of the FSI by a few feet. Remamber the executive session transcript on this that I used in I think we IV - Rankin said lets split the difference.)

Genberling's report gree into the curbatone in the symposis: "Additional investigation conducted concerning mark on curb on south side of Main Street near triple underpass..." Of this is states unequivocally what I have said: "No evidence of mark or nick is now visible." Leaving no doubt at all is the next sentence: "Photographs taken of location where mark once appeared." (I have marked this on the copy I've made for you and as sending with this. The original is unakarked.)

with attention this is a blockbuster.

Table of contents shows that pp. 27-39 are on this investigation. The last words of the entry are "and Struck JIE TAGGE."

he is on 27. The entire page is a subhead, word-for-word the language of the table of contents. There is no other mention of Thomas.

although the FEI avoided the two Dalles photographers, Underwoodand Dillard, for alsost nine months, both state there was a visible Mark. Their FDJ02s, not their words but those of FEI agents speking to play it down, are included.

Agents Barrett and /ded Lee state there was a sark. They were able to locate the point where it "had been." and they go on to say it is not there now, or when they did this July 1964 investigation. (But they do conjecture that rains and cleaning the streets could have work off the concrete!)

Where they talk about Dillard having two pictures they say not be lying but they are wrong. I have these two. I think we used them in deposing the retired agents and that they are in the record.

There was a third, the best. Of it Dillard told me that "the federales did not return it." Daybe this was Shaneyfelt, maybe someone before he was sent down. This is the one I used in Post Nortem.

Now there is a better explanation than the FRI's conjecture about what happened to the spectro plate on the curbatene testing. They conjectured that the lab merely thre it away to save space. Not the other plates, only this one. To save less than an eighth of an inche? I remind you they claim the spectro detroted only two of the dozen elements of a bullet with an inch of approximant to select from when microscopic quantities are adequate for the test. We still we not know of those two if they are even compatible with the results on the bull-structal testing.

Another promontive systemy remainer what her even to the movie file Jim Tegue took in 5/64, to show his folks when he went to visit them?

Tague still does not know how the Commission knew he had taken any pictures. But he does know that those sovies showed no mark or the curbatons remaining as of 5/64.

This teams that descent destroyed the absolute proof of communicary prior to the time he took the pictures in way 1964.

It is difficult to z avoid the suspicion that is was being watched. Man Otherwise how would the FoI and the Commission, with both he avoiding him, have known that he had taken the pictures.

Jin is encertain of the date his pictures were stolen. Shen he learned it, which was after we filed the spectro/has suit against the Fal, he at first attributed it to some kinds.

Attorney in Dallas Sarefoot Senders. Dillard had taken these pictures sentioned in the Gemberling report. We was simmed also remains accounts of the solution to the crime that made no mention of this minused shot. So he apoke of it to Sanders when they not at a social function. Sanders office, I believe "artha "oe Stroud, then wrote to Rankin. This again represented "exas knowledge washington could no longer ignore. Thus the order to the FSI to investigate the curbetone, asout early "uly 1964.

I believe that with this Semberling report we have enough to go to court and desired a full investigation, sith scientific testing of the visible spot to determine if the concrete six is different. I believe that chesical analysis would have the capability of establishing it. -t say be that a concrete expert alone could from the pronounced difference in color and texture. With so small a chip to obliterate it was not possible to use a normal concrete mix. There could be no common agaregate because one piece of it would be larger than the hole that was to be hidden. Perhaps a parging mix was used, maybe even mortar or plantering sand size rather than concrete size, which is such coarser.

I'vehad many calls and interruptions. I have this is clear. If not let me know,