Jim, on the Garrison clips, T-P 8/30 quotes Callery as referring to "'the big man on Tulane Avenue.'" While this could be a reference to Garrison, it need not be, depending on the (ungiven) date. Until the new police building was opened, a block away from Tulane, away from Canal, police headquarters were in the same building with Garrison and the sheriff.

Boasberg's testimony on money for lobbying: this was my original opinion, if I didn't then send you copies of my notes. I still have no reason not to believe it.

I'm reading between other things, so it is hours later when I've come to 9/1 and note, taking bows to left and right, that "the big man at Broad and Tulane" was not Jim and was brother Giarrusso. y the way, it is hardly possible for anyone to thing less of others than Jim does of all Giars and McCkeithen is not much above them in his opinion, so it is beyond belief that he would be in on anything illegal with any of them.

8/29— these were out of order. Mention of Bill Alford. He was in charge of all pinball

8/29— these were out of order. Mention of Bill Alford. He was in charge of all pindall prosecutions, which this story doesn't say. Not them alone, but all of them. Despite his protestations when he quit, about a week before the big federal blast, he did fix cases or at least offered to fix one for me that I didn't ask or hint that he fix.

My reading has been hasty, I've done some skipping, so my opinion may be wrong. It is that thus far in the trip, through the clips of 9/1, there is absolutely no case against Carrison and the government has added little, if anything, to the initial release on the other. It may have weakened its convictions against these others by the deals it appears to have made in the attempt to get in. HW 9/8/73