Doar Jin,
Somy it hes talken no so lone to got to sencing tho altgene negativo. 'inen I
 neny notes to mete, so much waill to cutch up with, so many othor thinee that accumulave, It dieg tode as sone tiue to work out everything.

I asswed you nould ask Clancy to make tha enfargenent ior you, so I spoke to fini before I left lev OLIeans. In the event he has iorgotten, ticis is a contact nogetive nade firm the bxio print I got from AP (Wide World) when I finaliy got thom to produce Aligens' original negetives (iniex, by the uay, and with a 200m lena).

The lub I used fir Washington Labored with this wors The founc titat: the enlemgenent wade from the oxiecinel negative was alightly out on foous, something I did not detect. Thas, with his akdil that I do not have, G3incy may detect this. I don't think you wil outaide the lab. I tell you this no that he will not innock himself out if, on naking the anlargunent fou want for your wali, ho detocts this. He can not correct it.
 14\% high. I have a mounted, laxger one but hawe no wallspece for it. Thero is one place There I have this $14^{\text {H }}$, but it is not really important. $P_{n}$ okaginc it so it can reach ma


When Clancy has rads the enlargment for you, I'd aprreciate the return of this negative. You vill find it is posuible to nake prints of ponts frow bing en I dia in WITMHWasH II.

Por whatever sowonir value it nay have, thas is actualiy the first copy of the full negative ovece. I kapt pushing AP for mode than a year witil biny maily dug out the original, wich they kept insisting they dids t have. From that negative they nain tive firat $3 \times 10$ print, of witich thia is a contectonosative. So, your copy will thon oonedes from the first print of the fuld negative. I have the vire cogies. They :tere none oi thom full-megative.

Lope yin and Jasper are ooming alone wall. Beat to efveryoue,

