Dear Jim.

The May 14 Hubert-Griffin memo fascinates me, from the wover, which I regard as unusual, ex. I have taken the liberty of marking some of the apots I found particularly interesting.

A think I have more on Alex Gruber. By now, trying to ke-p so much in mond and fatigued as I am, A do not trust my recollection, However, I think there is an Alex Gruber-Osweld rumored connection in Mexico. A finish on the west coast either looked him up or had him looked up after we had earlier correspondence. I wrote him as soon as I saw this document (and I think I have others) to get the story straight.

This thing is bell to try end read. I spent two hours on it early this s.m. by eyes now require a change, something not as tough. I'll be going over the other documents as I can and will send you say that seem interesting. There is more Ruby stuff in the Rankin file I was working in.

I have the FUI report (somsplace) that places Howard at the jail, etc.

Hibert's 2/12 meno is something I wish I'd had when I wrote Oswald in New Orleans. The reference to Howe seems to be to Kenneth C., one of the agents on the Oswald case whose name is kept very, very quiet. I recall only a single reference to him in the hearings (Peine, 3H106) and not a single one in the exhibits.

You may recall my interest in Dean's perjury and the Commission's disinterest from WHITEMASH. The enclosed Criffin memo on his Dean conversations and
deposition was prepared at the requests of Willans, insanting who sent the
message by phone through "ubert(who was in on much of this, as the memo shows). I
have the two-page Willens memo but am not sending it because I fear I'll run out of
this EM dry-copier paper before the supply I ordered yesterday arrives. If this interests you and you want that memo, let me know. The openness of the police fear
of demage to the "uby case and their shaence of concern over fraudulent conviction
is in keeping with what we know of them." I think Griffin had something in mind here
that he was relustant to put on paper. These are the guys who wented to appear before
the Commission "on an off the record basis."

The Hubert Mey 5 meno on the police liers and how kindly the Commission felt toward editing sworn testimony reads at the bottom "See P.S. on original." Naturally, there is no "original" in this file and with the P.S. there alone, I do not have it.

It seems that Liebeler wented heads on the table. His means of 9/14 interests me. If it doesn't you, perhaps it will entertain you. Oswald had no underground connections because Andrews and one Pens didn't say so? He believed it was Oswald at Mrs. Odio'd His persgraph about believing Oswald was not on the buses reminds me that Peter Kinss fam (New York Times) found another Oswald on a later Mexian bus, by the manifest. His peper didn'd use it. I have a record of it somewhere. And "Odio may well be right". From the man who never looked for the Hall crew! I recall nothing in Odio's printed testimony on a belief "IBO was a U.S. agent" (p.7). His query, had they seen the full CIA file, and the question, who would attest they had seen the full Bureau file, reminds me of one of the documents I ordered and didn't pet (and another similar I ordered yesterday) saying the FBI was holding out on the Commission.

I guess that will be all for this batch. Sincerely,