

Ted Gandolfo
857 Garfield St.
Franklin Square, NY 11010

6/29/94

Dear Ted,

When I first read your letter of the 26th and saw that you intend it to be insulting and to ~~tell~~^{say} you that you know all there is to know about anything and everything I put it aside, telling myself, what the hell, anything I tell him will be a waste of time. But because it is intendedly insulting and because there may be a slight chance that you will allow some fact to slurp in among all those storybook things you love so much and believe as soon as you or someone else makes them up, I will reply, with less than I could.

You and the others who have so much to say about intelligence agencies really know nothing about them. Or how they work. Really anything at all that is real about them.

The first of the capitalizations in your letter is of Truth, of providing it to the people. To be able to do that you first must know what it is. You do not.

It is well said, too, that little truth as there is in the world the supply still exceeds the demand.

Aside from the fact, as you have no way of knowing ^{that} each and every thing that Ricky White said about his father and the CIA is impossible, I recognized that the essence of what he and his wife made up came from a novel!!!! And I sent copies of those pages to people in Texas who were checking the cock-and-bull story ~~out~~ out. Aside from that, all the checking I had to do then was the phone book. It proved that Ricky was lying about living on the same street and near the Tippits. They never did.

I am aware of Jack White's work. I loaned him and Gary Mack the clearest copy of that Moorman picture there is. Tink Thompson's has more contrast. Mack has not yet returned it because he is trying to get more work done with it.

But he has broken all relations with White because White and someone else knowing it was false and had been proven to be false distributed a fake police report on an Oswald-Ruby arrest as I recall that fake, Of which I've had a copy for more than 25 years.

Jean Hill has given so many different stories about the same things that even when she told the truth that no longer has any credibility.

That ~~there~~ there is a man in the picture does not mean that he was ^{Rascal} ~~Ricky~~ White or even a policeman.

And without question, some policeman ran onto the knoll before Hill did.

Cryptograms have a definite structure and they must for use. Mandarin is not such a cryptonym. Cables also have and must have definite structure. Those White made up could not even have been sent. Besides which it since has been proven that he made them up and even lied about that dogtag, which he got from a relative. There was no White diary, the only word you have is Ricky White's and if the FBI had confiscated it there would be a

record of it or of the fact that it was missing. And the man out there in the sticks that White said was involved has denied every word of what White said and threatened to sue, which is what I believe shut the Whites up.

If there had been murder plans they would not have been on paper within the CIA and they never would have been communicated out of it.

What you refer to as "indisputable evidence" is crude fiction and has no support of any kind at all.

This is only part of the story. Believe it or not. The White fabrication is so amateurish it is laughable. And it is sad that there are so many who are so ignorant they swallow all that crap and pronounce it just wonderful.

Even the story about the father's death has been proven to be false from the existing insurance ^{and other} company records. He did it to himself with carelessness.

Garrison was a great tragedy. He was a gifted man with a rare opportunity. I fear you neither know nor have any way of knowing that for the most part he used the work of others, with a little touching up, as his own or just made it up. Beginning with what he said got him started. Senator Long denied it. To begin with Jim told others that Whitewash in the Hell edition is what did. Several reporters spotted that and reported it. Some he told told me. I did not mind and I never chided him for it.

What I told you he planned to do to commemorate the fifth anniversary is the literal truth. And it could not have been crazier or more irresponsible. One of those on his staff who enlisted my help when they had failed, the one who was closest to him and spent most time with him, told me after Jim realized that he did not dare pull it, that I had saved Jim from being disbarred by the Supreme Court, before which the Shaw case then was. I have a carbon of the report I gave them to give Jim and some of the attachments. It was not Boxley, as Jim said in his press release and in his book. I had Boxley's reports. It was Jim's invention and Boxley tried to make a case but it did not stack. I've sent his own staff investigators out for me to get the documentation Garrison and Boxley avoided.

It is not as you say, that the CIA owns the media. It is worse: they do not have to!

If you intend to write and publish what you say you will, you will make a public fool of yourself. I have not given anyone a copy of that report. I know you can't get here. But if you want someone you trust to go over it and the documentation, fine. I'll withhold nothing. The account in ^{Jim's} book is ludicrous and he knew it was a lie. And that is not the only one, alas.

At some point someone is going to get upset enough about all the crap so dear to the crappers and do something about it. Not the government. All that helps it. They make copies and show them and then prove falsity and say see, they are all liars and crazy. We are the only ones who had it right. I have copies of such records.

The childish stuff you are talking about serves only to deceive and mislead the people and to help the government.

So when you talk about truth, recognize it is not some Perry Mason stuff you and others like, storybook stuff that is not in any sense real.

And be aware that people can be hurt by it.

He rely because you want something to be so and believe it is does not makesx it so.

Jim knew I'd done him a big favor. Whenever I was there after that he had a car for me to use and I had the run of his office, which I did not use except for visiting people there. That was in November ¹⁹⁶⁸ / In January ¹⁹⁶⁹ at the time of the trial, he still had me as his Dealey Plaza expert and even the NYTimes reported from his felease that I sat at the counsel table. I was never in that courtroom, never laid eyes on Shaw, on whom I spent no time when I was there, and after I cancelled my reservations for going there he had Sciambra phone me and ask me to go and to talk to Oser and the other chief lawydr on the case. He even had me, in January after that ovember, as his expert in his suit to get the evidence sent there from the Archives. That was the Friday before the week it started. Whenever I was in New Orleans after that and he knew he it took me to lunch. He knew also that I had said nothing about it and I never did until Stone said his movie was nonfiction. As Fiction, which it was, I'd not have said a word.

He was a very likable and intelligent guy. He was just off the rocker on what he got into and in that he missed the real oppprtunities he did have. Which is another story.

Exept with fiction or with what he told you you have no way of proving anything Jim said. And just because he said it, or you do, does not make it so.

Don't heap more on him with the childishness you write me. In which you'll make a fool of yourself if you do.

The stuff White made up is not even kid stuff. It is entirely ignorant and quite stupid. AND I'm telling you that if I could do it any number of others can produce the novel in which at two points is says exactly what White said his father told him about both assassinations, Tippits, too. All of it. Ted! And I do mean in all details. That was put if the show when it was aired although those up there liked it very much. The nit who put it on who I knew from 25 years ago wanted to excite people, regardless of the truth, so he cut it off. It was sent by satellite from here and we saw what was sent as it was sent, from here and from Texas.

Do you remember when I was to speak at NYU law school and got pneumonia and Lesar read the speech for me? That was before the committee was formed. I then predicted what all this crazy stuff would do and you know very well that is exactly what happened. All this nonsense hurts. It does not help. Wise up and don't make a public fool of yourself and done deceive the people more. Sincerely, Harold Weisberg
 If I do you'll never forget it. *Harold*

Ted Gandolfo
857 Garfield Street
Franklin Square, N.Y. 11010

June 26/94

Dear Harold,

Hoping this letter finds you and the Mrs. Ok. Harold, you and I have known each other for a long time now in a friendship which I hope has been as amicable to you as it has been for me, to say the least, and I treasure it. You and I have, thru these many years, worked bloody hard to ascertain, and provide the TRUTH to the people, everywhere re the JFK assassination..as well as the MLK & RFK cases. I firmly believe that in any true friendship that there is, inevitably, differences of opinion..as they say, "intelligent minds may differ and disagree". Doing some hard research for an additional book I'm writing now, I came across a several year-old VHS videotape in which you were on an interview TV show with Ricky White, (you may remember it). On the show you strongly disagreed with Ricky White's contention that his father, Roscoe, fired the grassy knoll shot which killed JFK. OK. At that time, Roscoe was a member of the Dallas police dept. I have talked and written to both Jean Hill and Jack White, a photo expert on the case and also have a videotaped TV show in which Jack White shows blow-ups of a photo which shows a man in a police uniform...wearing a badge, behind the wooden fence during the firing-of-shots-time with what looks like a flash of light..as in the firing of a rifle..in it. (It is called the "badgeman photo".) Jean Hill also told me that she saw a man in a policeman's uniform..with badge behind the fence immediately when she ran back there after the shots. This fact, along with others gives some corroboration for Ricky White's story about his father. Also, the 3 cryptograms found by White (ricky) in which the CIA is instructing code-name "Mandarin" (Roscoe's CIA name) "to eliminate a threat to national security (JFK) in Dallas" as well as Roscoe's personal diary, confiscated by the FBI, detailed the plans for Roscoe to assassinate JFK. As you know the 3 cablegrams which were hidden in canisters found by Ricky White.. detailed the JFK murder plans, and the fact of indisputable evidence, in many quarters, that the CIA, indeed DID KILL JFK, all of this, and more, would greatly seem to corroborate Ricky White's story!! With all this evidence, when you opposed Ricky White on the afore-mentioned TV show and said that Ricky's story, "was a total fabrication from beginning to end"...I naturally have wondered how you (and why) were able to come to THAT conclusion??? Added to this the fact that you have oft-times told me that "Garrison's evidence never stacks up to the truth" when I know for a FACT that Garrison's evidence and proclamations WERE ALWAYS TRUE!! Why do you think that the CIA'S OWNED-NEWS-MEDIA so bitterly & attacked him so viciously???? Therefore I would greatly appreciate your answers to the 2 matters above-stated. Awaiting your, hopefully soon, reply, I remain,

Sincerely/respectfully yours

