

Dear Ted,

11/17/77

Your welcome and carefully-wrapped package did come today. The timing is perfect: I'll be spending three hours on buses tomorrow and will carry the first three of the LA conference tapes. I'll listen while travelling.

However, I'm concerned about the cost of mail the way you mail. It can be reduced within the regulations by marking it as Educational Material-Special 4th Class rate. This can also be insured against loss, up to \$200. For 25¢ you can carry up to a pound - 15 ozs is safer. Additional pounds are only 11¢ each. For a slight extra charge there is "Special Handling," which carries it as first-class mail when it is in the mail, after initial pickup. If you do this, as I recommend to save money and cost little time if any, it is better to include the word "Publisher" in the address, as on this envelope.

I'm also sorry you phoned twice when I was not home. I'll explain that and make a suggestion.

It is not often that I am away. However, when the weather permits I must get some exercise. This is necessary because muscles are essential in my circulation after the irreparable damages I have already suffered. It is also necessary to work the blood, so to speak, because I have an arterial problem. What this means is that when the weather does not interfere I will do walking or doing such things as I was when you called today, off dragging tree limbs from downed trees. I drag or carry them to the house, where I then trim them out, using the larger parts as firewood. I was in the woods when you called. The distance from the house to the woods is too great for my wife to fetch me.

It is better to place a person-to-person call, saying the call is to Ted Gandolfo. I have known others to use this system. If I am home I'll say to call back in 10 minutes or something like that. If I'm not Lill will give an appropriate message. Inaccord with either you can then place the call again when it will not be wasted.

This is being mailed later than I said because our copier needed a repair. It had just been made when you phoned. The copies are as good as the poor original permits. My source had a malfunctioning copier, too! If I get better ones I'll send them.

I have read the Kershaw motion. It is as I told you, a rehash of what we have already done in court without as much rewriting as I'd have expected. Some comes straight from Frame-Up. It is a legal argument that has been made. On the question of the conditions of Ray's confinement this was first done by us in 1970. It was repeated again in 1974. The first ultimately led to the second and Jim did carry that to the Supreme Court. The slight difference in wording will not fool any judge. I doubt if it fools Ray unless he is totally crazy. Where Kershaw attempted to go off on his own his mistakes are destructive. Where he says the witness was not shown a photograph that is true. But was he shown anything? Indeed he was-what is much better than a photo- a scale model of the entire area made by the FBI Exhibits Division. (I have pictures of it and I refer to it in Frame - Up, the latter leaving no excuse from this kind of error, which is enough to get even a valid argument thrown out.)

Tapes enclosed are 5 on LA conspiracy conference with Colby, Phillips, Lane, etc and two of Eddowes, Lane and Marita Lorenz on Ted's cable TV show.

Many thanks,