Dear Dick,

9/6/74

Theatiming of your response of the 4th is perfect. I am doing odds and ends of things because I expect interruption momentarily. While I sche to complete the draft of The Unimperchasent of Richard Mixon it requires concentration (and no changes in content) and today I can't concentrate.

This means also that I can answer your short questions at greater length, thus also making notes to which I may want to return in the future.

All your questions are interrelated. The short answers first, with one change. I think Jaworski will indict (not your word, prosecute) Mixon, that there will be no trial and that there will be no trial because Ford will pardon in advance.

Understanding all of this as I think I do requires first consideration of two factors: why of all the possibilities Mixon selected Ford to be his successor and what kind of man Ford really is. Not what he is made to appear to be. His record. The short answer here is that he simply is not and has not been honest.

Nixon's first used was for a man who would not encourage action againstbhin. Regardà less of all the flackery, Ford was and is essentially a mothing from a safe constituency which required of him no more than the preference of the machine. Hobody in his right mind in Congress would regard Ford as the man who would make a really good President and thus a reason for throwing Mixim out.

One of the immediate problems and one of the future potential values of this how and temporarily delayed book is its exposure of Ford's financial and ethical crockedness as it is relevant to the book only and his swearing falsely about it when he was the witness in his confirmation hearings. (Delay all mechanical. I hope!) When he became President all I did was add a footnote to the date. Currently there will be registance to anything truthful about him that is unpleasant, thus the anticipated problem. Were he a Teddy Memodynt would be the other way around.

Regardless of all other considerations he will require the Mixon Mardcore so he'll do anything mecessary to have and hold it.

All indication are that he and his were preparing for this for months. They have mounted the post skilled public-relations program I can remember shout mything or engone. It will hast until the usualved problems make it impossible. He is not mayable of solving them and they are not easily solved were he competent. They exist not because of Watergate but because to a degree they were inevitable and because Mixed was unfit, not because staying out of juil took all his time and attention and effort. I do not thing this really brilliant p.r. effort is the performance or even the concept of Pord or his staff.

The rouge and powder will run in the hot lights. The question is when. Were I to be asked by anyone of wealth I'd recommend converting as much as possible of that wealth into what is most likely to retain its relative value through a very tough time that lies ahead. Like undeveloped land well situated for the future, gold or diamonds. Not even foreign currencies because the whole world will be involed. (It isn't possible to buy a shekkdom or I'd recommend that!) The loss of interest will be more than offset by relative immunity to both inflation and disaster. Both lie shead. In fact, I'm hoping that someone in the Washington-Baltimore area comen to this conclusion and buys my farm, which lies at a cloverleaf on the superhighway morth from Washington and in time will be of great value simply because of its location. Wheever does will make money on the inflation alone. At 61 and broke I can't ride this out or I'd not even be thinking of selling. (For the reasons I've given and because the location assures increasing natural value, close to DC and at a cloverleaf.)

At the bottom of the first page there was the kind of interruption I did not expect, one on the Hay case that led to three others. The first was a call from a California reporter for guidance. "t told me about the newest excess of the Tennessee lawyer on the Hay case. I had to discuss this with both others and then write the Tennessee lawyer still again, taking a new approach to shut him up lest he blow a pair of very solid probabilities, that we win an evidentiary hearing 10/22 and then the trial at the hearing. Actually, I have even less doubt about the trial except for "simpster forces." In a normal case Hay would walk without the case going to a jury. The state and federal government will gull what dirtimess they can but I am at least as concerned about the mistakes of which the two senior defense lawyers are capable. The junior lawyer and I have a good working relationship and we have actually done all the work. It is quite dramatic is many ways. The part that does not relate to me, about this junior lawyer, is that he started doing all the legal work before he took his D.C. bars! Imagine the pair of us turning everyons over, both governments and old Percy Forenam!

Now none time next week I'll have to find time to address one of these workings of the Department of Information. With the senior counsel it will be to satisfy him that it really is diminformation. For me and junior counsel it will be in an effort to determine who is behind it. We'd be better off if we just ignored it and went about our regular business but it has reached the point where they 11 blue all the successes the younger man and I have earned if m I don't waste this time.

One of the consequences of the work I've done for the past decade is a confidence in my own analysis and judgement that, outside financial matters, makes what I do much easter. Thus, for example, there is no change other than editorial required in The ^Bnimpeachment that all subsequent events did not influence because beginning with the concept it was sound. The exciting thing is the point my writing had reached how when Nixon disclosed his special tape. I had just proved what it mays from what was missed in the earlier tayes and had been missed by everyone. Only the conclusion will be other than planned.

The odd things about the Post boys' book being a best seller is that the CIA made it possible. I have this for a long time and early in the summer wrote that part. They were responsible for most of the leaks to the Post, through one who before this was clear was already one of my central characters. For other and very real reasons. I know both reporters and they are new to spooking or they'd not have let me know as soon and as clearly as they did by refusing solid and new information. (There is quite a Ford story in the book, too.) With a major publisher to take over the editing this could be a very, very successful work. But it won't happen because The Establishment never recognizes until too late where its genuine interests lies.

The Establishment leads me back to your usasked question about Jaworski and before this very early morning I return to writing I'll explain what is inherent in my thinking, quoting symplif from the past. Jaworski is an old CIA-front man, not just a desservedly prestigeous lawyer. Conflicts always exist with him, he is never deterred by them and is never hurt despite a long career of them, and he faces them to serve Establishmentarian interests as he at any time perceives them to be. He was head of the Terms editvalent of the Warren Commission and thus my knowing enough about him when he became Special Promecutor. I opened my files to the Post, the sent a reporter who selected some 40 pages he wanted, he did a stroy and it was killed up high. It told the story of Jaworski as a)CIA and b) a whitewasher. After the stroy was killed I explained to the lower-level editor who had sent the reporter here that it was a mistake to consider Jaworski to be no more than a whotewasher, that he had to be assessed in terms of his objectives, and that in The Watergate, while he might want to do more whitewashing than he dared, he would confront realities and would do what he thinks the Establishment requires. These assorted realities are the kinds of things that turned Peter Shepherd off more than the defects in my draft. He was plain scared even if he doesn't admitk it. He probably correctly estimated that The Establishment's book publishers would be scared. Yet their long-term interest requires that kind of exposure. (H I am mort of proud that even that early work is not dated and that most of it remains new today, part of the untold story. In years to come long chaters will make successful books for young people. It is a major event and time will make it both scholarly and successful.)

Mixon is and has been a socioyath and a payoho. For either or both of two reasons his present course is making it easier for Jaworski to be easier on him. Jaworski has two special forces with which to contend, suide from the political situation. One is his staff. At iss not young and radical. It is young, bright and not yet corrupted as survival makes lawyers. If Cox, who also had a conflict and also should not have taken the job, had let a single radical young lawyer on the staff "aworski would have more problems. The grand jury had to be talked out of indicting Nixon with less evidence than is now public. So, Jaworski who has been restraining it, probably can't indefinitely. These are not all the factors that are separate from his own intent and preferences. So Nixon's complete silence is the one help Nixon can now give Mixon and Javorski. This silence can be from shock and deep payohological problems or from being set upon in his own interest as outline above. (It also is eachling other prockedness on which I have already made notes, The real reason Ford saked for any extra quarter of a million subsidy for Nixon is to ghost his book for his, the book that will be a mothing but can restage Mixon's finances to where they were. Notel an not saving keeping him from being broke. Whoever contracts Mixon's book at anything like \$2,000,000 is going to lose a pot.)

So if there is no major change in the present situation, there will be the formalities and the people will again be deceived into believing the system works and the Eastblishment will slide into a special hell that it might avoid if it were not its own creature. Handaging a wound will be called healing it immtead of hiding it. Mixon will be indicted for obstructing justice and what can't be avoided if more but for far less than is true and can be astablished not only as fact but as admissable evidence. The he-han-sufferedenough line will work (and sponsor much more youthful street arise) and Ford will out the whole thing off before any evidence can be affered. And all will be fine in the beat of peakle working.

If it is delayed too long they may not be able to get away with it because the the vare all the highly successful public relations operations will have lost their bloom and pleasing fragrance. Nother a ford nose a more competent was gan ours all the ills that need curing without stringent efforts and the most basic changes in mational direction. The economy need not go to hell but it will because what is required to prevent it will mean a major shift in both direction and control and those who now have the control, economic and military, mimply haven't the vision and are those of whom the major sacrifices are required. This may in the end mean a very, very masty and dangerous situation. It seems to be not unlikely that your sons will not know the world as you and I have.

You answered my question partially and avoided giving advice. I have no recent address for S & S. Or should I address a subsidiary? The phone company information service no longer provides addresses and I have no MIV phone book. And would you address Shinkin or Smyder? Right now I think I'd best wait until I can send a copy. And a TV net may be interested in a documentary, so I also have to wait a little longer to hear from them. With initial interest on the lower (not lowest) levels I've just been staying away from them. Sprry to ask you about addresses. A friend was to have sent ne last year's LHP but he ham't.

Nothing new on the movie deal on Frame-Up. You may be interested in the situation and I may head a lawyer who is the right kind of specialist at some point so an encapsulation of what I understand the current situation to be:

First of all, if you haven't noticed it, assausinations are now "in." Only all graphy and worthless. The paradox is that a plagiarism of a black book by French intelligence (SDECE) started it all. Bause Doctrinally had but consercially good only because it was irresponsible and the time had come, Executive Action made money. Those behind it split. Hakk Lane went off to his own Indian war and Don Freed was on the outs with Waksford-Orloff. First W-O got in touch with me and I offered to sell them all. Instead they started stealing, through a very bright and very unscrupulous and orage assassination buff. The efforts were reported to su, I reported them to W-O, and they pretended nothing was afoot. They even bought copies of my book from ne by check. They had this buy steeling the stuff but in the end there was nothing not my work and he could not deliver whatever variant they may have byted so the ironic thing is that for some reason - I don't have the papers- they sued him for \$2,500,000 and he is countersuing. Wild when it was all available legitimately for less than they have already wasted? (Uleas they were also going to go for more crap like Executive Lotion.) Freed approached me and we have a verbal agreement. He has put a group together but I have not asked who they are. I suppose the basis is wealthy Mowovermites. Their package includes an RFK film and that I suspect is a real liability. I hope I'm wrong. They ismediately had threats from the Sirhan family which gave then insurance problems. Meanwhile, costs have escalated no they have to raise more money, So, I'm just sitting and waiting, in part because I have no real choice.

The unimariantiveness of moneyed people continues to astound me. Now that clearly assaustivations are in and I'vo clearly done all the substantial work-and own it and an not as unknown as my poverty would lead the uninformed to believe, not one has approached me to ask what I have and what does its potential seem to be?

The truth is that I have enough to break the FFK case wide open. Not solve it but force a real investigation. Destroy everything the government has done beyond repair or even defense. What it would cost in terms of risk is peakuts. I have the overlong book that has all this stuff in it done. It would cost about \$15,000 for a 5,000 print. The ideal situation would be to get a depent writer who is also homorable and not an ego who is going to try to change the doctrine to do a popularization which could have a fantastic sale but could not survive without the backstopping of the complete work. By mituation is such that I'd go for a fairly standard deal, one that did not undertake to rob me at the outset (that course soon enough in my experience), a fair sharing.

There is what IN believe could make a fine property in comething that followed a real threat to a live Senator (and several others inherent, all 1972 potential candidates). It began "Gam Mr. Meisberg translate?" The Secret Service dimmissed it as muts. It does appear to the unsophisticated as gobledegook. But it make good clear sense with "translation," which began with the return address (non-existent) and the bible and ram through such as Occan's Razor and an assortment of archaic expressions most of which, with the proper expert help from friends, I did translate. It could make the assassination book/movie with the "happy" ending.

And I do believe that Watergate and Nixon have mude a real market for this kind of thing, not just the tingel of ins All The Brenident's Men.

This last part in case you have any ideas.

I'd sure like to be wrong in the answers to your questions.

Best regards,

Richard J. Gallen

ATTORNEY AT LAW

14 EAST 60TH STREET, NEW YORK, N. Y. 10022 Phone 753-1713

September 4, 1974

Harold Weisberg Route 8 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Harold,

Thank you for your letter of August 17, 1974. I have not responded sooner since I have been away on vacation.

The person or persons for you to contact at Simon and Schuster are Leon Shimkin, Chairman or Richard Snyder, Executive Vice-President. Snyder has been supervising the Woodward and Bernstein book. It is the #1 bestseller and paperback rights were sold for \$1 million. I don't know whether they would be interested in a distribution.

Do you think Nixon will be prosecuted by Jaworski? Do you think a Nixon trial will ever take place? Do you think Ford will pardon Nixon, if convicted?

I hope you are well and your projects are proceeding successfully.

Best regards.

Cordially yours,

Richard T. Gallen