Dear Gerry, 11/11/94

Thanks for your note of the 6th and your letter to Garrow & Graf of that day.

Yesterday I got to the local Walden's. They checked their computer and it shows nothing on NEVER AGAIN! So it appears that Dave Keck's information was correct. Of the possible innocent explanations, well not innocent but not conspiratorial, is that they want no competition for Newman's Oswald and the CIA, which they did announce for 3/65. But if so it is silly. The two will compliment each other.

Thanks for the review of Wedge. It could not be more wrong on the rivalry having anything to do with the assassination. If I had an address for Riebling I'd write him. With a tiny hope that because of his Knopf (owned by Random House) connection I might say what could interest him a little. It would not interest Random House, where he edited!

I think your Posner v Posner project is valuable for the historical record. I look forward to reading it! If I can help, let me know. I've checked the Anchor only for the note he added and the first Failure Analysis mention in their index, of his sick long footnote in which that little man again reveals his very big ego. And dishonesty.

Don't forget to have son fun over Harrelson. He had the ballplayer!

Don't forget to look for what he says is "new" in the reprint, either.

I've not yet made up my mind on whether to write Graf and Gallen now or wait until I hear from you.

I'm well into integrating what I'd written long ago about how the Commission handled that "dirty rumor" with Senator Russell ~~Rules~~ Dissents, more than 100 pp. added so far.

Best to you all,

Harold