6/1/94

Richard Gallen
260 Fifth Ave.,
New York, NY 10001

Dear Richard,

Brad Kizzi sent me a copy of his letter to you of 5/31. I write because it does not, in its proper citation of the litigation, tell you what it really is.

It is against the Dallas Morning News, the "Sutherland," and against the AMA, its JAMA and the JAMA editor, Lundberg, and his writer, Breo. also David Belin.

It seems to me this will be a major lawsuit. I do not know the full extent of the depositions but those of Lundberg and Breo I have read are five thick volumes.

You may not recall it but in the last half of 1992 I told you that those articles had already caused some dissention within the AMA.

One of those doctors who objected so strongly to what JAMA did is my source of the new information that is so significant that I use in the Afterword.

I think that with any attention to what JAMA did and how utterly dishonest it was there will be still more commotion within the AMA.

All of which should, of course, be helpful to the book that can be so helpful to the plaintiffs.

Particularly if the book is out before the trial begins. I do not know that date.

I am sending Kizzia copies of some of the documentation he can, I think, use from Post Horton.

If would, I believe, be good if he could do that from NEVER AGAIN!

Of what comes to mind so long after I finished with that book, I believe that the transcript of the ~~radio~~ interview with Dr. Dolce could cause a sensation in that trial.

As perhaps could what the book has on the inability of the best shots in the country to duplicate the shooting attributed to the duffer Oswald.

I'm sure there is more they can use and that it can cause a sensation.

Best,

Harold

Sorry about the paleness. This is a new ribbon but it is somehow pale at both ends.