

Dear Dave and,

1/25/94

When ^{up} Lil is ready we leave to do some shopping and for my regular dermatology checked, every three of four months, I've forgotten which.

From arising, save that I did get some walking in at Carmack's this early morning, I've been going over what Gallen sent me as all of Case Open (that again chanced). Except for his dropping the last part of the preface it is as I wrote it, save for the innumerable typos I've had to take time to correct.

It was not copy-read and corrected and it is not in any ~~id~~ different form, like a brief, at all.

It also appears to be much less than what I wrote. I'm not checking to learn. I want to go through it and learn ⁿ/that way.

And the part he did not change is the part I though might need it!

Later: I learned as soon as I wrote this!

Because the retyping persists in not having chapters start a page I am not certain of their numbers but I think that after going along through IV or V as edited the guts of the book about the assassination are edited out. All that fact, all that fact as Posner lied about it- out!

It skips ahead to Failure ^{chapter XXX!} Analysis, without any of that information at all and as edited all the references to that earlier information remain in!

I've ~~comment~~ content myself with the separate comment I attach.

While I cannot be sure until I read the rest, my impression is that the book is gutted at least as it relates to assassination evidence and Posner's misrepresentation of it. A major part of the book and I think essential in really making the case against both Posner and the media.

I cannot see that following what I wrote as XXX! Near the end.

Although some of it must.

But to ~~here~~ I do not see this reorganized into a lawyer's brief. I suspect that is a notion Gallen got when he read the first part and liked it and never gave any further thought to. I did structure the book like a case, building the evidence, taking it item by item, building.

original chapter XXXII next, my pages 265-81

Re Original Chapter XXX, "How Posner 'Found the Commission Was Right After All,'" now Chapter IV or V:

As written the book built a case in which evidence was presented to build to what was Chapter XXX. As edited what is necessary for reader understanding of some of this no longer exists and the reader does not know it. There are repeated "as we have seen" statements referring to what the reader has not seen.

I have noted these as I read.

Dear Dave,

1/28/94

I've finished reading what was the "ubious Epitaph chapter of what was Hoax and then was immeasurably better and much more significant, ^{and} if not definitive.

Except for what is not now ^{or} pje of the last chapters, I think it had been XXXI, all I've read is in the horrible format of the original retyping on the computer for the ~~xi~~ first time. This does, I think, have meaning.

The meaning is that more could have been sent to me to read and go over months ago and wasn't. When it ~~wasn't~~ after ^I phoned and wrote it has to have been Gallen who told the others not to send me any more. From what I've read he did remarkably little in all this time and he did that in such great haste he missed ~~xxxxxxx~~ what relatively speaking is a large number of references back to what he edited out, entire chapters.

He even edited out all of Hartogs, destructive as that is to Posner and his book and reputation. Later I'll address that and a few other things, like Oswald's having associates in New Orleans. And I'll continue to do the ~~xxx~~ additions even though there appears to be no prospect for their use.

As I now have it the book ends without any ending. This seems to indicate that there may be more. I'll have to wait and see.

But, in the last analysis, I have little choice.

In what has been sent me and I've read he has edited out each and every one of my addressing all of Posner's comments on me. He did not select them to edit out. They just disappeared with most of the book. I find no ready explanation other than possibly he wanted a small book.

I plan to write an innocuous foreword explaining that the book is selected from a much larger study I made for the historical record and where copies of that will be available in the future.

It is, of course, a very, very great disappointment.

And it continues to perplex.

I'm not going to try to calculate but from the chapters in which the writing is virtually untouched he has not junked about one in four.

The wheel has turned full scale and for me it appears to be 1965 all over again.

Only I am now not able to do what I was able to do then.

Meanwhile, the entire area is iced in. We have not lost current but on the next road that happened, I heard. The pipes all droop heavily with ice but as far as I can see the lane is clear. Of the people who tried to make the hill toward town two had accidents, the friend who lives at that curve just told me. He also said that if the mail comes and he can make it, he'll bring it and the papers to us. All that was budgeted by area governments for coping with winter has been exhausted. But for the immediate, water is dripping from the roof so a thaw has begun. Predicted for part of a day only!

Harold