

JL, re 226 and new records from Hoch HW 2/2/79

I've found the important missing link that Hoch, Lifton and the others college trained in chemistry and physics missed. In their copies yet or the records I can't get to in the basement!

For a number of reasons I'm not telling them now. <sup>Their</sup> ~~the~~ HSCA records and longings on the one end to not tipping off on the other, so we may get more records the significance of which is not recognized on FOIA review.

I've found what I was looking ~~for~~ for in records relating to the Tippit spectro, words <sup>1</sup> do not recall once being used in JFK's.

<sup>1</sup> in JFK's they said, approx., of similar lead composition. You may recall my ridiculing that. Never said more.

I'm not checking back now before bed to get the word about which I'm not sure but maybe again similar. However, the essential is that they also used quantitative and qualitative before similar or alike of whatever the word is.

See the difference? Vast and significant. Also knowing.

As I read these I'm indicating those for copying.

There are a few others so far but I'll get to them later.

You may not remember it but I've written and insisted there was an earlier Sibert-O'Neill report. True but not in CG records. After examining the body they said that wound was below the shoulder. It is a teletype already indicated for copies for you.

Please note that I did not receive these until today and am not able to read all of them. When we spoke I'd not even opened that package. Unfortunately, no postmark visible.

If any of those college educated ignoramuses had paid the slightest attention to what I spent so much time spelling out for them we'd have had these particular pages in time to include in your appeal briefing.

Hastily,