Dr. James D. Rhoeds, Archivist Matienal Archives Vanhington, D.C. 20408

Dear Br. Rheads.

I regret that the fine statements of what American's should be able to expect of government, like the words of Mr. Justice Brandels on appearances, are not the position I encounter. Our Mr. Gustafoon's letter dated the 17th and what it represents again makes no think this way.

On October 3 I maigned you about your continued classification and withhelding of what was within the public densin, as carlier I had reminded Justice. On the 16th your counsel in No. 76-1731 phaned my counsel to inform him that the emoutive sendence of the Warren Commission of January 11 and "une 23, 1964 were going to be released to no. Remarkably enough the day your brief was due. IIaahed my counsel to obtain assurances that my receiving the ceptes would not be delayed until after there was still another official adventure in nows management with those transcripts. When he was not given such assurances by Department of Justice or GHA counsel I had to make a special trip to Mankington to obtain copies and to give than to the press, with relevant records and making myself available for any information desired.

The I received the brief on your bound and attached letters, all stating that I would receive those transcripts promptly. It now turns out that if I had not gone to the extra trouble and cost of the trip to Vashington your adventure in nows management might well have some to pass because those transcripts I was to have received immediately did not reach so until now, with the letter of the 17th.

Name it did take a week for everaight mail which had only 90 miles to go. Or maybe you were going to emage in some of the propaganta I recall of the past. But would it not have looked better, whatever the truth may be, if you had observed the negmal standards of scholarship and of common documey and paralited so the first was of what you have mithheld from me for a docade and what for three years I have sming you, at some east, to obtain? Haponically when this first use was publicatively use, just giving the records away, at my cost?

I had also written you asking thetwee a supposed expert on classification you personally essente those transcripts to estimate yourself that classification was justified. You never responded. Now I have read the transcripts. They confirm what I know — that there never was justification or legitimate most to classify or withhold. (I know because one of the CIA people involved in the improper withhold for ulterior purposes had blabbed to approperture.)

The unclassifiable contents of the records you classified and withhold are a perfect example of the kind of information Congress intended agg be withhold, a classic example of the kind of information about that their government is doing that the people have a right to know. You withhold those records only because they are enbarrancing to the CIA and the percenages involved and because they disclose the Consistent was a bit less than the people had a right to expect it to be.

Hr. Gustafasa's letter is not responsive, therefore I write you again. I saked by what legal right the GH- family agreement was violated for immediate political and propagate purpose. Hr. Gustafues says that Burte Marshall authorized it. This is not responsive. The letter agreement has meaning or it has no meaning. It is abided by in all electronses or it is sall and vedd. You desied no copies of pictures under this letter agreement and make false promises to a court relating to an alleged requirement that you withhold them. He they have been on count-to-court TV and you do not respond to my inquiries or to my request for prints. I

believe that after the same and more views here been on TV there is no right to continue to nithbald what you revised your our regulations to vithbald - after the fast - in a suspensial offert to defruit a court and me.

As you may know, I am past the point in my writing or week where I need these plotures for their evidentiary value, my only initial introot related to my writing. As you also know, you denied no prints of those identical pictures for court use. Now you continue to dany then to me while naking then available for propagants were in support of an official position on a contraversial question.

Mr. Gustafasa's letter simply is untruthful in representing that the pictures for which I saled were "proposed to show you and other reconstance instead of the elething." You took then for my after I alone such you. You refused to take then until after I such you. You then didn't even take the pictures you assured the court you would until I reported your default to the court. They you still could not take then because you had pseudoted some of the evidence to be destroyed and aboy this you refused to conduct an investigation to determine her this evidence was destroyed.

My, Costoform says with regard to the withhelding of the May 19 trunscript that "we have soon no published information that makes it possible (ale) to release the trunscript." You do not need my information "to make it possible." And, of course, I do not know what you see or refuse to see.

That transcript was withhold under chifting claims to compiler, pursuant to the policy that all exemptions to claimed, baseleasly, in order not to have to make now claim to compiler when once were proven to be invalid. The actuality is that the transcription has been to be invalid. The actuality is that the transcription. From that interproduction, was withhold became it reflects deliberations. From that you have not soon that is published step in a unsalmous decidion, I believe that under the let this steps in required not to be withhold. In any even, alose I filed the suit you have an added reason to withhold that is not within any encaption. There was a virulent, resist offert to get too prestigates staff council fired by the Considerion. The one named offert this later became our first underted Provident. Since then a Congressman who had interested him in that offert became a number of the Space Salect Councilton on Assessinations, No. Jovine, the former PMI agent.

Now I ask you again, what provision of the GSA-Marchall letter agreement possited the public display of the Procident's bloody elething and what provision possite you to possit photographs of it to be televised from coast-to-coast and to remain in the possession of those who televised the display and all of those who make home or other videotopes while you continue to deep so similar pictures to present to a court of law and for archival purposes?

Sincerely,

Harald Medabone

General National Archives
Services and
Administration Records Service Washington, DC 20408

October 17, 1978

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 - Old Receiver Road Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in reply to your letter of October 3, 1978.

There has been no change in the agreement between the General Services Administration and Mr. Burke Marshall, the representative of the Kennedy family, in regard to the autopsy materials and the clothing of President Kennedy. The exhibits relating to the autopsy used in the hearings of the Select Committee on Assassinations of the House of Representatives were approved for that specific purpose by Mr. Marshall, and the clothing was used in the hearings with his consent. We therefore cannot comply with your request for copies of the autopsy materials in our custody and of the special photographs of the clothing that we prepared to show you and other researchers instead of the clothing. We shall be pleased to do this, however, if you will secure permission from Mr. Marshall for us to do so.

The Central Intelligence Agency has notified us that pages 63-73 of the executive session transcript of January 21, 1964, of the Warren Commission and the transcript of the session of June 23, 1964, may be declassified and released. Enclosed are copies of these transcripts. The transcript of May 19, 1964, is not classified, but we have seen no published information that makes it possible to release the transcript.

Sincerely,

Milton O. Dustifan

MILTON O. GUSTAFSON Acting Director
Civil Archives Division

Enclosures