7/30/92 8/3/92

Dr. R. Goldberg c/o Acquisitions 40 Rue du Chateau 45230 La Bussiere FRANCE

Dear Dr. Goldberg,

I wish I had the time to respond to your letter in the detail you'd like and with the answers you seek but at 79 and with many medical problems and a constantly interrupted effort to write I do not have that time. Full responses would take much time.

As a general statement of what controls all that is possible, the crime itself was never officially investigated and was never intended to be. As a result there are no leads for private persons to follow and there will not be the smoking gun Oliver Stone has led so many to believe are hidden in withheld records.

In about September, 1966, when I'd finished the draft of my fix second book (all are rough drafts except for Post Norgen, which 1 did take a little, very little, time to go over without any major changes) I woke the middle of a night with an idea for an epilogue. Thinking we could ryason out way to a solution by probing cui bono was, as I soon realized, a bit naive. We can eliminate in our thinking that way but we cannot incriminate.

I have no theory and no position in the sense you use the word. - believe that with my first book I proved that beyond questions there had been a conspiracy. But as the commercializers, exploiters and self-promoters refuse to recognize, knowing that there had been a conspiracy does not identify any conspirators. How many prove that who a complicacy ?

I believe that Oswald was not consciously part of anything bix again we cannot know/ And he was not "erratic."

I think the most reasonable explanation of the assassination is that it was pulled off by those who either wanted to get rif of him and his changed policies or wanted Johnson and his policies. The number who fit either is great, of course.

The most effective means of informing the people are not available because all elements of the major media are denied us. What each person undertakes in the hope of its being the most effective means will, I suppose, be the one with which he can do best. But I think it is better to begin with an understanding of the seeming reality: it now is not possible to move officialdom and were it to be moved, it would do what you can expect it would do in investigating itself. Until I started on another book I was devoting most of the time I have to perfecting the record for history. In any event, one cannot responsibly by lecture, book, drama, novel, movie or any other means tell the people who killed JFK or why and, of course, there is no documentation. That the people want the truth so much is what has created the market for all the commercializations and exploitations that have deceived, missid and confused them. And helped the official miscreants.

and so I also cannot provide any clues which you ask for.

Through about a dozen FOIA lawsuite If got in all about 250,000 JFK assassination pages of government records, mostly the FBI's. I am not Gble to search them for others but I do let all witers have access to them and to use our copier.

The coverup was by the government but we do not know why, at least at the outset. It could have been merely because they had not the Alightest idea what had happened and with a bird in hand they did not beat the bushes. Thereafter it could have been to cover their asses over this. But we really have no way of knowing.

You seem to believe that the information exists but needs only being brought to light. I know of no reason to believe that.

At the beginning of your letter you refer to your "researches." I presume this means that you read undependable broks. That really is not research. One of your problems from now on will be trying to avoid all the misinformation you've been influenced by.

"Also, do not build any hopes for getting what you seek from the much-discussed withheld records. What you want is what the government avoided, So it is not there.

I think you'll be better of: and in the end less frustrated if you can come to terms with the realities, unlwecome as they are.

Best wishes,

te lighteft - net field is the state of the field

the second secon

Sorry I can't be of more help.

2

Harold Weisberg Laville

PAGE I OF D Dear min, Weinkling We welcomed reclining your rattler stranget powerd letter of July 11 It 1992. your advice, had it come 10 years your advice, had it come 10 years ago, would have saved us agoinging over the contradictions discovered is our researches. But it also might have mean not poring over all four volumes of Wasteward and cruated is New Orlean. So some efforts ore worth making ! speculation. How do you account for al your poution. How do you account for the assossiation of President Kennedy". Do you consider it successful (was killing kennedy the only objective). -And Oswald lave any actual? knowing. involventat in the assassination Fiel le co-operate with being a patery? If Re was so eviatic how could anyoul count on din not mexing up? (like leaving town or yelling East skooting] out of one of the Book Pepeetary undow? of Oswald was so politically maded why ward he outside or othering offering and being observed the Freudential motorcade? We know of your duquit

PLOE 2 OF 8) at being explorted by the aliver stares of This world and are familiar with your actions Iz make the public concisies of his total lack of integreity. Indeed, we wind to offer an alternative - Right now we do not know and can not decide on the most effective nettod drana Alm, lectures, report) are write seeking your anistance. you are good at -pointing out obstacles and dangers. Still, after all your labors, thirty years have gone by and no -one has supplied inditantive enridence, either of forence, legal, or psychological nature, to forence, legal, or psychological nature, to prove who kelled the President, how, why. We want you to quide and direct us (what documents / people) People are ripe for the truth but they no longer and saturfield with mas covered-up the trut and may. People, even though they are treating it like a murder nyetery, merely would leke (a) name [1] to kang the association on Con you provide chies ? and and say it of not tell us and the know!!! Pr. R. Moldeng clo acquisitions to Rose Du Chatran 45 230 La Burrerere France