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I wish I wid the time to respond to your letter in the detail you'd like and with the answers you seek but at 79 and with many medical problems and a constantly interrupted effort to write I do not have that time. Full responses would take much tine.

As a general statement of what controls all that is possible, the crime itself was never officially investigated and was never intended to be. As a result there are no leads for private persons to follow and there will not be the snoking gun Oliver Stone has led so many to believe are hidden in withheld records.

In about September, 1966, when I'd finished the draft of my second book (all are rouph drufts except for Post Morgtm, which $\perp$ did take a little, very litile, tine to go ove without any major changes) I woke the midile of a night with an idea for an epilogue. Thinkines we could ry/ason out way to a solution by probing cui bono was, as I soun realized, a bit naive. We can elininate in our thinking that way but we cannot incrininate.

I have no theory and no poeition in th: sense you use the word. - believe that with ny first book I proved that beyond questiong there had been a conspiracy. But as the comercializers, exploiters and self-promoters refuse to recognize, knowing that there had been a conspiracy does not identify any conspirators. Hind many prove the whe a compia acy?

I believe that Oswald was not consciously part of anything bit again we cannot know/ and he was not "erratic."

I think the most reasonable explanation of the qasassination is that it was pulled off by those who aither wanted to get rif of ThK and his changed policies or wanted Johnson and his policies. The number who fit either is graat, of course.

The most effective means of informing the people are not available because all elements of the major nedia are denied us. What each person underdakes in the hope of its beints the most affective means will, I suppose, be the one with which he can do best. But I think it is better to begin with an understanding of the seening reality: it now is not possible to move officialdom and were it to be moved, it would do what you can expect it would do in investigating itself. Until I started on another book I was devoting most of the tine I have to perfecting the record for history. In any event, one cannot responsibly by lecture, book, drama, novel, novie or any other feans tell the people who lilled JFK or why and, of course, there is no docunentation. That the people want the truth so much is what has created the market for all the comercializations and exploitations that have decoived, misgld and confused them. and helped the official miscreants.
und so I also cannot provide any clues which you ask for.

Thlrough about a dozen FOIA lawsuits If got in all about 250,000 JFK assassination pages of government records, nostly the FBI's. I an not gble to serarch them for others but I do let all witers have access to then and to use our copier.

The coverup was by the government but we do not know why, at least at tie outset. It could have been nerely bec use they had not the slightest idea what had happened and with a bird in hand they did not beat the bushes. Whereafter it could have been to cover their asses over this. But we really have no way of kno:ing.

You seal to belisve that tho information exists but needs only being brought to light. F know of no reason to believe that.

At the beginning of your let由er you refer to your "researches." I prame thiss neins that you read undependable bfoks. Hat really is not research. One of your problens from now on will be tryine to avorid all the misinformation you've been intiluenced by.
nAlso, do not build any hopes for getting what you seek from the much-discussed withheld records. What you want is what tho governaent avdided, So it is not there.

I think you'll be better of: and in the end less frustrated if you can come to terms with the reulities, unlwecome as they are.

Sorry I can't be of nore help.
Best wishes,
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