

Neil Gottlieb ..
5260 Mission Monterey Lane
Las Vegas, NV 89107

9/6/94

Dear Mr. Gottlieb,

Thanks for your letter and its enclosure. Wish I had more time to write you more about both.

The lengthier complete version of what appeared as Case Open is not yet retyped and I regret that it will not be possible for me to provide copies. I'm 81 now and unwell. But it will be deposited for students of the future. I have no idea when they butchered it.

I believe that for the most part the Dallas doctors' statements you refer to had their meanings twisted. The Zapruder film is quite clear on the back of the head being intact.

I appreciate the time ^{you} take to defend me and thank you for it but unless you enjoy it I think it is a waste of time. It is not possible for a mature person with ordinary common sense not to realize on reading Lifton's mistitled book that his theory is not possible. And for his integrity, compare what he says about the Sibert O'Neill report by reading the rest of that paragraph in Post Mortem, where I reproduce it in facsimile.

If what you say about Lifton now advancing a different theory that you refer to Timmermans as "the Air Force 2" hypothesis, I'd like a copy of it. Also his "changing his hypothesis amongst his friends."

Thanks and best wishes,



Harold Weisberg

August 31, 1994

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Maryland 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Hoping this letter finds you and your wife feeling in the best of good health. Being one of your most avid readers/fans I wanted to write you a short letter. I wanted to let you know how much I enjoyed reading your fantastic new book CASE OPEN. It was such a great feeling to walk into my local bookstore and see your book on the shelf. Carroll & Graf Publishers, Inc. are now publishing books by The King Of All Assassination Researchers, Mr. Harold Weisberg! I was so upset when I read Posner's book because it was such a rehash of the Warren Report. It also infuriated me because even though he thanked you for permitting him to stay at your house and study your government documents, he then goes on to say that he disagrees with you on almost every aspect of the JFK case (Case Open p.504). Of course he doesn't give his readers any specific examples of his disagreement with you, but that is what I have come to expect of fraudulent assassination "writers." I knew in my heart that you would not let him get away with writing such a deceitful book, and boy oh boy, did you fix him good with CASE OPEN. I want to personally thank you for writing CASE OPEN and showing to the world that as long as you are able to, you will not allow the Establishment^{to} continue to desecrate the memory of President Kennedy by fostering lies to the world about the events in Dallas, November 22, 1963. Your investigation on behalf of President Kennedy will always put our government (ie. Specter and Ford) to shame.

I noticed on page 171 of CASE OPEN that your manuscript for the book exceeded more than 200,000 words and that CASE OPEN "shortens that lengthy indictment." You wrote that you would be depositing the lengthier indictment with "professional historians and their institutions." I was wondering, Mr. Weisberg, if you would possibly be selling any copies of the lengthier indictment to your readers? If at any time you decide to this, please, if it is possible, write me and let me know, because I would do anything to have a copy of it.

Mr. Weisberg, before I end this, I wish to ask you one question about the case: In light of your belief that the autopsy photos that have been published in a few assassination books are authentic, do you put any faith in the original statements of the Parkland doctors in which they said that the back (occiput) part of President Kennedy's head was blasted out?

Thank you for taking the time to read my letter. Best wishes always to you and your wife. God bless you.

Sincerely,
Neil Gottlieb

P.S. Since I consider myself a student of your investigation, I feel I am obligated to defend your honor against any adversaries. I have been corresponding with a fellow who is a defender of the David Lifton "stolen body" theory. I have enclosed a copy of my latest letter to him, and I was hoping that if you had the time to read it, you might approve of it.