Mr. Hewark Bray
Fund for brvestigetive journaliam
1346 Conmeoticut ive., ill 10th fleer
Wank., D.C. 20036
Dear Heward,
Your call, your continuige interest and your valusble, practical augeestion mean auch. Thank for all and for just boing a soed cuy.

There aro sem marealitios in Curtia'/ letter. His and Zmbra' are eaclased.
If I ignere then in my letter part of the reason I wrete it ia awarenegs of theme There is also tho posaibility he my hear of a publisher with a subject intereat. There alse are roal probleses that canat be avgided.Perhaps thia letter, which raises them, can io what you had in adidi.

Rather than reuveing thoir output of assassiaation boeks publiahors are increasing then. 411 the erap is boing repriated, toe.

What troubles me mere in his commont on the veric, This ia meither oge nor vanity. If I did atet aend you a copy of what I wrete hdim anticipating precinely thia reaction I can. The provalont dilike of the polenical ia acknelwodgod and an effort made to explaia it. But there is me quention but that I aade it sould all be editod out and that in alront all cases i requixed only a blue perodi. This is net a real problem.

What aurprises me in that there is mo other critician of the writing. I had anticopated hoavy outs and agreed to that in alvance, tee. I aakad only that the changes be faithrul to the werk.

Bantan indsbehaved very badis at the ovidouithary houriago I oqule aet homestly leave it sout mefy of the dreft of a manuscript that is to be daclusive and is expected. to be ahortened. In fact I ergasized that part to makz cutting it out even osisior. But I auspect that evon sith it out entirely hariback publighors will anticipate $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{gin}} \mathrm{tam}^{\prime}$ 's itspleasure and poefer to avpili ito

What ia meat troubling in that he writes wo what ha knows is not goally true: "you really den't suggest the sltermate scomarie - - you den't really selve the crime"
is It relatea to the incomplete iraft thde is true. In the realdtio oi whioin ha was fully informed it if fales. I went lime thin in a mumbe of ways, iaclueiag what zobra would want. My ontinate there was en the peint. I had to propare him and I did, in more tham ameugh detail. siti ahortang into I know wverythixg exoept the names and ade establiah hon tho crime was comultal.,got as alloged. I oflored to go to Now Yoris, to make explanations, te shaw preefis aad I said what I theink has to be obvious in a beok about a brealing stery, I vould mot write the wissing parts uatil I lend a comtract. A month thervafter I prondsod tho roet of the cirsif. I even went into what would happon to amether face beak Lice Zaire's Appeintment in Dallas, what thoy min obvinunly want. What I am aayiac ia that here ho ia dishowest mal I casaot avoif the helisi it is dolisberate. I have mo idea why.

I do net boliove it in oftem that half of a beok ia doacribod as " ${ }_{a}$ brilliant gob" agaiagt the FBI yet - and with miaple oditiag "a very aaleabl groperty" and then thex if ae Iaterest. Brpeedelly with the guaraatee that the beok dees selve the criae and vith thuily unprocelonted becicnteppdig, as you will aee. Tee many of tiess people canmet face thoir paste with ato - how olae can thay justify thosselves? - and thare reapina a sut faar of beth eovormanat and selid expeaure of it. (I had about 120 rejoctions inter nationally on Whitewanh, the first book.)
 can $t$ fauly, fustly. There is Virtually ne auccessfui besk without a major offort by a pubIinher. This effert begdne with aditiago I acreed in atvance to the chargea montioned. The claide ane thorefore net the real reasoe( a ). Whatover is the axplanation it is not that the beok is imposudbla or the maricet ioes wet exiet. The waricot potentiel is great. Thazics and beat.
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