

5/10/77

Dear Howard,

The New Times piece in yesterday's mail reminded me that somewhere I had the enclosed letter from Prof. Wolfson. The day after I got it I was in Washington. I took it with me on the chance I could get near you and then forgot it. Thanks for the effort.

The flow of materials has been so rich and Zebra has been playing such games I've not started writing.

I'm probably closer to the writing, though. I've outlined the book and await being able to discuss it with Les Payne, whose judgement I value.

Sprague said much about Sprague I believe Sprague did not intend saying in the Anson interview.

By his own estimate he had investigated but a fraction of one percent. Yet he was convinced Ray's finger was on the trigger. Evidence is immaterial. He saw Ray's face and manner. It is his opinion that because he was forced out the Warren Commission critics have another ten years of life. This can mean only that his intent was to end any basis for criticism, which can mean only support of that Commission.

It was visible early on.

Probably the only reason he took the job.

His proposal of a special prosecutor is a grim joke. Not only is there nobody to prosecute - it puts those who have to be examined in the role of the examiners.

The Lane-Gregory line on the King assassination is fabricated of nothing. There is no other factual nor logical basis for it.

That work is disinformation.

Whatever is in the Zebra mind is not encouraging. They stall, then they retreat and then they change their offer and it is not yet in a contract.

If they do not come up with something firm and acceptable very soon I'll probably publish the book myself again. They've wasted so much time for me I have little choice.

Thanks and best wishes,