ROY MEACHUM



Viewpoint

Les au Fred Por 13/86 Venomous Vidal and the Israeli lobby

artigin de half

Columnist Ben Wattenberg opened these pages last week to the national spitting contest between novelist-gadfly Gore Vidal and columnist-activist

Gore Vidal and solumnist-activist.
Norman Podhoretz.
On Friday's News-Post editorial page,
Wattenberg instanated himself into the
quarrel, taking issue with Vidal's
characterisation of Podhoretr as "astooge" for Israeli policy, Wattenberg
was right: Vidal is "vicious."

Although "blichy" is the word that
comes to my mind when attempting to
depict the noveling's public statements
about his literary colleagues and competitors. His sheating feud with fellow
onveilst Norman Mailer led to a famous
punch out; Mailer-landed all the blows
that counted. Boose was a factor in the
brawl. brawl.

The exact origin of the PodhoretsVidal affair probably stems from their first encounter; their entity is no new thing. Much of the present national nastiness could have been avoided if Norman Podhorets would have been raised in the South, where early on groung children learn, about avoiding contests with akunks. Because they both cruise the same Manhattan literary salons and saloons, Podhorets must certainly have some understanding of vidal's flawed character.

Whatever rattled the novelist's easily upset teacups, Vidal took poisoned pen to Podhorets and his wife in The Nation, a magazine that exists in relative obscurity to serve one segment of the country's self-anointed intellectuals.
Vidal's venomous remarks would The exact origin of the Podhoretz-

country's self-anointed intellectuals.
Vidai's venomous remarks would
have received their well deserved
instant burial, except. Podnorets felt
impelled to reply in his syndicated
column. Of course newspapers across
the country had to print the novelist's
original piece so their readers would
know why columnist Podnorets was so
hoppling mad. Ben Wattenberg was only
the second of the property of t

hosping mad. Ben Wattenberg was only one among a number of writers sucked into the battle. What a pity! Of course, Vidal's remarks were anti-semilier: because Podhorets is Jewish. If his target were Italian, the novelist would almost certainly link him to the Mafia. This is standard low-blow tactics from bitchy fighters. Furthermore, everything about Gore Vidal reeks of intolerance for anyone not born to his peculiar circumstances: rich and spoiled and very Social Register. Nothing about the man suggests he has any understanding for what passes as

Nothing about the man suggests he has any understanding for what passes as normality in his fellow Americans. This is the basis on which he should have been answered; or better yet — ignored. Instead, Podhoretz descended to the low level of Vidal's attack. His tone was no less virulent. He concentrated on defending himself against the "stoogs" charge.

charge.
Wattenberg expanded the importance

Wattenberg expanded the importance of the Vidal remarks and compounded further Podhovets' mistake by attempting to depict the Israell lobby as no more significant than any other group of ethnic Americans. Bogwashl Ask ax-Sen. Charles Percy.

Better yet, ask Minnesota Sen. Rudy Boschwitz. During the recent Capitol Hill debate over selling arms to the Saudis, Boschwitz trucked around among his fellow legislators the manwho bragged he spent a cool St million to defent Percy. As chairman of the foreign relations committee, the former Illinois senator failed to receive 100 on the Zionist report card.

the Zionist report card.

Whether true or not, Boschwitz sought to impress upon his colleagues — with

W. THE SHARW OF STREET

else this country has seen. In the past century, railroads and banks bought and sold our legislators, and on a regular basis, but behind closed doors. At the first hint of publicity, those earlier lobby its went into hiding.

Not the Israeli lobby: they believe in flaunting their power. They steamroller opponents with smears that are them selves in violation of Jewish tradition and teachings. Anyone who questions their methods must be anti-Semitic, they scream.

Sen. Charles McC. Mathias earned their untlying enmity when, in his gentle, intellectual way, he wrote a magazine article expressing a quandary over the disproportionate influence exercised by "ethnic lobbies." Israel was not mentioned, nor was "Jewish." The article appeared shortly after his last election, in 1821.

In February last year, the Baltimore

Inst election, in 1881.

In February last year, the Baltimore Sun reported Maryland Zionist groups' announced opposition to re-election efforts by the state's senior U.S. Senator; they cited that magazine piece: this is how I learned. If Challed in neveral stories, the Sun repeated that Mathlash had singled out no specific ethnic group. It made no difference to the Israeli lobbytest: they vowed to fight the distinguished legislator whom they had supported before.

How much was the Zionist opposition a factor in Mathlas' declaion not to rurraggin? I don't know: I never saked him.

a factor in Mathias' declision not to runagain? I don't know; I never saked him.
But he and Cknow; I never saked him.
But he and Charles Percy were close;
they belonged to the moderate Republican wing. They served on the foreign
relations committee together. Mathias
must have known about Boschwitz'
"million-dollar man" and his allies.
Does writing these facts make me
anti-Semitte?" Certainly, in some
people's eyes. But reality must be
spelled out, that is my job. Attention
must be called to aberrations in our
democratic system.

By going too far, Podhorets and Wattenberg made necessary my entry into what should have been left to the private confines of their literary circles. I could not let stand half-truths about the reach and impact of the Israeli lobby, not in this newspaper. Not in the hometown of Charles McC. Mathias.

not in this newspaper. Not in the hometown of Charles McC. Mathias. In addition, I am concerned for people whom I love. American Jews will suffer when the inevitable reaction sets in to the abuse of influence by the Israeli lobby. In this country, power moves in cycles: an element remains on top all the time.

Finally, I do not believe Gore Vidal Is a true anti-Semite. That would require him to take a position. He delights in his perversity, Given a new persecution of Jews, the novelist would probably go out and buy a Star of David and wear it in the streets. At the yery least, the would ran his bitchiness loose in the persecuting ragime.

Gore Vidal as a nee-Nam storm trooper? The uniform colors would almost certainly clash with his complexion. Porget II.