

Proyer - Nov 7/11

- 71 Almost no evidence in rest of "Journal of Law" - 3-4 in all! None essential state authorities in any. Document rejected vigorously when asked "What state official of Texas ruled on the removal of the evidence? Looking for an agreement on the chain of possession? It's by who sustained (p. 75)"
- 75 Q. In your part of the investigation... did you consider all the evidence? A. No, sir."
- 77 On Linn... did not "attempt to obtain any FBI reports which may have been written at the time you conducted your investigation"
- 80 Two other FBI ballistics reports involved in the investigation of Pres. K's death: Courtland Cannon Ingram & Charles K. Miller
- 81 Did not take jump-seat measurements & weights when asked them.
- No FBI reconstruction until 5/24/64
- 82 Measurements "did not correspond exactly to the measurements taken in height... well Detroit... about the ^{actual} displacement"
- 84 This reconstruction was handled in its entirety by members of the President's Commission... I was there merely as a consultant...

84 "I don't recall any right. I saw" (eyewitness)

85 "I don't recall seeing any"

86 "During the time the reconstruction was used was in that window."

Made 3 enlargements of 2. (not through 87)

"Then I examined enlargements which had been made of this film of each frame of the film. On that regard, I concentrated mostly on particular frames which had been selected by the P's team."

This may mean many frame was enlarged, but just 161-343

106 JFK stands in not wearing his coat (reconstruction)

108 Head skin hole on JFK rather than coat hole

109 Doesn't know which on JBC

110 Couldn't see checkmark on JBC coat from 1st window

111 Show Statist 63, P vs. not high enough in it & white dot on JFK standing too far down

Saw JBC marks through scope

113 - has begun to say he was only at TSB window, not Records Bldg. ("I don't know what the Records Bldg is")

114 - asked whether there could have been a clear shot a 2313 from grassy knoll, "I don't know to what you are referring"

115 no no area "blue-colored" (Records) Bldg

117 Angle of 2 men never measured. Computed
in flat

"... The axis created between the center of the Pub. body
& the center of the groin's body, it was not the
same as the axis of the vehicle" ???

119 "measuring angles" not part of my job."

121 Reconstruct: no hit made on heart or to no
check on feasibility

124 First saw with 11/23, probably about 7 a.m.

125 Turn in my leg on road just shot

126 had one cartridge already chambered. He took 5.9
ammunition from a Bell car + +9 @ 45 ft. He
says this is not why they did not fire at

127 A. range

128 They also did not fire outside range

130 Nothing wrong with 300-ft range, though longest
range is 265. Doesn't know why 45 + 75 ft
ranges or if on actual shots at those ranges

131 - Used still rather than moving target
because "combine in accuracy & speed tests"

131 Par pose not "containing what should
not someone on 12 ft could have been
gotten off three shots with one alleged
accuracy" And they did not fire at
moving targets

134-5. They never fired at a down ward angle

"We fired long out ally"

138. Commission told them to fire long out ally not
from 60 ft elevation.

138 - Never did any firing "in close proximity to"
11/22

140 - Did not include "how long it took to draw
the first feed"

141 But it would have taken "somehow more
time to aim the first shot"

142 "We paid no attention to "shot"

"There was no point in recording an un-
known aiming time"

144 - Never fired 2 shot sequence because "I saw no
purpose in it"

146 - Never looked any other way in trying to find them
from which a shot may have come - only J/S/B
because "I was not asked to"

Sight was loose on rifle when he got it.

148. I + actually hobbled. which would affect accuracy

Necessity to take eye from scope in use "to
~~keep~~ presence. The bolt from striking me in
the face as it came to the rear

151 Description 399 "practically origin of condition
myself at the base it was fleet and sideways"

lead had been extruded from the base to a small amount ..."

→ Call Dick's cot interior to top 152 - When he says "one hand size and 3 cart. cases" were submitted to him, the words of the g? above on 153

155 His weighing of units of g's ("several") "weighed 161 grains". He has notes per on there, 600, 000, 0 weighed more than weight 399 "at the time you examined it" 158.6 grains (whether before or after removal Spectra sample rather asked no comment.)

156 - Had 9 "pellets or fragments of pellets" in possession "at the first you were examining these photos"

157 There is weight loss on barrel "slight"

158 muzzle velocity: 1,676 lbs dropped a foot

159-60 jacket would be "removed" if it "A mark some other ... had on ... side ..."

161 - parts of both jacket & core remove for Spectra analysis. Gives wrong time on when he got 399

164 "I had all the Lammis wire radio photographs in which we had any interest" - in short, not FBI's but Lammis's.

166 - JFK front shirt - not for but Dick, not saying when he was.

170 - because had no backing (But from Spectra he knew better)

172 - Used "measured test in my" in "our reconstruction in Dallas",
reconstruction "not according to his clothing" (This was one way
of substantiating my position for first)

174 This part of FR back around "4 in about 3" to the right of the
white spot on the back of the coat" But he knew of no
photos showing this.

175 Just why what Commission was told him to

176 Look through both boxes "concluded."

After saying of FR + JBC could be seen "between Fr 207 + Fr 220"
177 Asked to what he was never asked for results from both
film windows, then "I don't know what the person did
do" (which is puzzling) then, although he had said he
worked from it, "I don't know what the film shows
with reference to the Pass + Apr-C."

178 When asked to answer by judge, still talks of
film window + reconstruction only

181 - Test on operation - more on shirt ^{on + 10} front
182 - maybe he says of the coat the FBI dug up or "pompatorly
into a piece of clothing"

193 - Test of 599 for residues

194 Cannot name anyone in FBI or any office "who
could accomplish" - the just att. related to LHO in his
shootings & knows of no such tests.