

Frank's whole method of handling clothing 141 very odd.
For example, if there were such small shorts in bag (I do
not remember this) + large T-shirt, the obvious
suggestion is not an affidavit for "snug" shorts but
of two men. Why not an affidavit for over-sized shirts?
So he assumes only one man, "the man they were hunting."

Here also another apology - he tries to shift blame not
missed apologizing for and puffing up every official.
Here he looks for the fact that the Miami airport was
never closed - or searched - no attention to it at all. I have a
friend who was then there.

142 "armed with a sketch of Wilford." Is this not first
mention of sketch? If it is, who is genesis?

143 - using this McFadden story here is a prejudicial literary
device, esp. is no later mention of sweating by FBI

144 Ref to Mafia without context but shows he knows
whole story - not just "businessmen" and implication
not through priest, if at all, only. Or, does one suspect
all Italian-American businessmen are Mafia? And
saying it was "said" that McF would say nothing that
would ~~hurt~~ hurt his business is a dirty, anti-black lie,
for McF has a long record of saying + DOING - what did he
know would hurt his business + could cost him his
life, This is the Haid method, subtle anti-black
writing for acceptability in South.

I am not certain of the time, and it could have been
later, but Chastain told me the FBI bureau chief told us they
kept McF in a hotel room for a week, not 2 hours,
as this leaves it pp 146

147-8 - as recalled to, Thompson typed this. He played me
a tape that would be this, described me & showed me how
he had one hidden, & talked all the time so I would not
pay attention to the tape. A later check for a dead-end
would check it & get no response.

148 "Benavitas"? Why not Benavides, & what
is that in Spanish?

This whole passage on Thompson appears to be
dated, to have been written early, & to have
come not from Thompson but from police files,
for it does not include reference to his being a
State Ag's later on, as was reported in the
press, a member of a legislative committee
planning (2/71) an investigation.

This story following McFarrum is a clever
literary device, lawyer-like. More so with
The Jim's Steak House story - Every body is
a nut & the cops/FBI checked every thing out
But the bit about counterfeit Traveler Checks
is interesting. That is one of the few things one could
smuggle into Mexico. But with a sample, no
prints? (ask for ident. of 1 at W3)

There is an interesting aspect to the Benoit-Ponnel vehicle story that French misses & we should not. They are the kinds of stories that would discourage police interest in them yet they are precisely what seems to have been the case: a pro ch'd the job & there were gangland connections. This would seem to indicate that the defense should be interested in the pro ch's stories & any investigation of the American Express check, esp. of prints & if lifted, to whom, if any one, traced.

More apology - 157 on the sketch of the car & the second sketch & the FBI's statement on it and the "Frenchy" resemblance. Note that this quote is from Memphis consul as having issued travel card to "look-alike"

160 - did he sign Aeromarine slip?

Note French said on KPLR that the gold sign at all appeared in a sports magazine also said it is in book.

Marie Martin - says cousin of Charley Stein. Not sister? No ref to her record, yet he saw her in Algeria with Mrs. who knew it & her.

163 - More apology - makes it appear - says - that trip to H.O. just to accommodate Stein's, pick up Pete's kids. See on 164, 167

Quite a dossier on + picture of Charlie - all but his long arrest record on jumping + marijuana charges (fits w/ p m 169)

This prompts me to note that his motory seems to be to say only good of everyone -
Sue Ray.

164 Indication of Stein interview (c), ^{did not} pub. ~~did not~~ while persisting in the probable fantasy that this trip was prompted by the Stein women, here is another example of the Frank method of covering himself (as in his response to me on 10 B2 referring to the 107 footnote) usual ~~off~~ up to Ray also having some business there. The intent of the effect is to hide all suggestions of conspiracy or collusions

165 is there any record of Ray having Kodak camera or such a box?

(Frank told me he had found errors. I should write for them)

Much of this is undoubtedly Lomas's, pass also from his notes. No credit or ack. to Lomas

166 - no glasses. Ray had gotten glasses in B'ham earlier.

167 While out on business of Ray "gert" name seems to have no print.

170 Ref to conspiracy makes no ref to not dropping it after arrest & conviction

172-3 This stuff at James includes more than I recall being reported & more strongly suggest he expected to return & be living there again.

173- This bit about a but 3 of 26 prints being usable for identification: can this be of Ray only & does include this, it is of value prints? And on Frank's words, this includes only "the mud on a floor from Memphis." How about those left from the room, bathroom, car, etc?

176- ref to father - does not include that he was known paranoid & that in explanation of this he was harassed by means including helicopters until he was driven from farm.

178-9 This bit on John, ^{and what bullsh*t} if not fabricated, says loud & clear that Frank has FBI report defense could have & could be used for under FOI. On the substance, I think John has a good memory, better than Jerry, & I don't think he forgot when he saw James. However, they all have a different, detached manner. On Monday 5/8/70 called our interview so he would meet with Jerry

and he said it didn't make an difference if
he got to see Jerry or not. Jerry had a room -
like at it all. But Jerry is staying over in St. L.
to take Carol & Albert there & the father is com-
ing to St. L. to baby sit. I would also say it is
not impossible that Jerry used John's passport
& I know that without need he uses false
names. He did when we checked into the
Baltimore Hotel Tuesday night (which I
learned last night when Carl tried to
call him & had to call me). But when we
checked in, a bell-hop recognized him as a
Ray, he had told me.

183 Typical of Frank's method & ego & without
acknowledgment that he had used Lornax's
material. Frank here mentions his investigation
(interestingly, not a the late Louis Lornax) which
may prove the writing.)

192 - If Frank is right about the Galt signature,
this would account for how someone got it & gave
it to Ray, but not for how Ray got it. Prob-
ably Frank source: police, Galt was never quoted
on this. With no indication of Ray's interest
in a docu to these underscribed "small
publications" (Frank told me on the Bill

Fields show they are spouses (magiz mid),
This could be a lead to his associates.

The Frank account makes it clear
Ray would not have gotten these identities
in the time available.

193 - Timing ^{of his} writing: Butler not "late" but
died long before publication

Don't do 203 but does not say anything for CIA

203 - Eugene quoted Ray: is this inappropriate?
Does it not violate confidentiality of client?
If so, would it should something be done?
Continued on 204, where I wonder from
news account is Hanes was just choice? Thought
Bailey was. Replated 203 + 204

205 Even Frank can't avoid emphasizing the
mercenary in Hanes

206 But onto last part CIA employee and why he left (FB)
(B ut reports Hanes belief CIA would have been in a comp. v. Ray in 1962-4)

207 Note last sentence in Ray ltr to Hanes, "Is the
want you that practice in Tenn." I think he
meant more than he said, and ~~this~~ his subsequent
history shows insistence on Tenn counsel!

208 ask Hanes for NSRP response? quite possible that
before this FBI or John had been in touch. (Says
none had heard of him.)

209 Bailey's response not a turn-down
interesting that the only lawyer Fortman had
mentioned by name are those to whom Ray wrote!

- ask Jimmy for Bailey's letter i/holders.
- 210 Bearing in what Frank told me + Jimmy Simpson's, that he had considered guilty plea, note "Frank promised himself that Ray would not go down alone; those who backed him would go down with him". Frank promises to use "back", which is weaker than "put" or "hid".
- 212 - Battle "man of great dignity", not reformed alcoholic - doesn't feel to wear anyone but Ray
- 213 Battle also believed conspiracy at first - it seems that all minds did until a political problem came up.
- 214 Battle on making notes in advance of Ray's return: if he didn't remember his own case, as a lawyer, this should have reminded him of what the proper Frank's reports why should he want to reduce chances of an appeal?
How is it "their" ~~other~~ decision "that might be reversed"? Doesn't the guy deal a great a nuisance? Or was he then looking to a deal? Why would any innocent decision make them play a "saint" town? This whole paragraph an accurate reflection of Battle's comments.

Frank should be read as the basis of careful
questioning in any deposition.
215 - obvious answer to F-4 + defense's
reproduction. I would imagine that from from
a "stipulation" is required if one is not
offered by defense. And so far as not
being held for any thing else, Ray was.
Not the one by James McArthur Federal
Government.

214 Why did prosecution dare to determine
if "Ray had false confessions" of these
lies and such veridical, esp with it
impossible to try Ray on any new charges?

218 - From the moment of Ray's capture, Foreman
started to keep up with Ray & the case.

221 - Hue's deal is clearly for Ray to talk to him
& Hayes to understand it.

222 representation of Hue was not solely by false
among other things, was Hue's authority.
And Hue & his strictly commenced in proper
motives.

Again it is clear that Frank is determined to
inhibit everyone but Ray & I think this is
madness, esp when he lies on purpose, & down
~~and~~ contract provisions.