Jim Lesar 918 F St., IM #509 Washington, DC 20004 Dear Jim,

Not long ago you told me I should read Fonzi's book, which I'd been ignoring, along with many others I regard as time-wasters. I asked you why and you gave me no real answer, just indicating that it had information in it that I should know. When you said that after I'd indicated my opinion of him and his work I assumed that you saw something really worthwhile in it. So, I got it and read it, not skipping until toward the end. Having finished it, I'm not all that sorry and I'm not complaining about your referring me to a book that is very bad in almost all ways. I've done some annotating on it.

The one way in which it is not as bad as it could be tells me nothing new, that the ASCA was terrible. However, it might be of some use to the people for them to know that. Fonzi fell far ashoto and he is dope enough not to perceive what was happening at the hearings, which were aimed at debumking all the criticisma all except mind. Blakey never mentioned me in any of his narrations.

Those incompetents never did look into the assassination itself and Fonzi, for all his demands, from his own count made no such recommendation of demand. Not even after it was all over, in his book. The reason is simple: he did not believe that was the way to investigate the assassination. Like all you toung fogies, he actually believed he had solved it with his Veciana diversion. And Melwanay.

All of you, too, have childish notions about intelligence agencies, like coming from novels. What was from the first obvious in the Veciana story is so gross a violation of what I think is called tradecraft that no intelligence agent of any kind would permit it, leave along arrange it. He would never bring two of his people together, least of all in daylight and in a place where many oppople could see it. Philips would never have done that or anything like it.

Moreover, Fonzi et al merely présumed that Veciana worked for the CIA. There is no proof of that and no indication anyreal effort was made to learn.

Well, he didn't. I'm surprised that hoch did not remember that because I told him and he reminded me of it. I cannot find what believe was my forst reford of it but my file does hold a copy of a letter I believe was to a Post reporter referring to the actuality. I'll enclose it. I'll explain how I learned.

Lil and I had a dear friend, Egrnie Herger, who in that days had the only bookstore in Frederick. Like me, Ernie was a transplanted Philadelphian, a jax jazz (taditional)

buff like me and unlike me, an excellent planists. I think he'd first come here with a band during the Great Depression. He extyed, married a local woman who died young, remarried, and when his two sones by the first marriege was working on a thesis, doctoral, adison, Ernica asked me if I could help that son, Henry.

B"

First I suggested to Henry that he reformulate his topic. It was on the United States union movement and foreign policy, I've forgotten the exact formulation. I suggested the labor movement as part of US foreign policy. He like that. I was able to open some doors in Washington to him. One as at the AFL-CIO, right up at the top. As a result Henry had access to all he wanted from the records of their International confederation of Free Trade Unions. It was started in opposition to an international grouping in Europe was was to the left of center, Irving Brown handled Europe and Africa. (Aside: once when I was trying to get fertile eggs of a famous French chicken, the poulety de Bresse, Brown was going to send them in the diplomatic punch!) Scrafino Roumaldi hadled the western homisphere. Royanddi and I had met soifally several times, at the home of our Triends who were his friends.

The ICFTU worked with the CIA, very closely, but was not part of it. Forexample, they led the overthrow of the freely elected ^Guyana government of Cheddi ^Jagan. I think they were less than happy in the end because their Forbes Burnham turned the Jagan way on them last I remember.

Veciana Worked for the ICFTU. Not the CIA itself as of the only knowledge I have. I learned that much earlier than the enclosed record of 1970. Henry Berger had his doctorate and was teaching at Vermont, Burlington, the early winter of 1966 when he had me got there to speak. He then vitched to Washington, St. Jouis where as of my last knowledge he still was. He worked in the Royaldi Riles in the early 1960s.

As you can bee, my typing was not always this terrible! I then had my old upright and could face it when typing.

I'll ask Noch if he can retrieve the short memo I wrote him some years before this one.

I'm not going to take the time for all the many criticisms I can make of Fonzi's Foolishness, lies treating third-hand hearsay and the given word in his writing, over and over again. I never sought to have anything to do with him after he and that political dod Salandria decided that Conally could not have been hit before Z frame 292 and Salandria insisted on dominating the first 3 1/2 hours of a 4 hour talk show I had, with that stupidity. (Vince used to keep Garrison enthralled holding forth on how the JFK assassination is like Trotsky's. It was because he could do that that I had him with me so I could break up the horror Garrison planned to commemorate the fifth anniversary, one part of which would have been to charge Robert Lee Perrin as a Grassy holl assassinone of the shooters when Perrin had killedhimself the year before. It It worked but you wont see this In Garrison's book! It has poor Boxley the villain.)

There is one thing that Fonzi was too dopey, too grossly ignorant, too deeply mired in his Veciana nonsense to be xpm able to understand its importance—IF TRUE. I enclose his page 360: "Ted) Shacklets said if he had known what (JF) Kennedy meant by 'hard intelligence' he would have gotten him a U-2 photograph (of the missiles) much earlier."

In from another source this he could have done, but the official story is that

"

a long-lasting cloud cover prevented any U-2 filming of cuba until the CIA gave JFK those he used in that famous speech announcing their disclovery and what we's do.

The significant should be apparent: they had the proof and delayed it to bring us to the brink of a holocaust. And all the interviening time Senator Keating et al were beating the war drums with vigor.

Nenry, for some reason I suspect had to do with his career, refused several offers to publish him thesis as a bok. He father could not understand it. I remember clearly who did me the favor of opening those files to Henry but see no point in using the name. It was in Heary's office, is I remember the name of the AFL/CLO president correct first name correct first name correct I think I remember who the John on the Post was. He got fired during a labor dispute.

And if he forwarded my letter to Dan Kurzman, Dan never answered. The Post fired he had to to to do its story on Whitewash. I think it was over something he'd written about the Dimminican Republic. I think they gave him a good severance and he started writing books. Fine fellow.

If as I believe is not possible the assassination itself is ever solved, it will not be the likes of any who have written these books of theories they regard as fact and trgat that way.

And an enormous amount of harm continues to be done by them. The confuse the people even more and turn all sthers in a position to perhaps get interested off. They cover the official asses as officidom cannot do for itself. And the given the media its justification for what cannot be justified.

To you realize that in Fonzi's book he never once makes any mention of the fact of the ssassination? He is indifferent to it, would be Hercule Poirrot/ Perry Mason/ Sherlock Holmes he imagines himself to be.

It is all so stupid, so sick, so ego-centered, so selfydefeqting and so hurtful to truth and to the country. And, naturally, that crap always finds a pub other home.

I am anxious to get the Conyer h arings and to be told the name of the one Posner interrupted.

Do you know Anna Marie

Old Fogie

Kuhns Walko? She sent Gary

Aguilar some fine stuff from the disclosed

HSCA records and he gave me copies. I'd like to thank her and ask her if she gets more like it to please send me copies. Is she by chance married to a ran At Andrews? A woman who is phoned me shortly after the new stuff was opened. I was to hear from her again but haven't.

Deer John,

If eny of this is unclear to you when give it to you tonight, ask for explanations. I think it better not to tell you why or in what context, the reasons including getting an untointed response.

Places ask Dan Kurzman who his files or notes may show and what his recollections may hold on:

Gonzalez, Reynold and warious other spellings approximating this),
former Manolo Ray - MRP associate, captured by Castro, former Guben tradeunion leader, whose arrest was protested by International Confederation of
Tree Trade Unions (from Brussels, 10/24/61). They spelled it "Reinol". He was
ellegedly anti-Batiste, had been with Bank Workers Union. Paul Bathal (who
spells it "Reynol" says he was tortured and forced to talk.

Antonio Veciana, organizer Alpha 66, former Cuben Treasury official.

Plots against Gestro immodistely following Bay igs, esp. for balence of 1961. Reul Ros talked of such plots at UN, including one against Reul Cestro.

ICFTU-CIA connections, if ony.

involvement of Irving Brown and Serephino Romueldi in such activities.

He may have this in his Jay Lovestone metarial. I'd be interested in knowing if he thinks this reporting has anything to do with his leaving "behington (Dan's, that is. Pomueldi is dead).

Please also tell Don that if he is ever down this way, I'd like to see him again. He may then be interested in what is behind this inquir, and I may then be able to tell him.

And give him my thanks and best wishes.

Sincerely.

Faul-no copies distributed. The other source is Henry Borger, Department of History, Vachington Univ., St. Louis. He interviewed Romueldi and many others and can spell out the connections that interest you. He is also very busy and has been heavily preoccupied a lyaging students from the authorities, in addition to his major preoccupations.

At the time of the interview with Shackley, Blakey had not yet worked out the agreement with Admiral Turner, so Shackley wasn't under any compulsion to answer our questions at all-much less truthfully. And Shackley took full advantage of that fact. He was coldly cooperative but not very helpful. A tall, trim man with hornrimmed glasses, a wide forehead and the pallor of a scholar, Shackley's Teutonic bearing braced an impenetrable wall of restraint. He answered every question without elaboration. He also lied when he thought he had to.

Shackley gave us the Agency's historic line of half truths about the role of the CIA's JM/WAVE station. In its initial phase, he said, its mission was to provide an intelligence assessment of what could be done about "the Cuban problem." Its primary concern, therefore, was organizing its intelligence collection capabilities, such as trying to recruit or place spies into Cuba and debriefing newly arrived exiles. However, said Shackley, JM/WAVE also gave what he called "general assistance" to Cuban exile groups which could infiltrate into Cuba so they could collect information and implant intelligence-gathering com-

munications equipment. Shackley almost let slip a note of personal bitterness when he spoke of President Kennedy's ignoring the Agency's warnings that Russia was increasing its military presence in Cuba. Kennedy refused to take the Agency's word, saying he needed "hard intelligence." His station knew, Shackley said, that there were missiles in Cuba long before the policymakers would accept that reality. He said Kennedy announced that fact only after receiving a U-2 aerial photograph of the missiles. An edge of cynicism in his tone, Shackley said if he had known what Kennedy meant by "hard intelligence" he would have gotten him a U-2 photograph much earlier.

With the build up of the Russian presence and the tightening of security, Shackley recalled, the JM/WAVE station was forced to support the intelligence gathering groups' infiltration into Cuba and their implantation of communications equipment by paramilitary means. However, Shackley claimed, the station did not support or supervise any anti-Castro operation which had only a military mission in going to Cuba. To them I will of third

I blinked in disbelief. Shackley kept a straight face.

Blakey asked Shackley about the Miami station's response to the Kennedy assassination. Shackley said he never handled any follow-up on the Oswald investigation because it was in the hands of the FBI. Besides, he added, the Agency at the time had not penetrated Castro's intelligence service and couldn't pursue the possibility of Castro's

Given that, Shackley said he couldn't adequately respond to any

other questions about the Kennedy nothing more.

I blinked. Shackley kept a straigh

What I remember most about that en tine chief was the aura of absolute co exuded, despite the fact he was a few of who was really in charge of the Age reached that working agreement wit self, the titular boss of the CIA. I complete access to Agency files and I researchers would come back from I stonewalled by lower level functions had become expert at deciphering th documents pointing to other relevant produced as part of the "complete" they? Well, said the Agency's bures perhaps misfiled. Or perchance the But, as we later learned when we dis-Oswald's 201 file, information was b we knew at the time was that we w display of ultimate control and the After all, what the hell difference did Turner had signed an agreement? He an outsider, the temporary leader of priorities.

Examples abound and one cam When investigative reporter Peter [Random House, 1986], a book abou Turner what action he had taken Clines. Although he lacked hard ev the time, Turner said, he was det removed from the Washington scer "small Caribbean nation." Maas asl couldn't tell him, it was classified. inside Agency source and told him "Oh, right," the source said, "it v went." Never went? Maas was sho had been removed as head of the but he ended up in an equally sensit liaison. And Turner still didn't knov how the 'Company' could run rings part of the club. . . . "

By the time Kevin Mulcahy cal

MULTURY mon MAN

94 HOL