Rt. 12, Frederick, Fi. 21701
1/11/78

Kro Larry Flynt
o/o Americans for a Iree Press
$40 \mathrm{~W} . \mathrm{Gay}$ St.,
Columbus, Ohlo 43215
Dear Mr. Plynt.
In your ad in the January 8,1978 edition of the Washington Post you have the headilne HELPP SOLVE JFK'S MURDER $\$ 1,000,000$ RSWARD. 2 In the copy under that ad you state this rewand is for what leads to an arrest and conviction "or for information which makes it possible for the truth to come out."

There is and has been mu ch theoriaing about this and oinilar crimes. Generally it is utteriy irresponsible. It has created many schools of nythology. These have also been promulgated and popularized by those who heve found the lecture circuit profitabla, the profitablisty varying with the excitement thst is generated by what is not factual. As a result most people pave been mialed. Kost of those who call themselves experts really are not. However, the more attention they receive the more they are regarded as experts.

Ho crime of this nature and magnttude is solved nor is there a means of solving It by the popular theorising often the same peraon has many variations of eny theory. One of your panel of what you call experts, for example, has stated that the CrA lalled JFK and that the same CLA did not kill JFK.

There is only one practioal wey for such a cxime to be solved or for the truth about It to come out. That is by the traditional means of establishing the corpus delioti or the body of the crime. This is done not by touting belief or hope or theory but by establishing the basio facte of the crime. With a crime of surder by eunshot the beginning is with the medical and ballistios and ballistics-related ovidence.

By varlous means I have to a substantial degree done this work and done it uniquely. The substance of these facts ss well as the meane are set forth in my work. To the largest degree it is in my last book, POST KORXBM. As you will see, in addition to deseribing the means by which I obtadned the evidence I present it to the peopie in faesindle - the actual evidence, not merely an opiniated account of it.

With the copy of POSF HORTEM that I send herewith and by this letter I make formal application for the reward you have offered.

I add that one in your position is without Independent means of establishing subject expertise. Of your panel those who are associated with this subject are not authontic experts, except in their onm repreaentstions. They also are not, as all judges should be, impartial and dispassionate. Ny files of their words and acts would not make you proud of your selection. Several have been the most serious impediments to the establishing of truth being possible and the lustiest contributors of the wildest and most irresponsibile allegations which elso are the enexy of truth. I do not believe these people are described within reason as "independent," either.

Sincerely,

