$$
\text { Denr } A .2 \mathrm{nan}
$$

$$
10 / 1 / 84
$$

Welcone back. To the world and to eforts to prowarls preserve and protect it.
While you ware in the hospital I mide a fout efforts on bohnle of roin but did not sond you copies. Jin has them if they interent you. I had an extra comy of one on this deak and I enoloac it. What I wote Faul Eoch, J̌in's cillent, only yesterday, may also.
 unusual whan they zush to quot. If it stays this way the hoill will ife in the House and heve to be redintroduced and eo through chunnols. Intile there appeers to be no basis for believing that the next Congreas ofill be less inclined to favor CLA of Moullify FOIA, it nonetholeas provides possitilities: I bolieve that if they are to have any prospects of any dogree of suocss the fight will have to be entirely different that what I've obs rved from the distanee.

In the ourront sassion I belfeve that the fight had to be to so aeriously enberrass ACLU that it sould have to change its position. the lo cogont legal argument was useful to thia ond, by itnelf it could not and ddd not aucoeed. In any new Congrees it idill have to be to oxpose the CIA and embarrass the ACIJ
-by indiroction. That 18 sore than possible. What is questionablo is the asount of public attention it can get, particularly when there are so few who can try and so four who can be entficed to carry what on any other subject rould be legit stortes and therkc-pheons.

Jin, ais I've naver been able to pera ude him, remains the creisture of the orthodoxy of his formal oducation send his thiniding and actions are based on that as hise lev-achool indoctrination. He cannot make hinsself thinik the way that is necessary for talefing and develoging an inftlative. He just afts back and await the nest nove of the other sade to delend hirself against. Wo've argued much about this. Including IIght now in the Eloch case.

What I d 4 d , in the usual rush, is not to Hoch's lilding or his, quite posaibly for zaasons other then thoir acadomic approachess and proferences. I'Il lonow more perhape when I bear Prom Hoch.

But I've nailed than in a aeries of protty big and sigutficant 2ies that sfimply nust embarrass the tivating judge if he is pat in that position, which is raally quite eary, the vork having boen done, whether or not the form is liked. In pladn Anylish it is at the wory least cloee to perfury and with cortadnty the witneas in this case having swom other than he did some yeara aso on material pointa, the benda of perjury.

This is the ldind of thing that is going to have to be collected and usod. You can depend on $i t$, in any nrea of sonsitivity to the CIA it has lied in court as well. as out.
iith it the CIA's FOIA record, in general and in apeoiflics. In gonaral, as I've suugested to fim, requegta should be made for its reconda of reced pt and action on FOIA raquesta. It nust have such recomds, even in the form of lists beonuse it requsrea lista to be able to assign nusbers. Ditto for appeals. It then will be apparent that the Cra's backiog is not as its hes desoribed to Congreas and is not entirely (If roaliy at a11) because of the mumber of requests recosved.

Jin told me you discouraged use of JFK asaaseination requests. I think you confused two considerations, the preauned goneral attitude toward the subject matter, along with the nuttineas and wastefulness of some of the requests, it th the CIA's recorl. With focus of the CIA's rocord you'll have the best possible examples of ita policy and practise of s:onewalling and you have it on a comprohensible and politically edenificunt and effectivo level - if they have notheng to hide on so important a matter, the nost subversive of ordmes, why do they continue to hide?

## Bron after disclosure - to presurned sycophants.

and tho Congregs. In a auit in which in represented me before the Hoch judgo the CId justified withholding until the very day its appeals briel was due by swearing that what it disclosed to the Congross it had to discloae to me. And after many years I' F e not recedved a ifngle pagd.

Yet mine are inclusive, historical roquenta.
With, when it alloed for moxe tiro going back to 1975 , separate subject-matter requesta for several topics. Hive the Oniald-llaxico recordis.
"an There is littile likailhood of any roquest for any sionificant number of rocorde providing fever oppoartumitiea for legitimato claims to sources ani methoda or of bedng able to suatein euch clains in any litigation.

Noreovar, all those recoria wore identiffed and isolated and loept isolated for yeara, without any compliance with $n y$ and other racquests.

So most of the oost and woxk had already beon elininated.
-. Fnd tho moxe they try to dafend themselves the more thay bog thomselyea coum in the old and any new mondeadty.

Horoovar, wo lonow enough about wht is hiddon as well as what is disclosed to be oertain that what is uithheld oan be sectivaly embarrausing. And comprohenaible, not corpiliosted. LHee the ostenaible disappearance of an enornous number of Oswald roconis. That it had a soutioe (quite twak $14 i k e l y$ blowen by than) inaide the Fuben Hexico City Embesey and withiheld this Irom the Preaidential Comission. That Usveld had no "erine ifeld assigronent not resated to the CIA, inoluding two efforta to overthrown Sutcarno. That he had high and unroported security clearances, Top Secret and Cryyto. Of those thinge I have the proof in hand. There are pore. Idse they came cloee to starting World War III and would have if ambassador Memn had been Itatened. to in Vaahington through feedsing hin oivrious and influntory b. 2 .

If the bill ia rodntemdoued there should be hasarings and if the acadenois can forget they ever mont to college they can be absolutely sensational in contont. The attantion, of course, in not prodictable, but with hearinges the competittive factor can work:

Tha JFL recoxds sle "Operationsi" und thousands of pages have been disclosed. Ditto for the ofni-beniling recoris. So it is obvious sud onn be made more pefnfully oivious shat the aGIII is worge than urone in barine 2ts nuppoet for what vill be the total esomption its poopie seay they ${ }^{*} v e$ avodiad on the falsohood that it does not disclose opeatational reconels ayyway becauso they ame fruane. "owe of the JFK mecords are pretty hatry, 15ke its people planning torture, booby-hatching and assasesinating.

Jum stayed amay fron, why I don't ionow, the donestic intalligence aspeot. Those socordis, part and present as woll as future, would be pormunently secret. Combine this udth "engen's mandeting thowe reaponsibilitites, which remain paohdilted by Iew, and thin moans paobelms for the ACLI and sone Nombers if they support it.

The oharacter of the offort has to be changud if it is to have any prospect for gy success at all. It has to be of such a nature that it beoones dangerous for Nembers to aupport examption, the opposite of the position most way now poroeive, that it is dangerous not to suppert exemption.
I. don't lmow whet oan be hoped for with so few people willing to try, but I am cortain that this is the kind of effort that must be maie. Doesn't what has juat happoned indicate that the character of the effort then made is not either suitable (if the only ldind) or affective?

Monac ezouge the haste and typos. I've much to eatoh up on. Continued good Iuck

