Dear Larry, 12/15/72

教室を持ち、

1111

We are having heavy rains, with dense fog, and the prediction is for a blizzard. Last night a reporter phoned me and arranged to come here this a.m. I kept it clear for him, took from my ifles what I knew he'd want, and was waiting when he phoned to say he'd best postpone if because of the waether, and I agreed. So, with the busted-up day, before getting back to the work i must do, this to put you in a better position if and when you go to your editors with another idea again and get brushed.

I don't know what this guy will do, it anything, but he is at least starting. I did not solicit his interest, by the way, and I've never met him. He was spoken to by one to whom I offered help when there was what I regard as a repressive more that will soon be in the courts against him and him.

What he has been talking to me about is something about which he phoned bob at least three times, with no eingle call-back. Naturally, I haven t called bob since. The cost of a call to me is negligible, so the presume bob was not notivated by some new economy wave. For do I thinkhe did not get all three messages. Or more.

You know the essence of the story that may emerge. Depending on this reporter and his paper, in my view it can be a major one. It may, I realize, be nothing, but thin guy at least has done some of the things I suggested, consulting standard sources, and is beginning to reconstruct on his own what gets into an area where I am bound by confidence. If he winds up doing the possible, then the watergate will be put in a different perspective, firmly tied to the White House and to CIA and to others serving CIA, and "unt will be shown to be more and other than represented and engaged in illegal domestic intelligence while with the CIA and with a public-relations agency the identity of which you should be able to guess part of it. This goes back at least seven years. You know where it crossed my trail and I think hurt me.

I am goin; to let this guy have access to but not use of my domestic-intelligence stuff, unless I'm paid for it. I have a query out on a story. I'm not optimistic, but I am broke and I must try. I think it is a good story the major liability of which is the modia hangup on me.

Your paper seems to have someone in Washington on this story, not Bob. The name is unfamiliar to me. Both Washington papers have talked to your people in Chicago and S-T's. One gavene the right names, but they also got only what had been printed.

Who seems to be emerging, and I emphasize seems because it is not certain, is that the white house realizes that it can be rather firmly tied to the broader caper and it endaged in shifting the responsibility elsewhere, particually Croop. The one problem I see in this is the stretching of the dedication of those who will be victarized. They are dedicated, those I've called the dedicated wrong, and they make take it in silence. One of the more interesting aspects is that appearance of CLA-connected lawyers for the "defence". I told you the exceptional size of Hegan & Hartson. There were only eight cars at Dorothy "unit's funeral (a jeep joined later). Bitman was there. This is not the normal obligation of a nerck lawyer.

Arong the interesting pasts in the legal talent is Rothblatt's, not the only thing like it. in ore spectacular recent cases all served CL, interests, as in transferring in the public mind responsibility for CLA shada excesses and assassingtion onto the army. For the koment at least in confidence, I tall you that there had been a local CLA guy, radical right, the claimed to have info on the JFK assassination. His will directed that all of it be conveyed to Rothblatt. From a mutual friend, his and mine, I am told it happened. Rothblatt more interesting?

If this thing comes to a head, I'll get copies of the stories for you. Best,

I think you recognize the problem I have preserving everyone's interest, not telling one guy what I learn from another. Ich not.