

5/27/72

Dear Larry,

A hasty note before early a.m. trip to the post office to get the enclosed piece for the Enquirer in the mail so they can have it in time for a second-week story, if they go for it. No rush on returning carbon. I've a couple. I do these things so fast I don't even have time to reread them after my wife retypes. But I'm so snowed under with stuff I must get done I haven't even got my swimming pool cleaned out and ready for use yet.

I have checked my Strader file. It includes an affidavit on the matter about which you phoned, executed in Indianapolis, and a longer handwritten thing I've just glanced at. It says he was dealing with Jerry Lipson at your paper. It all seems to be self-serving. The other things I mentioned must be filed elsewhere. I probably have the other parts filed under "Long" and "Smith" or "Hays". However, this stuff is on legal-sized paper, and I have none for my copying machine and can't afford any. So, if it seems like what you do not have, let me know and I'll take it out and pay for getting copies made. Bud always went for the nuts, so I imagine he has a more extensive Strader file. Going back to the JFK assassination, not King's. He may or may not have stuff on King's from or relating to Strader, but when I see Jim I'll ask him.

Please let me know what you know of how the Enquirer pays. What I've sold them in the past I have just taken their offer. But if they are paying me less than they do, it would be good to know. I have always dealt with one guy there, a rather nice one, and I've just taken his word.

I hope you can remember all that AP puts on the wire on Bremer because I have a notion that there is an official suspicion about conspiracy. The money doesn't add, and there was not reason for the U.S. Attorney going after the TV outtakes, except to try and establish a practise, having to do with a straight presentation of the case in court. He had more eyewitnesses than he needed and the published stills are more than he needs if he wants pictures for prejudicing the jury. I have other reasons for believing this.

Returning to the enclosed piece, my "candidate" had been in intelligence in Germany and translated from the Russian the intercepts from their radio (defense) picked up at the time of our over-flying, and he told me we also did this before that days of the U-2s, with RB 47s, FYI. He was at the Library of Congress, went to the Univ. of Miami. He had to have some kind of head-sickness to talk to me as he did and that without ever face-to-face meeting, which we never had. And on this general subject, you might be interested in reading a novel, The Wilken Courier, I think an original Ballentine paperback. I have loaned my copy to someone who has not returned it. It is based on that Copenhagen case. I have just been given another that I'm reading. She says I should. It is The Smith Conspiracy. Signey, T5102. Author, Richard Neely. The first carries the concept of the Manchurian Candidate forward, based on an actual case of hypnosis under which a man did do wrong, so the concept that this can't be done is shot. I guess it may remain valid for those of decent principles, but there seems to be the certainty that those who would do wrong can be programmed.

Hastily,