Gary, eul 11/7/69 Finck's N.O. testimonyl supplementary autopsy rpt.

I have completed the very rough draft of my enclysis of finck's field ricens testimony. It is long (24 pp single spaced), may be loaded with serious typographical errors and also may, because of haste and work on it being broken up, contain other accidental error. I cannot, as I would like to, read it carefully and correct it now because there are other urgent things I should have done while I was doing that any max have now get to them. Fithout correcting it, I am reluctant to send it out and there is no urgency on that anyway. However, if either or you had any questions, please ask them and I will answer them promptly. My earlier opinion is unchanged. It is, in fact, stronger after another reading.

Among the things that festinated are is his menner of dealing with the supplemental autopsy report, all of whice I will not now go into. On the one hand, he didn't even take a copy with him, although it. required copying but three pages, one of which is the record of trensmittal. He pretended only the most casual knowledge of it, yet when it wes in his opinion to his interest to make specific reference, he did. quite exphicitly, including even the date of forwarding and by whom. Il of this caused me to look at the printed copy of GE391 which, as you know, - heve ordered from a different file then this one. However, this one beers the number 1221. Now this connot be CE 1221, for I checked that (on Ruby and too late a number). Nor would it seem likely to be a Secret Service many control number from both the number and the momen of recording it. I suppose it could be from a duplicating files with thet many pegas but, 14 this, again, is but a guess and the repeating of the number of top and bottom is not consistent with practise there. But, it interests me and I'd like to learn if it can move any significance. There are other things about the printed topy that could have significance.

I will not now have time to pursue this further. I write to eak if either of you had noticed this, if you have any other ideas about what the number can be or mean, and whether, if you can think of any way of tracking it down for me, you would, please, for soon thore to return to the sutopey work. That will begin with the releading of the two books that are done. I'd appreciate it if you would if you can.

I've reed much more testimony than either of you, for I spent some years publishing it, saide from the TC stuff. Never have I seen snyone as absolutely disbonest, and deliberately evasive-or as successful at it- as finck. The pun on the name is both bad and upt.

Sinceraly,