

Dear l:aggie,

 pritine to inciceto to you for arecs not onnecter rith the reply at alld in when $i$ heve conaldrabiy more komladge. I am sonatne it for your persomal

 erothar concext, $T$ thinc $t+$, ill be olces.

Hoke lev, Rose Tockey she Bert de Fine trok mo to Junch $4 / 88 / 67$ ont then told me they bed tom to a br lotien thet he was beine pad by cra.

Vou are corroct in cuntinu the introduction to 0 ancid in "en onlegne,

 thet haenever been jublished. I qvo: des personal contect with Jim dolnyed my grand filry appearence until 10 daye oftor this book was in the moil, to obviate jest the sert of theieg tpetain ottempte. 1 never spoke to or moti jini until the uisht of April 2?, the uich b bsiore wh teetimony.

There is elvays a question in shortonice cuotos. wo sll aco the problem.
 athor the nessc, $0:$ Ienst it pert.

 differorit efonificance tbet I have traced dorr, lut not yot complotely, and ia only one oith numbere conncted ifth osmeld. It was never cace, not even in
 bove sriduat on ostald as $s$ poasible :rent to do orort on that alone, and it is



 suplursed.

 of the men on the thpo und who they are. You uill efintualy soo wht I hope you
 rottan thicg. I cull thet book COVP DUTAT. That we only ons of rog molited
 othors cons.acter mith them, as woll as tiose mantionea in the convero-tion. You ary cerroct in segine that the Momi rolice, in playins the tape for nemenen (rhict, I are eatisfich, is becoues they knew of Gerrison's investigation), did not mere any ettamet to craluato it. For your infemation, thaic private eveluetion fe mina and what prasume yours ie. But they have a firm opinion, not for pablic use.
 cito printed, and I heve mueb more on thet, too. I hive ne robs os the ligetimocy of that entiro tiring. If you use thie again, you may want to cite to Report, aicin wis that the recorde for theperiod endine Movabor $a_{\text {a }}$ tho day bore this tape ws made, mere searche, and for the Telles-irct Worth arac oniy. The stuff on the Eirmingher Church bonbiag + acy beve includes the type ef expceive and how it wes placed, etc.

Un Clark-sfor: I am eatianleá it is RBI Intercoption of the me of

0 sweld in $N_{\text {ew }}$ Orleans is the resson Clark mide his false stetement．That ms said that Shaw had bean investigeted but that no inveetigetion of him was required becruse the $F B I$ roen all ebout him．I have e tape－rgcorded interviow with one of the govemments peopte in that part of the story in wich he thas
 that Sham ras investigetsd durine World agr II，socond hend fron tha FBL，and
 in the nemalettor bere．The date of tho a．m．popers rua warch 2, not 27.

 mombers ocid they hed no cose，I＇d pretecularly went to kncy，becouse i ommoring In just thet rree ondove maf of a boct mritten on tt．Tro exset iote moula

 of rolntof to this．

I ro net dabt thot you have whet you consinge wo tiant reeson for your silenco，perbeps heve en 1 deo or whe ousee 5 to ond mil content to leeve it that way until you vitimately leern that I have no anubt jou＂illa $\mathrm{B}_{0}$ ，this remire－no onewer of rou，unlese you went amilification，wheh yon cen heve

 hove dome cove notirg and invectigetine and will de more．The fuat of the inveotimotion ss，I titink，very，very immotat，bsum onoh on oompirsey，and

－Wth beat peremal regamde，

Farold meisbone

